

Minutes of a Meeting of the Town Board of the Town of Riverhead, held in the Town Hall, Riverhead, New York, on Tuesday, February 3, 1981 at 7:30 P.M.

Present: Joseph F. Janoski, Supervisor
Francis E. Menendez, Councilman
John Lombardi, Councilman
Antone J. Regula, Councilman
Victor Prusinowski, Councilman

Also present: Kevin Duffy, Town Attorney

Supervisor Janoski called the meeting to order at 7:30 P.M., and the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

Supervisor Janoski: "It's a pleasure to see this meeting room filled as it is. I would wish that it would happen more often."

Councilman Prusinowski offered the following resolution which was seconded by Councilman Menendez.

RESOLVED, that the Minutes of the Town Board Meeting held on January 20, 1981, are dispensed without objection and be approved as submitted.

The vote, Prusinowski, Yes, Regula, Yes, Lombardi, Yes, Menendez, Yes, and Janoski, Yes.

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

BILLS

Bills submitted on abstract dated February 3, 1981 as follows:

General Town	\$62,630.77
Street Lighting	\$15,910.93
Highway Item #1	\$ 984.09
Highway Item #3	\$ 377.02
Highway Item #4	\$ 392.66

Councilman Menendez offered the following resolution which was seconded by Councilman Lombardi.

RESOLVED, that subject to complete audit, the following bills be approved for payment.

General Town	\$62,630.77
Street Lighting	\$15,910.93
Highway Item #1	\$ 984.09
Highway Item #3	\$ 377.02
Highway Item #4	\$ 392.66

The vote, Prusinowski, Yes, Regula, Yes, Lombardi, Yes, Menendez, Yes, and Janoski, Yes.

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

Supervisor Janoski: "As usual, the various department heads are with us probably sitting in their usual seats, although I can't see them this evening in the rear. They would be available to anyone who would have any questions regarding their departments."

REPORTS

Tax Receiver's dated January 30, 1981 & January 22, 1981. Filed.

Audited Financial Statements dated December 31, 1979. Filed.

Patrick Perrella - on meeting of County Parks Dept. & copy of resolution. Filed.

Building Department - for month of January, 1981. Filed.

APPLICATION

Site Plan - Leecar Corporation (7-11 store on Route 58 & Roanoke Avenue). Filed.

Supervisor Janoski: "The site plan application will be the subject of resolution #69 this evening. We have a number of public hearings this evening, but the time has not yet arrived for the first one. We'll move onto communications."

COMMUNICATIONS

Suffolk County Planning Commission - copies of resolutions adopted on 10/01/80 and 1/14/81 re: subdivision plats. Filed.

H2M Corp., 11/20/80 - certifying work completed on Doctor's Path job. Filed.

COMMUNICATIONS - continued

Jody Adams, 1/22/81 - two page observation of municipal government. Filed.

H2M Corp., 1/26/81 - Re: resolution & contract modification of 201 study. Filed.

William Kelly, 1/26/81 - resigning position as equipment operator at landfill as of 2/02/81. Filed.

Carl F. Locker, 1/27/81 - resigning position as member of Board of Assessment Review. Filed.

John Foley, 1/29/81 - notice of Health committee meeting on 2/03/81. Filed.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Special Permit - River Center Associates (family recreation center).

Special Permit - Halandia Associates (multiple center).

Special Permit - Broad Cove, Inc. (extension of permit).

Supervisor Janoski: "Under unfinished business, we have a special permit of River Center Associates for a family recreation center which is pending Town Board action.

A special permit application of Halandia Associates for a multiple housing permit is also pending Town Board action.

A special permit application of Broad Cove, Inc. for an extension of an existing permit and that is the subject of resolution #55 this evening. It is our usual course of action to recognize anyone that wishes to be heard at this time.

Owing to the first public hearing scheduled for 7:45 P.M. we would probably not have enough time to hear everyone, so we will start to pass on the resolutions, but I will at a point in the proceedings this evening, open the meeting to people who wish to be heard."

RESOLUTIONS

#50 AUTHORIZES PUBLISHING A POSTING WANT AD FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT OPERATOR

Councilman Prusinowski offered the following resolution which was seconded by Councilman Regula.

RESOLUTIONS - continued

WHEREAS, there now exists a vacancy in the Sanitation Department

NOW, THEREFORE, be it

RESOLVED, that the Town Clerk be, and is hereby authorized to publish and post a want ad notice for a Construction Equipment Operator as follows:

HELP WANTED
TOWN OF RIVERHEAD

CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT OPERATOR - Must have Class 1 License and at least 1 year experience in Heavy Construction Equipment. Apply to the Accounting Department, 200 Howell Avenue, Riverhead, New York.

The vote, Prusinowski, Yes, Regula, Yes, Lombardi, Yes, Menendez, Yes, and Janoski, Yes.

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

#51 AUTHORIZES PUBLICATION OF WANT ADS - SENIORS HELPING SENIORS PROGRAM

Councilman Regula offered the following resolution which was seconded by Councilman Prusinowski.

RESOLVED, that the Community Development Office, be and hereby is, authorized to publish and post a display ad for one Senior Project Coordinator and two (2) handiworkers for the Seniors Helping Seniors - Home Chore Program as follows:

EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY

SENIOR PROJECT COORDINATOR (1)

HANDIWORKERS (2)

for the Seniors Helping Seniors - Home Chore Program sponsored by the Riverhead Community Development Office Salaries range from \$5/hr. to \$3.75 plus fringes for 25 hours weekly. All applicants must be at least 60 years of age and a Town of Riverhead resident with knowledge of and/or working skills in carpentry, electricity, and plumbing work.

Contact Riverhead Town Hall 516-727-3200. Extension 237

An Equal Opportunity Employer M/F

Funded by the U.S. Department of Health & Human Resources, New York State and Suffolk County Offices for the Aging, and the Town of Riverhead.

RESOLUTIONS - continued

The vote, Prusinowski, Yes, Regula, Yes, Lombardi, Yes, Menendez, Yes, and Janoski, Yes.

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

#52 AUTHORIZES OVERTIME FOR SNOW REMOVAL - HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT

Councilman Lombardi offered the following resolution which was seconded by Councilman Menendez.

RESOLVED, that the Superintendent of Highways be and is hereby authorized to pay time and one-half compensation for snow overtime January 2, 1981 through January 25, 1981 for a total of 1,251 hours in the amount of TEN THOUSAND SEVEN HUNDRED SIXTY SEVEN and 15/100 (\$10,767.15) DOLLARS, in accordance with personal services abstract submitted and filed in the Office of the Town Clerk.

The vote, Prusinowski, Yes, Regula, Yes, Lombardi, Yes, Menendez, Yes, and Janoski, Yes.

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

#53 AUTHORIZES HIGHWAY SUPERINTENDENT TO PAY PART-TIME EMPLOYEES

Councilman Menendez offered the following resolution which was seconded by Councilman Lombardi.

WHEREAS, there was an increase in the workload due to heavy snow conditions, and

WHEREAS, two men were hired on an hourly basis for this purpose,

NOW, THEREFORE, be it

RESOLVED, that the Highway Superintendent be authorized to pay the following men at the rate of FIVE DOLLARS (\$5.00) per hour for a total of 66 hours in the sum of THREE HUNDRED THIRTY (\$330.00) DOLLARS.

George C. Caffrey	30 hrs.	\$150.00
-------------------	---------	----------

Ronald Caffrey	35 hrs.	\$180.00
----------------	---------	----------

The vote, Prusinowski, Yes, Regula, Yes, Lombardi, Yes, Menendez, Yes, and Janoski, Yes.

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

#54 AUTHORIZES APPOINTMENT OF TEMPORARY FULL-TIME PARK ATTENDANT TO RECREATION DEPARTMENT

Councilman Prusinowski offered the following resolution which was seconded by Councilman Regula.

RESOLVED, that James H. Woodson be and is hereby appointed to serve as a Temporary Full-Time Park Attendant effective, February 1, 1981 to and including February 28, 1981, to be paid by-weekly at the hourly rate of \$3.35 and to serve at the pleasure of the Town

RESOLUTIONS - continued

Board as a temporary 30-day temporary employee.

The vote, Prusinowski, Yes, Regula, Yes, Lombardi, Yes, Menendez, Yes, and Janoski, Yes.

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

#55

EXTENDS SPECIAL PERMIT OF BROAD COVE DUCK FARM

Councilman Regula offered the following resolution which was seconded by Councilman Lombardi.

WHEREAS, Broad Cove, Inc., had previously petitioned the former Town Board pursuant to provisions of then section 205 and 102(48) of Ordinance 26 of the Town of Riverhead (now Section 108-3 of the Town Code) for a special permit to develop certain real property in Aquebogue, generally known as the Broad Cove Duck Farm, and

WHEREAS, the application was referred to the Riverhead Planning Board which board made certain recommendations after a public hearing, and

WHEREAS, further hearings were held on March 4, 1975, and August 19, 1975, and

WHEREAS, the Town Board adopted a resolution on September 2, 1975, granting said application with certain conditions set forth, and

WHEREAS, one of those conditions set forth an expiration date of the special permit for September 2, 1977, and

WHEREAS, a special permit was then extended from September 2, 1977, to September 2, 1979, and then to September 2, 1981, and

WHEREAS, the applicant has petitioned the Town Board to extend the special permit from September 2, 1981, to September 2, 1984, and

WHEREAS, the Town Board of the Town of Riverhead upon the request of the applicant held a further hearing on December 30, 1980 to extend the special permit for three more years which application was reviewed by the Town Board of the Town of Riverhead,

NOW, THEREFORE, be it

RESOLVED, that a special permit is hereby granted to the applicant extending the permit to September 2, 1984, as originally issued on September 2, 1975, and on October 4, 1977, incorporating all the conditions as then set forth, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the special permit now be granted on the conditions that the applicant at the time of construction obtain all approvals required by law, including but not limited to the following:

- A. An Environmental Impact Statement will be needed under S.E.Q.R.A., as it appears the application will be a Type I Action;
- B. A Wetland's Permit of the Town of Riverhead;

RESOLUTIONS - continued

- C. A Tidal Wetland's Permit from the New York Department of Environmental Conservation.
- D. A Water Quality Permit from the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation.
- E. Any necessary permits required by the Army Corps of Engineers.

The vote, Prusinowski, Abstain, Regula, Yes, Lombardi, Yes, Menendez, Yes, and Janoski, Yes.

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

#56

AUTHORIZES PURCHASE OF HORTON PROPERTY

Councilman Lombardi offered the following resolution which was seconded by Councilman Menendez.

WHEREAS, the Town of Riverhead has been offered the property located at Tidewoods, Wading River which is owned by Alexander E. Horton, and

WHEREAS, the selling price is \$13,600.00, and

WHEREAS, the Town Board has had said property appraised by an independent appraiser and finds said sum to be acceptable, NOW, THEREFORE, be it

RESOLVED, that the Supervisor and Town Attorney be and are hereby authorized to take the necessary steps to close on said property with said purchase being subject to a permissive referendum, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Town Clerk is hereby authorized to publish and post this notice.

The vote, Prusinowski, Yes, Regula, Yes, Lombardi, Yes, Menendez, Yes, and Janoski, Yes.

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

Supervisor Janoski recessed the meeting to hold a public hearing.

PUBLIC HEARING - 7:45 P.M.

The Town Clerk submitted affidavits of publishing and posting of a public notice for a public hearing to be held at Town Hall on Tuesday, February 3, 1981 at 7:45 P.M. to hear all interested persons regarding the proposed use of municipal facilities by various community organizations.

The affidavits were ordered to be placed on file.

PUBLIC HEARING - continued

Supervisor Janoski: "Mr. Town Attorney."

Kevin Duffy: "Basically, the reason for the public hearing tonight is that under Town Law, Section 64, the Town Board has the right to grant the right to use streets, highways to the public. However, before this right is granted, under Section 64-7 they require to hold a public hearing. The purpose of a public hearing is for anyone wishing to address the Board on these public facilities."

Supervisor Janoski: "Thank you. Is there anyone present who wishes to address the Board on this issue?"

No one wishing to be heard and no communications having been received thereto, Supervisor Janoski declared the hearing closed at 7:46 P.M.

RESOLUTIONS

#57 PETITIONS COUNTY'S HELP TO OBTAIN CONTROL OF BAY BOTTOMS
Councilman Menendez offered the following resolution which was seconded by Councilman Lombardi.

WHEREAS, the Riverhead Town Board feels that mariculture would help to revitalize our local economy, and

WHEREAS, the Riverhead Town Board has been requested by the Riverhead Bay Conservation Association to assist in fostering mariculture in the Town's waters, and

WHEREAS, the Town Believes that we should solicit the County's help in petitioning the State to allow us to control the bay bottoms surrounding Riverhead,

NOW, THEREFORE, be it

RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution petitioning the County to intercede on behalf of the Town be forwarded to the County Executive, Peter Cohalan, and our local Legislator, Gregory J. Blass.

The vote, Prusinowski, Yes, Regula, Yes, Lombardi, Yes, Menendez, Yes, and Janoski, Yes.

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

#58 STATES SALARIES OF CONFIDENTIAL EMPLOYEES
Councilman Prusinowski offered the following resolution which was seconded by Councilman Regula.

WHEREAS, the Town Board has petitioned the Public Employees Relation Board to exclude certain employees from the

RESOLUTIONS - continued

Civil Service Employees Association as either confidential or managerial, and

WHEREAS, the Town Board has offered managerial and confidential employees a 9% increase, and

WHEREAS, in resolution #35 managerial employees were awarded a 9% increase,

NOW, THEREFORE, be it

RESOLVED, that the salaries of the following confidential employees be and are hereby increased effective from January 1, 1981, through December 31, 1981, as follows:

Monique Cawley \$11,670.61

Karen Heppner \$ 9,754.37

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all other terms and conditions of the CSEA agreement as adopted will apply.

The vote, Prusinowski, Yes, Regula, Yes, Lombardi, Yes, Menendez, Yes, and Janoski, Yes.

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

#59 APPOINTS P/T COURT ATTENDANT IN THE JUSTICE COURT
Councilman Regula offered the following resolution which was seconded by Councilman Prusinowski.

WHEREAS, this Town Board finds it necessary to relieve the Police Department of the burden of providing a Police Officer for the Court Room, and

WHEREAS, the Suffolk County Department of Civil Service provides for the part-time position of Court Attendant, and

WHEREAS, this Town Board has advertised and interviewed all applicants for said position,

NOW, THEREFORE, be it

RESOLVED, that Mr. William Whidden is hereby appointed the part-time position of Court Attendant at an hourly rate of \$5.00 not to exceed an annual salary of \$3,000.00 effective Monday, February 2, 1981.

The vote, Prusinowski, Yes, Regula, Yes, Lombardi, Yes, Menendez, Yes, and Janoski, Yes.

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

#61 AUTHORIZES ATTENDANCE TO BURROUGHS B 800 OPERATOR
SCHOOL

Councilman Menendez offered the following resolution which was seconded by Councilman Lombardi.

BE IT RESOLVED, that Janice Carney is hereby authorized to attend Burroughs B 800 Operator School located in Paramus, New Jersey for a period of one week, March 9th - 13th and that all allowable expenses will be reimbursed.

RESOLUTIONS - continued

The vote, Prusinowski, Yes, Regula, Yes, Lombardi, Yes, Menendez, Yes, and Janoski, Yes.

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

#63 DESIGNATES OFFICIAL NEWSPAPER OF THE TOWN OF RIVERHEAD
Councilman Regula offered the following resolution which was seconded by Councilman Prusinowski.

RESOLVED, that pursuant to Section 64 (11) of the Town Law, the News-Review be and is hereby designated at the official newspaper for the publication of all notices, resolutions, ordinances, and other matters required by law to be published for the Town of Riverhead for the year 1981 and the Town Clerk shall publish therein only at the direction of the Town Board, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that in the discretion and at the option of the Town Board, in addition to publishing in the above described official newspaper, the Town Clerk may be authorized from time to time to publish in the hereinbelow described newspapers which circulate in the Town of Riverhead:

SUFFOLK LIFE

TRAVELER-WATCHMAN

WADING RIVER COMMUNITY JOURNAL

NEWSDAY

(Section 64 Town Law 916.41) A Town Board resolution designating an official town paper continues in full force and effect until it is repealed.

The vote, Prusinowski: "Since I work for one of these newspapers, I Abstain, Regula, Yes, Lombardi, Yes, Menendez, Yes, and Janoski, No.

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

#64 AUTHORIZES THE TOWN CLERK TO ADVERTISE FOR BIDS ON NEW POLICE VEHICLES FOR THE USE OF THE RIVERHEAD POLICE DEPARTMENT

Councilman Lombardi offered the following resolution which was seconded by Councilman Menendez.

RESOLVED, that the Town Clerk of the Town of Riverhead be and is hereby authorized to advertise for sealed bids on three (3) Police Vehicles and three (3) unmarked vehicles for the use of the Police Department, and be it

RESOLVED, that the Town Clerk of the Town of Riverhead be and hereby is designated to open publicly and read aloud on Monday, February 23, 1981, at 11:00 A.M., at the Town Clerk's Office, Town

RESOLUTIONS - continued

Hall, 200 Howell Avenue, Riverhead, New York, all sealed bids bearing the designation, "Bid on Six (6) Police Vehicles."

The vote, Prusinowski, Yes, Regula, Yes, Lombardi, Yes, Menendez, Yes, and Janoski, Yes.

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

#65 JOHN WOODSON RETURNS TO WORK AFTER LEAVE OF ABSENCE
Councilman Menendez offered the following resolution which was seconded by Councilman Lombardi.

WHEREAS, John Woodson, an Automotive Equipment Operator in the Highway Department requested and was granted a six month leave of absence commencing August 13, 1980, and

WHEREAS, Mr. Woodson has supplied the Supervisor's Office with a Doctor's note approving his fitness to return to work,
NOW, THEREFORE, be it

RESOLVED, that John Woodson be, and is hereby allowed to return to work effective February 2, 1981.

The vote, Prusinowski, Yes, Regula, Yes, Lombardi, Yes, Menendez, Yes, and Janoski, Yes.

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

#68 RESOLUTION OF CONDOLENCE - JOHN SHEPPARD
Councilman Lombardi offered the following resolution which was unanimously adopted by the entire Town Board.

WHEREAS, the Riverhead Town Board wishes to express its profound sadness at the untimely passing of JOHN SHEPPARD, who was President of the Wading River Chamber of Commerce, and

WHEREAS, the Riverhead Town Board wishes to add its sincere sympathy to that of the many who knew and admired him, and

WHEREAS, the Town of Riverhead is diminished by the loss of a man known for his genuine regard for its citizens as well as an unselfish devotion to duty,
NOW, THEREFORE, be it

RESOLVED, by the members of the Town Board of the Town of Riverhead, at its meeting assembled this 3rd day of February, 1981, that we hereby express our sorrow at the passing of JOHN SHEPPARD, and extend to his family our most sincere condolences, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that a suitably engrossed copy of this resolution be prepared and presented to the family of JOHN SHEPPARD.

#69 APPROVES SITE PLAN OF LEECAR CORPORATION
Councilman Menendez offered the following resolution which was seconded by Councilman Lombardi.

RESOLVED, that the site plan of Leecar Corporation, C/O Riverhead Motors, Route 58, Riverhead, New York, is hereby approved

RESOLUTIONS - continued

subject to the limitations and restrictions as outlined in the Code of the Town of Riverhead, Section 108.

The vote, Prusinowski, Yes, Regula, Yes, Lombardi, Yes, Menendez, Yes, and Janoski, Yes.

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

#70 ACCEPTS RESIGNATION OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT OPERATOR
Councilman Prusinowski offered the following resolution which was seconded by Councilman Regula.

WHEREAS, William Kelly did on January 26, 1981 submit a letter of resignation from his position as Construction Equipment Operator at the Riverhead Landfill,

NOW, THEREFORE, be it

RESOLVED, that the Riverhead Town Board does hereby accept the resignation of William Kelly effective February 2, 1981.

The vote, Prusinowski, Yes, Regula, Yes, Lombardi, Yes, Menendez, Yes, and Janoski, Yes.

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

#71 AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF MODIFICATION OF ENGINEERING SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH HOLZMACHER, McLENDON & MURRELL, P.C. FOR A PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROGRAM AND INDUSTRIAL WASTE PRETREATMENT PROGRAM

Councilman Lombardi offered the following resolution which was seconded by Councilman Menendez.

WHEREAS, the Town of Riverhead has engaged Holzmacher, McLendon and Murrell, P.C. to conduct a 201 Wastewater Facility Study and Scavenger Waste Study in a contract dated November 7, 1979, and

WHEREAS, the United States Environmental Protection Agency by regulation requires that the Town conduct a Public Participation Program and Pretreatment Survey during the remaining period of the Facility Study, and

WHEREAS, the United States Environmental Protection Agency and New York State Department of Environmental Conservation will issue a grant increase to provide funding of this additional work.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it

RESOLVED, that the Supervisor is authorized to execute a Modification to the Engineering Services Agreement with Holzmacher, McLendon and Murrell, P.C., and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the modification to the Agreement shall be subject to the approval of New York State Department of Environmental Conservation and issuance of grant amendments by United States Environmental Protection Agency.

RESOLUTIONS - continued

The vote, Prusinowski, Yes, Regula, Yes, Lombardi, Yes, Menendez, Yes, and Janoski, Yes.

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

#72 AUTHORIZES SUPERVISOR TO EXECUTE AGREEMENT

Councilman Regula offered the following resolution which was seconded by Councilman Prusinowski.

RESOLVED, that the Supervisor is hereby authorized to execute an agreement with Tele-Review, Inc., to audit all of the Town's telephone rental charges.

The vote, Prusinowski, Yes, Regula, Yes, Lombardi, Yes, Menendez, Yes, and Janoski, Yes.

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

#73 AUTHORIZES PAYMENT OF ROOM CHARGES FOR CONVENTION

Councilman Prusinowski offered the following resolution which was seconded by Councilman Regula.

WHEREAS, Resolution number 19, adopted January 6, 1981 by this Town Board, authorizes officials and department heads to attend the Annual Association of Towns Convention, and

WHEREAS, the Sheraton City Squire Hotel has advised the town that the hotel will not accept town vouchers as payment of guest room charges,

NOW, THEREFORE, be it

RESOLVED, that this Town Board hereby authorizes that the amount of \$150.00 be forwarded to each participant authorized to attend the Annual Association of Towns Convention to cover the room charges, and that the charge be made to each of the respective departments.

The vote, Prusinowski, Yes, Regula, Yes, Lombardi, Yes, Menendez, No, and Janoski: "Even though I'm not going, Yes."

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

#74 AUTHORIZES SUPERVISOR TO SECURE INSURANCE FOR SPECIAL POLICE

Councilman Menendez offered the following resolution which was seconded by Councilman Lombardi.

WHEREAS, the Town of Riverhead has agreed to hire part-time policemen for the summer months, and

WHEREAS, said individuals will be trained at the Suffolk County Police Academy, and

WHEREAS, as part of the training program it is required that the Town secure liability insurance with a combined single limit of not less than one million dollars per occurrence for bodily/personal injury and property damage, including death at any time resulting therefrom, sustained by any person or persons,

RESOLUTIONS - continued

or on account of damage to property arising out of or in consequence of this agreement, whether such injuries to persons or damage to property are due to claim to be due to any passive negligence of the County, its employees or agents or any other person,

NOW, THEREFORE, be it

RESOLVED, that the Supervisor is hereby authorized to secure Certificates of Insurance for the special police.

The vote, Prusinowski, Yes, Regula, Yes, Lombardi, Yes, Menendez, Yes, and Janoski, Yes.

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

Supervisor Janoski recessed the meeting to hold a public hearing.

PUBLIC HEARING - 8:00 P.M.

The Town Clerk submitted affidavits of publishing and posting of a public notice for a public hearing to be held at Town Hall, on Tuesday, February 3, 1981 at 8:00 P.M. to hear all interested persons regarding the proposed amendment to the Town Code which pertains to visibility at intersections.

The affidavits were ordered to be placed on file.

Kevin Duffy: "Basically, what we're attempting to do is we're amending the Town Code. Presently a fence or shrubbing on a corner can be four feet in height measured by street level. What the amendment is doing is lessening this amount to three feet. A copy of this resolution and the changes has been available in the Town Clerk's Office since the time we called for a public hearing."

Supervisor Janoski: "Thank you Mr. Town Attorney. Is there anyone present wishing to address the Town Board on this issue? Yes Sir."

William Kasperovich, 15th Street, Wading River; "The reduction of four feet to three feet, in my opinion, is not going to cover the problems that we have that exist and that will come up in the future. We have a variety of intersection of roads. We have paved surfaces. We have shoulders, we have walkways, we have right-of-ways, plus the more sophisticated areas in Riverhead Town proper, sidewalks and curbs. Plus that the ground adjacent to the roads is not necessarily at the same level as the roads. Some are higher, some are lower.

RESOLUTIONS - continued

No, the code talks about a thirty — a triangle with two right angle legs is thirty feet. It doesn't spell out, is this at the curb line or is this at the right-of-way or what? Now if we take it down the center line which would be my opinion the interpretation of the code as it exists, we'd have to go back some eighty feet to accomplish this thirty foot triangle.

I feel to cover the future and the problems as they occur, that this section of the code should spell out to cover most of the conditions that exist in Riverhead. This reduction of one foot isn't going to solve the problems that come up regularly.

Now when we bring such a thing to the forefront and we go through the expenses of making a change, we should certainly try to include the various conditions that have shown themselves in the past which we can anticipate to be in the future. We have a number of conditions where wild growth obstructs the views. We have no four foot problem. We just have just shrubs and wild growth making blind spots on the roads.

Now if we're going to spend the money to make a change, let's make a more intelligent change and include the eventualities that will show themselves as Riverhead grows. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski: "Thank you Bill. Is there anyone else present that wishes to address the Town Board on this issue?"

No one else wishing to be heard and no communications having been received thereto, Supervisor Janoski declared the hearing closed at 8:06 P.M.

Supervisor Janoski: "We have four minutes remaining until the next scheduled public hearing. I would, if anyone thinks it's enough time, recognize anyone who wishes to be heard on any subject at this time."

No one wished to be heard at this time.

Supervisor Janoski recessed the meeting for four minutes after which the meeting reconvened.

Supervisor Janoski recessed the meeting to hold a public hearing.

PUBLIC HEARING - 8:10 P.M.

The Town Clerk submitted affidavits of publishing and posting of a public notice for a public hearing to be held at

PUBLIC HEARING - continued

Town Hall on Tuesday, February 3, 1981 at 8:10 P.M. to hear all interested persons regarding the proposed amendment to the Town Code regarding buffered areas of business or industrial uses adjoining residential use property.

The affidavits were ordered to be placed on file.

Supervisor Janoski: "Mr. Town Attorney."

Kevin Duffy: "Again this proposed resolution has been on file in the Town Clerk's office for the last two weeks. What we are attempting to do here is that when a business or industrial use adjoins residential use currently we provide for a twenty-five foot buffer zone. We would reduce this to ten feet and additionally we will prescribe requirements for plantings which should consist of evergreen trees of at least eight feet in height."

Supervisor Janoski: "Thank you. Is there anyone present who wishes to address the Town Board on this issue?"

Dick Benedict, Fanning Blvd., Riverhead; "I don't know what this will accomplish outside of the people already living there, getting their parking lots fifteen feet closer to their residential buildings, but if you look at some of these where you had twenty-five foot of natural barrier before, you had some trees left and things like that for the wildlife and some drainage, instead of some more blacktop whenever you run a bulldozer ten feet away, you're going to kill half of the trees that are left standing by cutting their roots. It happened in back of me. And I think these people already have an out with the Town, with the Zoning Board, the Board of Appeals. I think what this will do is probably on Roanoke Avenue where you have a lot of doctors and dentists who need the extra parking places, I think it might help them, but ten feet is too close to residential areas, and I don't think that we should cut it down to ten feet. I think if anything, you ought to move them thirty-five feet. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski: "Thank you. Is there anyone else present who wishes to be heard at this time?"

No one else wishing to be heard and no communications having been received thereto, Supervisor Janoski declared the hearing closed at 8:16 P.M.

Supervisor Janoski: "We have four minutes remaining until the next scheduled public hearing, is there anyone present who wishes to address the Board on any matter?"

No one wished to be heard at this time.

Supervisor Janoski recessed the meeting to hold the next public hearing.

PUBLIC HEARING - 8:20 P.M.

The Town Clerk submitted affidavits of publishing and posting of a public notice for a public hearing to hear all interested persons regarding the proposal to abolish the office of elected assessors and replacement with a sole appointed assessor, to be held at Town Hall on Tuesday, February 3, 1981 at 8:20 P.M.

The affidavits were ordered to be placed on file.

Supervisor Janoski: "I want to first take the opportunity to quote on a — to make an observation on a story that one of my favorite newspapers which relayed the fact that I was in support of this change. It's encumbered upon me to point out that at no time have I ever come out publicly in support of the change from elected assessors. In fact, it was, I, in many meetings who raised the question of accountability in the idea of taking away from the people the right to elect their officials. So in that context, I would like in the record that statement, that I have never supported this.

However, I was willing, along with the members of the Town Board, to have a public hearing because we all believe in the right of the public to make their views known and I voted accordingly. It is very often my duty to straighten out things that are written in the paper, and I wanted to take advantage of this opportunity to speak directly.

I will, at this time, recognize Mr. Jack Harding."

Jack Harding, Riverhead; "Members of the Town Board, in my talk, I'm referring to Volume 49A, Section 1557 of the New York State Real Property Tax Law. The newspaper had it the New York State Real Property Law and but, it's the New York State Real Property Tax Law and I'm also referring to the other sections 1522 and so forth that apply. For many years, the Assessment Procedure and Program for the Town of Riverhead has been partial assessment #1 and #2 elected assessors. Too many of my colleagues and myself, this has demonstrated itself to be #1 untrue assessment, #2 untrained office holders, untrained men.

PUBLIC HEARING - continuedJack Harding continues:

During all the years of inequity, I personally was hopeful that the procedure would change. The only fair and logical answer would be #1 full assessment, #2 appointment of trained experts to do the assessing. They go hand in hand. Well we finally have the full assessment program, it was mandated by the State.

The next step is to set up an Assessors Office of trained experts to be appointed. It's something that has to be done. These appointees should have good technical training should be specialists sort of engineers in their field. From Townships which installed the appointive system, we can determine the qualifications necessary and arrange civil service classifications and numbers. Yes, Civil Service classifications and numbers, that is one of the keys. It helps take the workings of the office out of politics. Men to be appointed to these Civil Service jobs would be people who have passed tests. Not people who have been elected. There is a terrific difference.

In the past, I have never met an elected assessor that I didn't like. They're all neighbors of mine and all nice fellows. But I can be honest and tell you very few were qualified. Some-time some of them took extra courses and training and made a pretty good stab at it. Sometimes one or two would try to do all the responsible work for all of them. Sometimes a clerk and you know what I'm talking about, if you've been around a while would take the bull by the horns and do all the responsible work himself. Gentlemen, I saw it happen and some of you saw it happen. So let's face it. In these complicated financial times the system of elected assessors is obsolete. The newspapers have quoted one of you as saying current assessors would be named to the appointed post. Those who would be named rather to the appointed post should a change be implemented, would be the current assessors. I don't think that's quite what we are seeking. I say it would be practical to retain Charlie Crump as the so-called sole assessor. He has passed tests, he has much training and is extremely capable and informed person in our present system. He could well act as an administrator as well as liaison with the Town Board. But the appraisal personnel, one or two deputys or more should be expert civil service career men. We want to build up and strengthen the system.

One more comment. At the present time, you have may law-suits against you under your present assessment program. I am confident that a new system that I suggest would substantially reduce this type of lawsuit. Our present system is like a law or enticement. It just asks for a lawsuit. Under the proposed new appointive system, the responsibility shifts to you, the Board, the appointers, that's where the responsibility belongs, accept it. I have been associated with matters pertaining to Town government for over forty years. I hate to think of that. It makes me feel old. As an attorney and student of municipal law, I wanted to see among other things two matters changed in our Town Government. One was elimination of Justices of the Peace as members of the Town Board and replacement by Councilmen. That was accomplished

PUBLIC HEARING - continuedJack Harding continues:

a few years ago. Number two was the 100% of assessment of property and the appointment rather, than the election of assessors. We have the 100% assessment program, I hope now to see the latter matter accomplished. Thank you for your courtesy gentlemen. I wish you all a happy and successful tenure of office."

Irene J. Pendzick: "Mr. Harding, may I have a copy of your statement please?"

Supervisor Janoski: "Mr. Crump."

Charles Crump, 14 Fanning Street, Riverhead; "I certainly want to thank the previous speaker, Mr. Harding for those remarks that he made. My first, second and third thought was since I was involved in this, I wouldn't make any comments. But this afternoon I decided I live in this Town all my life, I'm a taxpayer in this Town for almost thirty years and I felt that I have a right to voice my opinion in this matter even though I'm directly involved. I have been an assessor for seven years and in those seven years, there have been many many changes. Some small, and some radical changes. Changes, computerization of the assessment, the new legislation has been passed and also court decisions and most of the time, they have been very radical. In New York State there are three hundred eighty-four, even more than that now, municipalities that have appointed assessors. Six out of the ten Towns in Suffolk County appoint their assessors. In fact, on over to the South, Southampton has an appointed assessor. The State also issued a report this year in 19 — I should say last year 1980 that 41% of the elected assessors have been replaced by appointed assessors in the state. And partly because of the rapid change in the assessment process.

I know, I talked to Assessors first hand at different meetings and that was the case, they didn't want any part of the radical changes in the assessment field. And it becomes very very difficult to cope with.

Also in 1969, the Legislators ordered a study of the real property tax system and the rest of it in 1970 were passing the Assessment and Improvement Act. The Real Property Tax Law 15A which in part recognized the need for a professionalized assessment function, they also indicated that the turnover is a major factor that besets the assessment administration. That is you have unqualified people coming in and training and so forth. The appointed assessor, there is a minimum amount of qualifications that they must meet by the state. They must also successfully complete the State Board Equalization and Assessment Training Program. The Assessor is the only elected official who needs professional skills because of the changing process and they also need continuing education. Assessors need to be appraisers today.

PUBLIC HEARING - continued

Charles Crump continues:

It's a little different than when I came on seven years ago where you get the square feet of the building and you multiply that times a factor and you come out with the assessment. The assessor has to master all his tools. He has to be able to assess based on cost, market and income. We used to do it on cost only and the court said oh no, there are certain properties in Town that you must use. The income approach to value. And the Assessor became an accountant. He became a mathematician. He became many things because of this order. The assessor has to analyze the income and expense statement on a commercial property. He must be able to develop a capitalization rate so he can determine the value of a particular property. It's a complicated system.

And now the state has also indicated that they're going to do the agricultural, the farmland based on the income. I haven't got those formulas yet, but I can imagine that they can be fairly complicated.

The — I'm in favor of an appointed assessor because of the cost to the Town, the training and retraining of assessors that come on board. I'm in favor of appointing an assessor because of the expense of appointing an assessor. If he wants to better himself and educate himself. For example, I'm not boasting, I'm not blowing my own horn, but I'm going to use myself as an example. The — I have taken courses by the State Board of Equalization and Assessment in the New York State Assessors Association courses and also seminars that I have attended and some of these things the Town has paid for and some of these I've paid out of my own pocket. I've taken a real estate course in Suffolk Community College and also appraisal courses. I've also taken courses with the society of real estate appraisers, 101 and 201 courses, very difficult courses that's in appraising.

Now as I've indicated to you, assessors have to be appraisers. They have to be able to determine value in many ways. I'm also a member of the Institute of Assessing Officers. That's the New York State — the arm, the professional arm of the New York State Assessors Association. In order to be a member of that, you must be an assessor for five years. You must reach certain education requirements and you must pass a six-hour comprehensive examination covering real property tax law, appraising and also questions to running an assessors office. And I say that, as I indicated, I'm not bragging or boasting or anything. I say that to say this, that an elected assessor had no requirements. The state doesn't require any qualification or education or anything. The Town doesn't require any education or qualification, any person that runs doesn't even have to be able to write his own name, can be an assessor through the elected process. So that's the point I want to make.

And also I went to school. I paid a lot of this out of my own pocket and knowing full well that two years down the road, I may be out of office. They may vote me out of office, but I felt and I know it sounds corny that I owe it to the taxpayers to be the very best assessor I could possibly be and I took the chance

PUBLIC HEARING - continuedCharles Crump continues;

on spending my own money to qualify myself to be an assessor. And that's the only way by going to school and qualifying yourself to be an assessor. You can't do it otherwise.

And 99.9% of the Assessors don't go to school because of the fact that two years down the road, they may lose their job. They're not going to spend their money so, therefore, they are not going to be good assessors because it is a highly technical trade."

Supervisor Janoski: "Charlie."Charles Crump: "Yes."Supervisor Janoski: "You're pushing over the five minute."

Charles Crump: "All right. Thank you. As I indicated the appointed assessor has to meet State requirements. Education and so forth and I know there is this argument the public will lose their vote, but I think the pluses outnumber the minuses on this particular issue. And who will agree with the State Board of Equalization and Assessment with the temporary tax commission and with the legislators who pass the assessment and improvement act that the best way to go in the Town of Riverhead is to have an appointed assessor. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski: "Thank you Charlie. Is that you Bill?"

Bill Nohejl, Wading River; "I'm amazed at the amount of information given out by this Board or as to what is going to become forward on the appointed ___ elected ___ appointed assessors. I have very serious doubts when it gets into the hands of being appointed. Who is going to appoint them? Is the governing party, the majority the Democrat ___ are they going to appoint them or is it in the Republican if they're dominating, are they going to appoint the assessors? I feel as though this is going to be a football course back and forth, back and forth. It is taking the privileges away from the people of Riverhead who are paying their salaries.

It is taking away many, many rights which I will see coming down the road in the future. I do not go full heartedly in approval of what has been said because of things that have been going on here lately and in the past years in the political parties. I see too much of it. I've been at the Town Board Meetings quite regularly. I do not like it.

PUBLIC HEARING - continuedBill Nohejl continues:

Now to take, for instance, at the last Board Meeting, three public hearings were supposed to be for February 17th, they were going to be put for the 19th of February. I got up and requested that these be put for the next regular Town Board Meeting. To my amazement, I saw this proposal to elect — to abolish the elected assessor be put back to February 3rd, which was supposed to be March 3rd. How can I have faith to what's going on? How can I have faith in what's going in the future, if this keeps happening. I see things going back and forth with this Town Board. There's no unison. There's no getting together and get electing — and appointing an assessor how long will this assessor be appointed for? An indefinite amount of time. Suppose this assessor does not meet the approval of the people of Riverhead who are paying for — is there any way to remove them from office? I ask this question."

Supervisor Janoski: "Mr. Town Attorney."

Kevin Duffy: "First of all, I'd like to put on the record, the purpose of a public hearing is for the public to express a view, not to ask questions. A copy of Section 1557 (the tape ended) (the tape continued) would run from forty-five days, well the appointment would occur from a time period of forty-five days to one year at the time the resolution is passed. Such a resolution would be subject to a permissive referendum. Basically the term would be until September 30, 1983. As far as the other question, there are a number of options the town can consider whether or not the people will be Civil Service or exempt. These questions as I understood, the Town Board's position will be taken up and conceivably addressed at another public hearing once the Town Board has finalized plans. Does that answer your questions?"

Bill Nohejl: "That sort of rig-marole you talk about professionalism. I saw the rig-marole going on this past summer with this full assessment. We were supposed to have what you call professional people in here and I never saw such a big mess in all my life. If you talk about your piece of property, they've got another piece of property they think it's yours and the buildings are on one end of the property and they have it on the other end of the property. And these are professionals. I can't see it. I saw you're taking the — my right to vote and I am going to stick up for my right to vote and I'm gong to hammer, hammer, hammer and if I can't hammer enough, I think they'll be a couple of heads removed. Thank you."

Unidentified Speaker from audience: "May I speak now?"

PUBLIC HEARING - continued

Supervisor Janoski: "I guess we will recognize you "

Unidentified Speaker: "I have an answer to Mr. Crump. "

Irene J. Pendzick: "Mrs. Danowski, would you say your name for the record please."

Anna Danowski; "Anna Danowski, Deep Hole Road."

Irene J. Pendzick: "Thank you."

Anna Danowski: "And I've been born around Riverhead and I've lived here all my life, eighty years. And I have an answer to Mr. Crumps. Experience, some five or six years ago, one of the Town Assessors came to my place with a map and said did you know you haven't been paying taxes on seven acres of land you own. And I'm listening and wondering where that seven acres is. I've worked every inch of one farm and the other. And I know what's mine. I've been paying taxes on it. So he shows me the map — no he didn't show me the map at first and I says where is this land. Some of it's been sold on one side of my farm and some on the other. Maybe there's a mistake. Oh no, it's on the south side of Deep Hole Road. Well that's been sold about ten years ago. I never owned any property there, never will because it's not mine and that's all I can say about that. Oh no, I ain't finished yet. So I haven't been paying taxes on that and this year I got taxed for the darn things. Seven acres and that's our experienced assessors. And it happened to a former assessor's wife and the same thing happened to Assessor Stout. His whole section there was messed up by the computer and I talked with him last night and we're just wondering how many more properties is messed up that way.

Well I have something else here that's been bugging me. Years ago, when we elected politicians, they were our servants. Under Section 1557 of New York State of Real Property Law, this law is there for about forty years or so. Our assessors are doing a fine job. Who knows better than our own people in our own town. If we can't vote for our assessors, why should I vote for anyone of the Town Board. They are no specialists. Just lately they are working together. Not so long ago, they were hassling over this and that. And others did not know about what was going on. I could not call that elaborate or sophisticated. Government has too much power. Now there is too much government. Just pass the buck. Not enough common sense. All we are doing is only paying taxes."

Supervisor Janoski: "Thank you. Mr. Hurley?"

PUBLIC HEARING - continued

Denis Hurley, Wading River; "When I was still in politics, there was no way I would follow Mrs. Danowski. But no longer being in politics, I'll take on that task. I don't know the answer to this, this is a debate that goes on in many areas. When you get into these areas of tremendous professionalism whether it's better to have an appointment or have them elected. And one analogy that comes to mind is the situation with the Justices of the Peace and Town Justices in the east end. I've heard the argument and what should be done is it should be, is it should be a pre-requisite that the J.P.'s be lawyers. This idea of professionalism. They always look to the west. The district courts what a fine job they do. Well I've had an opportunity to practice at both ends of the County and I can tell you the fellows on the east end are doing a far better job. Why? I think in part because they know the territory, they know the people, they know the problems. Now I know it isn't quite that simple because we have a lot of different relationships, east end, west end. A million two down west and a hundred thousand out here. But I think there's some analogy there that should be considered.

I think — I know you haven't come up with a firm proposal as of yet, that's one of the purposes of this public hearing, basically how the people feel about it. So I'll address that in a broad sense, but I think if the choice is to have you gentlemen or any other of your successors in effect, appoint an assessor, I respectfully submit to you this has nothing to do with you, it has to do with the political process and I would do the exact same thing if I was on the Board, there will be a political overtone to it. I mean there's no question about it. That's a fact of life. So if you appoint, there will be a political aspect, now I'm sure you gentlemen would do the best you could to get someone who's qualified. But you would make that determination and that would not be subject to review by the electorate.

Now the procedure we have now is the people say the problem we have now is the political process. Again political leaders or whatever the term that's invoked is they select these people. Well that's true. And what I'm saying is if you do it either way, there is a political aspect to it. It may vary, but there's a political aspect. Political choice now is subject to review by the electorate and I think in a community like Riverhead where we have only slightly over 20,000 people, I think the people in Riverhead will do a good job in selecting the assessors and I think the best proof of this type of thing just like it is for the J.P.'s, they're doing a good job it's true in some instances if you had somebody with a law degree, some instances he may be better on the technical aspects of the job. But on balance, they're doing better in dispensing justice on the east end. I think if you have — if you look at the people we have had, we've had problems in every office, in every town throughout this county. That is always true, but on balance, the assessors that we have in this Town have done through the years have done a very good job.

PUBLIC HEARING - continuedDenis Hurley: continues:

So I think the process we have we look at the proof of the pudding it's been good on balance. So I respectfully submit that's something to consider. Now the other aspect of appointment, of course, appointment off a list, off a Civil Service list. That's a very complicated area. I'm not prepared to address you tonight on that. I can give you a couple of visceral thoughts that you might consider at some point when you're deliberating on it. But off a list you get the professionalism, you also — it's my impression you would have to take from a county list and, of course, so you would have if that's true, am I wrong on that? I believe that's correct. I think you could not take it off a Town list, you would have to take it off a County list. That leaves some problems. You could have people that know nothing about the east end who would be the assessors in this Town. Again it has been said before and it's absolutely right, it isn't a simple issue. There are certainly two sides, but I respectfully submit at least in my humble judgment there is a lot of merit to retaining an elected assessor method. Thank you very much."

Supervisor Janoski: "Thank you Mr. Hurley. Is there anyone else present who wishes to be — Mr. Podlas?"

Paul Podlas, Riverhead: "I want to express my opinion. I'm perfectly satisfied with having the right to vote and every two years and we can pick our own assessors. We have intelligent people living out here. Our education per capital, if you want to say that is going higher every year. There's plenty of college graduates out there. I'm all for home rule. I'm not for bringing in a stranger, if we go to Civil Service. We have to take from a County List. We may bring somebody from quite far away that is not familiar with our own unique problems here. Now Mr. Crump said that we need a professional and the assessors have a very hard job. Well the thought immediately came to my mind that you people sitting up there oversee the assessors so maybe we should start to think about appointing you people too. Then I don't know who's going to appoint you people. And we'll keep going down the line. Now I don't even know if President Reagan had to pass any kind of test to get elected to be the President of our Country. And yet I feel that my one vote is very important. And I want to be able to vote for everybody that I can and I don't want things appointed, by things I mean men. I've had my problems with the Assessors. I've had my problems this year will full value.

I had a piece of property and I sat right up here with the young lady and said you have two house trailers on it. I say yes. She said it makes the property more valuable. I says my god, go back and look at them. They're all boarded up. They're all

PUBLIC HEARING - continuedPaul Podlas continues:

storage trailers. Well we never really looked at them. And that's professionalism? And I feel a local man, in fact, I have to give Charlie Crump a point. I spoke to him about it and he said yes Paul, I'll look at it. Now I like Charlie and I like everybody else that spoke here, it's very important that we maintain some home rule and that we maintain our one vote, maybe once a year. There's only one, but to me it's still important that I can vote for the man and especially that he'll be familiar with Riverhead and our surrounding problems and our surrounding area. And I just can't say it enough that we should retain that right for all our citizens to be able to vote for our assessors."

Supervisor Janoski: "Thank you Paul. Gentleman with the glasses, you had your hand up before."

Bart Morrison, Wading River; "I would like to preface the remarks that I'm going to make with comment. What we're witnessing tonight could be slow chiseling away of your basic rights that are guaranteed by the Constitution. I don't mean to sound dramatic, but that's a fact. People that are doing the chiseling aren't artesians either.

I object to the premise that the position of assessor has become so technical that the position now requires such professionalism that only our elected officials of various political parties can make this selection. As a matter of being ambiguous, Mr. Janoski as stated in the newspaper, favors the change because it will take the job out of the political area. Now this was in the newspaper and I guess we can't accept everything as facts. Whom might I ask is going to recommend and appoint the assessors, if not politicians. As far as using the Civil Service roles, is a way of making it a competitive appointment. I have seen this procedure circumvented by simply creating a provisional position and extending these terms. May I remind you we elect our officials to serve us, not to figure out ways to build and consolidate their own personal power or their own personal fiefdoms. This seems to me to be just another case of what our electoral process is being severted. You speak of home rule on some issues. What about the taxpayers right to express his home rule via the ballot box. I'm not about to give up my rights simply because a group of politicians feel that they're the only one qualified to dole out these jobs. If this Board votes to abolish elective privilege I will and I hope all the taxpayers will go the route of permissive referendum. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski: "Thank you. Mrs. McGuire, I believe?"

PUBLIC HEARING - continued

Ann McGuire, 467 East Main Street, "I don't have too much to say, I came here tonight almost a closed mind. I felt like we should continue to elect our assessors. I listen to Mr. Crump and I thought he gave us a very intelligent talk. He swayed me quite a bit because I do see where it is a very technical job. It is very important for people to know what they're doing at a job like that. I listen to Mr. Hurley who also gave us a very good talk and I agree with him 100% and the one thing I would like to say is, let's serve notice right now on our political parties. The candidates that they want to run don't choose them for charisma. Don't choose them because they're good at rhetoric, let them start learning the job now. Go to school, get trained. If they want to run them for those particular issues. I feel then that we could still vote and we could still get a good assessor. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski: "Thank you Ma'am. Mr. Kasperovich?"

William Kaspervoich, Wading River; "The pro and cons here seem to be pretty shallow. I'm in favor of voting for the assessor. If it requires more qualification to be a candidate while they let more qualified people run. Granted a two-year period is a short period. I would be in favor of extending it to three years, possibly considering four. But to say it is a technical highly involved position, that's hog wash. That's a lot of bull.

In order to understand the sewerage system, you need an engineering degree and a lot, a lot of book work. To understand water supply, you need a lot of education. To understand law enforcement, you need a good background. Now we elect you five people up here, no one qualified man in any particular area. When the two justices were removed from the podium, we were going to have qualified commissioners of public works. We were going to have qualified commissioners of law enforcement. We were going to have qualified people in municipal law. Well it didn't work that way in Riverhead. Our Highway Superintendent is a man well liked in the community. Fulfills a need in the community, but where is highway construction qualification. All of Riverhead's positions have been filled by personalities and not qualifications. And we've got to fact up to that today.

People have pointed out that this 100% assessment was done by unqualified, incompetent people. True, that when we came up for grievance, who did we have to face, three political appointees. And now you, whoever you area, are influencing us to have the head man, a political appointee. Now this is going in reverse. This is not progress. Our vote for the assessor should stand. Recognition that more qualified and more knowledgeable people should be the candidates. They are here in Riverhead and they are available. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski: "Thank you. Back in the corner there."

PUBLIC HEARING - continued

Clark McCombe, 79 Sound Avenue, Riverhead; "I think the job of assessor has become more technical because of all the problems with the full value assessment, and the legislature has seen this and has delayed implementation of the full value assessing. Not all the Towns have it. Especially not here on Long Island towards — on the east end. And Upstate they've seen all the problems with the full assessment. I don't think the Town Board should follow one mistake with another and appoint an assessor and take the right of the people — the right to vote away from people.

But one thing that I notices about this Town Board meeting, is that how many people are here tonight, and it upsets me that it could have been slipped by in an afternoon session. I think the people of Riverhead are lucky to have Bill Nohejl down here keeping an eye on things and bringing this treacherous business out in the open."

Supervisor Janoski: "Mike McKillop?"

Mike McKillop, Riverhead; "Mrs. Danowski, I've known her all my life. I went to school with her son and you know she's not very far wrong. As I look up, I see a newspaper man, a liquor salesman, former teacher, a sanitation man and a doctor. But they all have one thing in common, and that thing in common is the love of Riverhead and the desire to do something correct for Riverhead. And I think that's the only qualification you need. Our assessors are local. They have the same love for Riverhead and I think that's the only qualification you need. I don't think you go to college and get as much education as you possibly can get and not have any common sense. I think common sense is the most important thing.

Now coming to a public hearing, I would like to know several things. I think some of the questions were asked tonight. Before I can talk intelligently on it, I'd rather have my right to vote by the way. But before I can talk intelligently on it, I want to know what qualifications will be demanded? How long his tenure is going to be? Whether he's going to be a Riverhead person or somebody from the west end and many other things? How do we get rid of him, if he's no good as stated before? But electing a person from Riverhead, I know that has a desire to do good things for Riverhead, I want to retain that right unless you can prove to me that something else is better."

Supervisor Janoski: "I would like to make a comment at this point and I think I can take the liberty for speaking for the Town Board. That should this idea proceed after this evening and I have serious doubts about that, there will be a further public hearing before which all the information that is possible to make available, will be made available. Is there anyone else

PUBLIC HEARING - continuedSupervisor Janoski continues:

wishing to be heard at this time. I can't see you back there?"

Robert Hartmann, Sound Avenue, Riverhead; "I like to agree with Mr. McKillop 100% and a lot of other speakers here. I, too, would also like to retain my right to vote and there's a lot of other people in this Town would. And I also agree with the fact that when you hold a hearing, especially one concerning town matters as this, why can't an explanation be made. Does it fall on us a residents, taxpayers and voters, we have to come down to the Town Attorney's Office to find out, to get a copy of the law and all the details of what's going on at a hearing like this. I don't think that's necessary. I think they should be put forth at the start of the hearing. That should be a normal process.

I'll tell you one other thing, that distresses me quite a bit too. Unless I've been reading wrong in the newspaper, various people were quoted as saying this thing looks like it will go through. I have seen quotations like that in the past. I've been down here to many Town Board Meetings, many hearings and I have seen the same thing in the past. But as long as I'm a resident of Riverhead, I have only heard a statement coming from any member of the Town Board one time, that said like this and that was on this sulphurization plant or the oil — for the oil . . ."

Supervisor Janoski: "Refinery."

Robert Hartmann: "Refinery. And that was let's call hearings and see what the people have to say. That was the only time that I heard that statement. And I think that would be a wonderful statement to hear much more coming out of the Town Board, before hearings are held.

How could somebody make a statement that it looks like it's going to go through. There's pretty well agreement or this or that or any various statements to that effect. I think it would sound much nicer to the people to say well there's a hearing coming up at such and such a date. I want to hear from the people. I want to hear what they have to say. I think that's very important. And that's why people are speaking up here tonight. They don't want to lose their right to vote, either. And they would like to retain their time to talk. Not only to talk, but let it not fall on deaf ears. We don't want somebody sitting up there that's all set, they got their mind made up of how they're going to vote on things. You could be the five smartest men in Riverhead, but the poorest, dumbest man in Rierhead and tell you something about a certain thing that you've never even thought of and I think it's very important to get what the people have to say and listen to them. Thank you."

PUBLIC HEARING - continued

Supervisor Janoski: "Thank you. Is there anyone else who wishes — I see a hand there."

Cyril Wulforst, Calverton, A farmer; "I think we are quite unique here or at the moment here in Riverhead that we still have a lot of agricultural land. And I personally would rather see a local man assessing my property than someone that would come in from another area. I don't think it's just too hard because the state has mandated some of our values and I'm sure it's just a matter of going along with state values.

Now the experience we've had with some of the professional people this summer was just out of this world. We used our local assessors to straighten out some of our tax bills and it worked very good. I'm still in favor of local tax assessors. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski: "Thank you. Is there anyone else that wishes to address the Board?"

No one else wishing to be heard and no communications having been received thereto, Supervisor Janoski declared the hearing closed at 9:10 P.M.

Supervisor Janoski: "I would open the meeting this time to anyone who wishes to be heard on any matter? Cy Walsh?"

Donald "Cy" Walsh, 712 Roanoke Avenue, Riverhead;
"And I (the tape ended) (tape began)....."

Donald "Cy" Walsh had asked why the name plate for the Supervisor was so small.

Irene J. Pendzick: "Do you want me to explain that? I think I should explain that. I suggested to the Town Board since so many people were here tonight that hadn't usually been to a meeting that they put their desk nameplates in front of them. Mr. Janoski's is small."

Supervisor Janoski: "I have a request from a number of the smokers on the Town Board that we take a short recess."

Supervisor Janoski recessed the meeting for ten minutes after which the meeting reconvened.

PERSONAL APPEARANCES

Supervisor Janoski: "The meeting is once again open to general comment. Is there anyone wishing to address the Town Board? Mr. Kenny Woods."

Kenny Woods: "My name is Kenneth Woods. I am the President of the Riverhead Police Benevolent Association which represents every member of the Riverhead Police Department and I am here speaking on their behalf.

There has been a lot of publicity lately concerning the feasibility of a merger of the Riverhead Police Department with the Suffolk County Police Department. Unfortunately, I think some of the comments made in the newspapers and the positions taken are somewhat premature. I have always believed that before a decision is made or a position is taken on a particular issue that the facts be presented entirely; this has not been the case here. I am here tonight to give some of the facts which I hope will explain our position.

In 1973 when I became a member of the Riverhead Police Department there were 36 uniformed officers. We operated 8 sector cars on each shift, plus a sergeant and a desk officer. Currently, we have 31 uniformed officers. Through illness, on the job related injuries, promotions and the formation of a Juvenile Bureau we have decreased even further. On any day or night of the week, we now consider ourselves to be fortunate when we have 5 sector cars on patrol. However, out of the 31 days this past January, on 23 of those days we had only 4 sector cars on at least one shift. On 5 days last January, we had only 3 sector cars on at least each shift. Three patrol cars for the entire Town of Riverhead.

We currently have a staff of four detectives. That's four detectives to investigate 30 robberies, 305 grand larcenies, 6 rapes, 3 murders and 732 burglaries. They have told me that they are filing away over 20 cases a week because four detectives cannot handle the volume. These are serious crimes we're talking about and we are unable to take action on them because, to put it in simple terms, we are too small.

We have 11 officers who, at the end of this year, will have 20 or more years of service and will be eligible to retire at any time. That number represents almost twenty-five percent of the total police force. If three of these officers were to retire, are they going to be replaced? I seriously doubt it. But even if they were, we would not be any further ahead. In fact, and I address my comments to those members of the Board that were here at the time, you have not replaced or added to this department since 1975 and when Mr. Janoski attempted to add four police officers to the current budget, you saw fit to remove them. But, and we do appreciate your efforts, Mr. Supervisor, even with the addition of four officers we would not be able to fully serve the citizens of this town. What you have failed to do over the past several years cannot be corrected in one. We have fallen too far behind for that and we do not believe the citizens of this town can afford to wait any longer.

PERSONAL APPEARANCES - continuedKenny Woods continued:

Let me further state that because of our present situation it has become impossible to maintain a training program to ensure that each officer is constantly being updated on new laws and procedures. No officer has attended an in-service training school in over 2 years. No officers, with the exception of one, is even certified in basic first aid. Our certification cards have all expired and I personally have not qualified with my handgun in over a year and a half.

The merchants in the town are saying that they want more protection. They are afraid to leave their businesses at night. Based on what I have stated here tonight, they have a good reason for feeling that way. I don't let my own wife go shopping in this town alone because I know what goes on on the streets of this town. We're out on those streets 7 days a week, 24 hours a day taking reports from the victims of crime. And because of our manpower situation that's about all we can do. Take a report and get back in the car. There is no time to investigate. Our manpower situation will not allow it.

Statements have been made in newspaper articles stating that a merger would increase taxes. The statements are correct. But to bring this department up to the total manpower we believe is necessary to adequately serve the people of this town, you would have to hire approximately 12 to 15 officers. This would also raise taxes. But, it would probably raise them well over the amount required for a merger and the taxpayer would enjoy even greater services through the merger. There are three basic emergency services that must be provided to a community. Police, fire and hospital services. Your fire department is volunteer. Your hospital is privately funded. The only emergency service you are asked to pay for are the police. However, the police budget constitutes somewhere around nine percent of the total town taxes. Nine percent. The school tax portion is somewhere around fifty-two percent, six times that of police protection.

Another argument being used against the merger is the term "Home Rule". I am not sure I fully understand what this term means, but some say it means that the police are and should be responsible to the Town Board. Used in this context, it implies that the police department should be under the control of the politicians. It has been written and I believe that politics and policing do not mix. The police are responsible to the needs of the people and should not be used as pawns on the political chess board. The administrators within the department know the problems and know best how to correct them. The decisions should be theirs.

It has been further stated that the reasoning behind our endorsement of a merger is that we are using it as a ploy in our contract negotiations and that we are "looking out for our pocketbooks". Let me assure you Mr. Menendez that if it were a contract ploy it would have been used long before this. The contract is little more than a month away from being

PERSONAL APPEARANCES - continuedKenny Woods continues:

finalized and we have been in negotiations for almost one full year. Let me make the record clear. Even if you were to compensate us the same as Suffolk County Officers, we would not change our position. We can no longer function effectively as a police department. We are losing our pride and self respect as professionals and after a while these things take their toll on you, not only as police officers, but also remember, we are human beings.

We believe this board has a responsibility to the people of this town. A responsibility to first allow them to know the facts about this proposal by sitting down with officials of the Suffolk County Police Department as was suggested in the report prepared by Chief Inspector DeWitt Treder of the Suffolk County Police Department and relay this information to them. Secondly, a responsibility to allow the people of this town to make the choice themselves. It is a decision that affects the well being and safety of every citizen of this town. And we do believe, and we do believe, excuse me, and we do not believe you have the right to make the decision for them.

In closing, I would just state that my remarks here tonight are not intended to cast disparity on the Riverhead Police Department. In fact, considering what these men, they have deserve a great deal of credit for the job they are doing, each and every one of them is a professional. But they feel the time has come to change. The people of this town are fortunate in a way. They have next door to them probably the finest Police Department in the Country. We feel it is time that they take advantage of it. And when looking upon this merger, we ask that you do not look at it as that we would be working for Suffolk County, but that Suffolk County would be enabling us to better serve you, the citizens of this Town. Thank you very much." (Filed.)

Supervisor Janoski: "Is there anyone else present?"

Bob?"

Bob O'Kane, Wading River; "I'd like to also address myself as the proposed merger of Riverhead Police with Suffolk County Police. On or about October 20, 1980, a report was released by the Suffolk County Police Department doing a study on the feasibility of the merger of Riverhead Police with the County Police. The report outlined the basic structure of the Seventh Precinct which would be located in Riverhead. This report was released to the Suffolk County Legislature and then was released to the public. Upon the release of the study to the public, there was quite an uproar within the Town Hall here, with accusations and fingers being pointed. Mr. Janoski was blamed for having a report done. Riverhead PBA was blamed. Mr. Noto's office of the County Legislature was blamed. The county

PERSONAL APPEARANCES - continuedBob O'Kane continues:

Police was blamed as wanting to extend their power and then down at the bottom was a little quotation about a Wading River resident had requested the study. The last is the true. I requested the study through an aid in Mr. Noto's Office.

Why did I request a study? Well much of that has been stated by Mr. Woods. From my own experience, eighteen years as a police officer, it's quite apparent that Riverhead has problems. Serious problems in the area of police protection. Riverhead Police is seriously undermanned. There is no question about it. The Town Board is unable to or unwilling to act upon bringing the police force up to the proper strength to provide just basic services to the public. We've been hearing now for four years that the Riverhead tax base is eroding. Approximately four years ago there was even talk of laying off five policemen because of the poor tax base. So I thought there had to be a better way since there's apparently no help from the Town Board since the tax base is apparently eroding as they say it is.

I looked at it as to what are the possibilities and what would be the benefits of the Town of Riverhead for going County Police. Being a resident in the Town of Riverhead now for eight and one-half years, two things as a voter and resident would come into my mind. What services would I get? What would it cost in my pocket? The study goes into detail as to what would be done for the Town of Riverhead by the County Police. The one thing I had assumed when I had asked for the study, had hoped for — would be that a precinct would be created out here. Because that was the only way that Riverhead would end up getting additional manpower for any money spent. The report clearly indicates that a precinct would be formed in the Town of Riverhead. It also clearly states that the personnel that are assigned here and which only common sense dictates, that those personnel would be requested to work here and stay on. The report goes on and I don't see any reason to go into elaborate detail on it. And at the bottom line on it is that the effect of police protection for the Town of Riverhead would increase over 100%.

Now we say what does that do to our pocketbook? I've been into the Town on all numerous occasions getting facts and figures from the budget books and the people in the accounting office. The figures I come up with roughly I have not been able to get all the figures is that the Town spend 2.6 million dollars on police services. If the Town was to merge with the Suffolk County Police Department, the Town would pay approximately 3 million dollars to the County. There have been a lot of mis-quotes or apparently misunderstanding by some Board Members on how taxing works. I'd like to clear it up a little bit. If the County was to provide six or seven million dollars worth of police services to the Town of Riverhead, you would not be taxed on that. You're taxed the same way a homeowner is based on the value of your property. In this case, Riverhead runs approximately 2.7% of the full value of the County Police District when the figures are put together. 2.7% roughly works out to about

PERSONAL APPEARANCES - continuedBob O'Kane continues:

three million dollars. So we're talking about an increase of approximately \$400,000. I contacted the Receiver of Taxes Office, this represents less than a \$40.00 increase per taxpayer. Possibly quite a bit less, but I'm working on a high figure. Most of my figures are approximately on this. I do not believe I'm talking about more than a 10% error on it. Most of the major budget items are in and there would not be any significant change in it.

Recently, the PBA Board came out and endorsed the merger. And they were blasted by Mr. Menendez. They only wanted to increase the amount of money in their pocket was the only reason. Well being a police officer myself, and having worked the road many years, I like to know there's another policeman along side of me. I also know people in the community like to know that there is a policeman around once in a while. Mr. Woods pointed out some of the facts and figures on police protection this past January on man power. He was talking about on some nights there were only three cars covering the Town of Riverhead. We get into the summertime we start running into vacations. We start running into more increased crime, more increased problems. Kids out of school. Increased calls. I doubt very much that you would ever see five cars on the road and I would say that you probably would be very happy to see four cars, probably would see only three cars on the road most of the time. Mr. Menendez also seemed to like the quote on the matter of people having a right to vote. And he was going on the Suffolk County initiative and referendum that the people don't have any mandate to the Board to put it onto vote so, therefore, it's not going to go on. I did a little research on that before I even got going on that.

I contacted Mr. Blass' office, County Legislator Blass, and I got a copy of the County Charter which clearly states that a voter referendum is all that's needed for a proposed merger. So that the voters decide in the Town of Riverhead that they want County Police protection here, double the police protection that they have now at a cost of approximately \$40.00 a year, they would have the final say, but not the Board. As a matter of fact, the Board would have no say, except for the fact that they are themselves voters in the Town of Riverhead.

So basically, just to sum it up, the Town can get double police protection at minimal cost. The sources that I got my information from was the Riverhead Town Budget 1980, the Suffolk County Budget 1980 and from various percentages of value in relationship to the various towns. I got facts and figures from the Miller report on Peconic County, a Legislative Study and the County Executive Study.

I'd also like to point out that the problems that the Riverhead Town Police have is not due to the quality of the men. Any man that's asked to go out there and work under the conditions that they're working, should be patted on the back and not told that he's just trying to fatten up his pocket. When I came on the police force, I had to take a \$30.00 a week cut, most policemen that I know had to take a

PERSONAL APPEARANCES - continuedBob O'Kane continues

pay cut to come on. We come on to serve, not to get rich."

Supervisor Janoski: "Thank you Bob. Councilman Menendez has asked for the floor."

Councilman Menendez: "Mr. O'Kane, I can't let your speech go by without a little rebuttal. You sit there professing yourself to be an expert when in fact you are a Sergeant in the Police Force of Suffolk County, as I understand it. You are not an accountant. You are not an expert in anything except maybe communications. I think a dim view of you sitting there — of you standing there telling me that I won't allow them to have a vote in this Town. If you have something to say why don't you come and talk to me. I don't know where you get your information, but it's wrong. If they want to have a vote in this town, I'm for it as long as they have it for a referendum, fine. If the people want it I don't care. But you just present all your facts fairly and honestly. I resent your whole attitude on it."

Lorraine DeNicholo, A merchant of Things Beautiful, Main Street, Riverhead; "I wanted to speak at the last meeting but I was much too nervous and at a loss for words, so here I am back again and I think I'm glad I picked tonight.

I wrote to the Town Board two weeks ago asking for more police protection on Main Street, especially Friday evenings and more lighting on the First Street Parking lot.

I have to digress for a moment to twenty-seven years ago when I worked as a telephone operator on Main Street. At that time Main Street ran from the Old Riverhead Theater to the Suffolk Theater. At that time there was only one policeman patrolling.

We have since doubled and tripled in shops and population. I'm wondering if the police force has grown in proportion with the Town.

You are here as our elected officials to hear our pleas and to do in your heart what you think best for our town.

I know there are many problems to consider. We are in hard times with inflation and taxpayers screaming over higher taxes and money so very scarce.

Putting that all aside for a moment, remember one important fact. Crime is costly to everyone. No one escapes from it whether directly or indirectly as with Linda Fioto from the Book Cove who was a victim of an armed robbery or indirectly as a taxpayer whose rights are infringed upon by having to stay home on a nice evening for fear of leaving their home and walk Main Street.

PERSONAL APPEARANCES - continuedLorraine DeNicholo continues:

Prevention or a strong deterrent to come will cost us all a lot less in the long run, both financially and mentally. I can think of nothing that would give a secure welcome to all those who live and shop in our town, to see more of our men in blue patrolling Main Street and sufficient lighting in all the public parking areas.

We can't do it alone and we're a little tired of being vandalized.

Riverhead is our town. Let's keep it growing with pride and let the police grow with it.

Thank you." (Filed.)

Irene J. Pendzick: "Mrs. DeNicholo, could I have that statement?"

Supervisor Janoski: "Does anyone else wished to be recognized? Mr. Kasperovich?"

William Kasperovich, Wading River; "I think it's only reasonable after having the police officers stand here and speak on their behalf to have somebody speak from the citizen side. It's almost impossible to oppose a man in blue uniform, putting his life on the line, protecting my home. However, my home is Riverhead Township and we have home rule. We are not run by some fancy schmancy politicals from Huntington and other rich areas that know nothing of my backyard. The county people would like to gobble up Riverhead Township at every turn. This is just one of them. We have that true with environment. We have that true with health. We have that true with almost all of the larger agencies in the county do everything possible to gobble us up.

Now I can remember when at a Town Meeting we would have the top uniform man sitting on the left side of the room partaking in the Town Meeting. I remember when the Town Board would face facts with the men in the blue uniform. This is the — this — departing from this situation is actually the crisis that is presented in this room. Not the money, not the cost to the taxpayer, but the relationship of the law enforcement men and the Town Board. Whoever is guilty is not the point. The point is that it is obvious that you five people are detached from our police force for whatever reason and this has to be remedied.

Having Brookhaven or Suffolk County Police in Riverhead Township will not solve our problems. Our conditions here need changes. Our conditions here need improvement. But by letting the large agency gobble us up will not solve the problems for us. I think that is as far as I want to go. But you gentlemen have a moral obligation to your constituents to get together with our police department. Thank you."

PERSONAL APPEARANCES - continued

Supervisor Janoski: "Thank you Bill. Is there anyone else who wishes to be recognized? I would like to say, Bill, that the Chief who is standing in the back is not in uniform. He's with us just about every Town Board Meeting and if he's not, the Captain is. It was something you mentioned. I just wanted to point out that he's here most of the time. I saw Mr. Podlas."

Paul Podlas, Riverhead; "I'm sorry you said my name so loud Joe, because I'm afraid after tonight, it won't be too safe for me. But I'm going to disagree with joining Suffolk County. For the simple reason that I heard about three sector cars, four sector cars, maybe five in the Town of Riverhead at any one time. I haven't heard any figures of how many Suffolk County's going to supply us. Maybe it will be one. I don't know. And I do have a feeling right now if I have a problem that I could walk into the local police department, I feel a little bit more at ease. I can talk to them. If I have a problem I can walk up to you gentlemen or into your office. If we're going County Police Department, I'm not going to have to go up to maybe Hauppauge, maybe I'll have to take a day off to attend a meeting.

Again I'm against this, bigness is better. I just can't agree to it. I'm also distressed at the fact that \$40.00 isn't much on my taxes, but it bothers me. Forty dollars for police protection and the next thing I know it's \$40.00 for something else. That is only one mill. Then I look at my tax bill and it's \$300.00 higher next year. I mean quite frankly, I can't stand it all the time. It's getting tougher and tougher. Oil companies think nothing of just jacking it up 10¢ or 12¢ a gallon. Murrah for them.

I'm in the construction business. I'm not into competitive bidding. I don't say hey I'm going to jack you up a little bit up to \$40.00. That's nothing. Let's forget about it. That's the way I feel. It's a tough nut to crack. We need the protection. The difference between 2.7 million and 3 million to me \$300,000, that's a lot of money. If your spread it out, sure it still — a small amount, but each one of us in this room is going to have to share in it. And I'm just of the opinion that we should maintain Riverhead Police Department as it is as an individual unit and not join in the County. And I hope you fellows all forget who spoke right now. Thank you."

Supervisor Janoski: "Thank you. Is there anyone else who wishes to be recognized?"

No one else wished to be heard at this time.

There being no further business on motion and vote, the meeting adjourned at 9:55 P.M.

Irene J. Pendzick
Irene J. Pendzick, Town Clerk