

Minutes of a Town Board Meeting held by the Town Board of the Town of Riverhead at Town Hall, 200 Howell Avenue, Riverhead, New York, on Tuesday, November 20, 2001, at 7:00 p.m.

Present:

Robert Kozakiewicz,	Supervisor
Edward Densieski,	Councilman
James Lull,	Councilman
Christopher Kent,	Councilman
Philip Cardinale,	Councilman

Also Present:

Dawn Thomas, Esq.,	Town Attorney
Melissa White,	Deputy Town Clerk

Absent:

Barbara Grattan,	Town Clerk
------------------	------------

Supervisor Kozakiewicz called the meeting to order at 7:10 p.m. and the Pledge of Allegiance was recited, led by Peter Danowski, Esq.

(There was a problem with the CD recording)

Minutes of the November 7, 2001, Town Board meeting were approved. Moved by Councilman Lull; Seconded by Councilman Kent.

Committee Reports - Councilman Densieski reported on the Recreation Committee.

Supervisor Kozakiewicz read the leaf pick up schedule.

REPORTS:

Juvenile Aid Bureau	Monthly report for October
Bid Report	Downtown Beautification - results listed in Town Clerk's Office
Bid Report	Darren Development - RWD - results in Town Clerk's Office

Bid Report

Human Resource Center -
results in Town Clerk's Office

APPLICATIONS:

Parade Permit

Riverhead Lions Club - Santa
Claus parade - 12/9 at 1:00
p.m.

Site Plans

Colleen Buckley - alteration
and restoration of existing 2
story wood framed structure

Special Permit

North Shore Farms - process
land clearing debris to make
mulch

CORRESPONDENCE:

Petition

Gendot Homes for the extension
of the Riverhead Water
District for tax map #0600-
79-02-7.1

Letter of Resignation

George Malahias, Sheryl
Heather

Letter of Protest

Anthony Tohill against
Reliance Leasing change of
zone Baiting Hollow anonymous
resident against the proposed
airport, sand mines and zoning

Notification letter

Ivan's Shoe Store - dangerous
sidewalk conditions on
Railroad Avenue

Public Hearing opened: 7:14 p.m.

Melissa White: "I have affidavits of publishing and posting for
a public hearing to be held at Riverhead Town Hall, Riverhead, New
York on November 20, 2001, at 7:05 p.m. to consider the special permit
petition of James Tsunis, TC 58, Inc. to allow the construction of an

89 unit motel facility upon real property described as Suffolk County Tax Map Parcel Numbers 0600-119-1-9.1 and 0600-119-1-9.2."

(CD now recording)

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "-- all lost. All that information about Stewart has been lost. It's going now. Okay, very good. Sorry Stewart."

Peter Danowski: "We'll send the videotape back to his mother."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay."

Peter Danowski: "Again, just citing the location. This is across from Ed Meyer's recreational development area. This is on a hill. Basically where you see some sheds these days. It is two of three lots that were granted subdivision approval when Mr. Lynch owned the property. For those who've had some memory of past years, there were some model homes that were on the site at one time. They were used as a sales office for selling Lynch Homes product and it now calls for the erection of a motel with 89 rooms.

The project started out with 96 rooms on basically what looked like on a plan, two buildings, although they were connected into one. We have presented here tonight a Young & Young conceptual site plan shown on the left as I look at these two boards. We also have a rendering done of what the ultimate building will look like.

The applicant has appeared before the Architectural Review Board who has given at least an informal verbal approval of the look of the building. Behind that rendering is a floor plan as outlined by our architect who is here tonight, Martin Sendlewski. And the firm of Young & Young, Mr. Sendlewski and myself are here to answer any questions that might be posed at the end of this hearing.

This site plan conceptually meets the Town's zoning requirements. This matter has been referred to the Town's Planning Board. The Planning Board did require additional information including a traffic report which is part of the record for anyone's review. It's a public record. It was prepared by Ensign Engineering (phonetic), and rather than bore you with traffic counts, basically one of the main points is that a motel use, the traffic users typically don't travel the same hours as the heaviest use of traffic on the roadway. So that when you compare uses, a motel use is not generating traffic at the same times that other uses might be.

This application is a special permit. If you look at the Town's Industrial A Zoning I would expect that other than a motel, the most popular, and probably the only other practical use that would be compared here would be a restaurant. There was some possibility and some discussion about putting two restaurants on this site, one on each lot at some point. So for comparison sake of what would you use this property for, my client and I myself think that a better use of the property is a motel. It's something that's needed in the town; it is well designed; it meets the zoning. It now has a Planning Board recommendation of approval.

We will have to wait for the Suffolk County Department of Planning to give their report back to the town. There are certain site plan issues that I think were of some concern. These site plan issues concern grading, cross easement paths, and quite frankly, I think they're most handled better during the site plan process.

If the special permit does issue subsequent to that time, Mr. Young, Mr. Sendlewski will come back with a very, very detailed plan including the drainage areas, curb cut areas, and discussions will probably take place with the Suffolk County Department of Public Works as well as the Riverhead Town Board to work out the fine detail of the site plan.

So, per the existing legislation in the town, at this point, we have a conceptual plan layout with a look of the building. This is what we want to build and this is where we want to build it.

We're here to hear public comment and I'll address any further comments at the end of tonight's meeting. Thank you."

Councilman Kent: "Does anybody else want to be heard at this time? Sandra Mott."

Sandra Mott: "Sandra Mott, Riverhead."

Councilman Kent: "You have to raise your right hand."

Sandra Mott: "Pardon me."

Dawn Thomas: "Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?"

Sandra Mott: "Yes, I do. I'm a little confused as to the precise location. Mr. Danowski indicated that it was on the hill

where the shed manufacturer is now. But he also indicated it was the location where the Lynch model homes were located. There is- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I think he said it was next to where the Lynch model homes were located. So it would be the parcel east of it."

Sandra Mott: "So it's the shed location on the hill? Yes?"

Supervisor Kozakeiwicz: "(Inaudible) and Company."

Sandra Mott: "I live next door to it. I'm just- "

Peter Danowski: "It's the two westerly lots- two easterly lots of the Lynch home site. So my comment really was for traveling down the highway for ease of location, I mentioned the shed location. It's actually basically to the west of that location."

Sandra Mott: "So the former Lynch- "

Peter Danowski: "Two of those three lots on the- "

Sandra Mott: "Two of the three. Okay, thank you. Because that's quite a big difference. It doesn't really make any difference to me because I don't approve either site."

As he indicated, this has been going on for two years. It was under another name and another category. I spoke against it then; I'll speak against it now. It looks like a very lovely building but not next door to where we're living.

As to, again, traffic effect, I think traffic statistics are crucial to the process especially since there's a plan in the works for an extension of Glenwood Village which is a senior community as it is of around 500 units and they're going to tack on another 90 or 100, whatever. And there's enough of an issue on 58 as it is, getting in and getting out. You can't even make a left.

And my presumption is that these people that are staying there will probably want to make a right so as we're trying to make a left and they're trying to make a right, you are going to have issues.

As to the sewage connection, again, my concern is based on the fact of the alleged extension of how it's identified of Glenwood and how it's going to affect the amount that's going into the system,

since Glenwood's extension was based on the fact that senior citizens don't use the water as much, I guarantee you people using motels probably will use it more. Not to mention the fact that it's abutting a wetlands area that supposedly is going to be preserved during the process of the Glenwood quote unquote extension. And it is abutting a senior citizen community where people came for serene and tranquil environment not for motel people coming and going at all hours of the day, night, weekends, holidays, whenever.

During the previous discussion, there were a number of people representing different- a number of the various hotels and motels of which I am not affiliated with any of them and their concern was they only had 13 good weekends per year and that anything new coming into the community was going to undermine their business interests. So I don't know if they're going to be here speaking or not. Perhaps they can't be due to the holiday weekend.

Also, another concern was what's happening in East Quogue. There's a motel there and there's a massive concern as to the fact that money is easier made into turning it into a welfare facility for homeless people which absolutely is a necessity but how do we know it's not going to happen to this facility if it turns out that it's not s needed as everyone seems to think it is.

In addition to that, you'll have the noise, the traffic, the trash, the crime potentially adjacent to a senior citizen community. I don't think it's particularly located in a well situated situation although I understand it's owned by these gentlemen and they want to move it along. I think it should be more down towards Splish-Splash and the Ramada and all those other amusements that the Town is encouraging to develop more of the same.

Previously the 96 unit motel was referred to as a Mom and Pop. Well, I don't know too many that are 96 units that are Mom and Pop. I guess we've moved away from that classification for this facility.

I understand the zoning- a special permit requested that you don't have to rezone it. So, again, we're back to the special permit without any plan in place for the entire town of Riverhead. Special is not as special as it should be.

Let's see. That's basically a rehash of the same thing I said when they brought this forward 18 months, two years ago, whenever it was. I didn't go through my files to see what it was but it was basically the same thing, the same issues, the same concern. That was

rejected by the Planning Board for the County. I'm hopeful that they will do the same.

It's a highly congested area because not only do you have Glenwood there now, you've got the other proposed facility across the way for the multiplex of 14 units. I think they're going to have a hotel or motel situation there. They're going to have the retail, they're going to have- I forget what else they're going to have. But they're going to have plenty. And now you have Home Depot at the other corner. The properties are all up for sale on all the corners of Mill and Route 58 and let's say we're running out of space to make any decisions to move one way or the other, left, right, north or south.

I think you need to put into place your master plan, your moratorium. I understand this gentleman has been waiting a long time but I can guarantee you he probably doesn't live next to a motel, hotel or as I said as nice as this looks, it's going to come with issues. And since we're going to have to endure the issues, I'll have to protest it.

If it were elsewhere, it looks very nice to me. But, again, it's not going to be far enough away from where we live, from where we drive, from where we have to endure the confusion that's going to come with it that I cannot accept it.

Now I hope that those considerations will be made. I don't know if they will or if they won't but nonetheless those are concerns that should be considered. Whether anyone else comes up here to protest or not or support or not, I think the timing of a holiday is really inconsiderate for a lot of people who do have people out of town and especially at Glenwood where there are a lot of people who probably have left the neighborhood and won't be able to participate one on one with this process.

Thank you for your time."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. John, do you want to come up? John Fields."

John Fields: "How did you know?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I figured you were standing up there in the back for a reason. You need to be sworn in, John. John Fields."

Dawn Thomas: "Do you swear that the testimony to be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?"

John Fields: "Yes, I do. I would like to say the motel is beautiful. The architect has done a wonderful job and I understand that things are in line to approve the motel. I do believe, though, it's the Town Board's responsibility that there's an obligation to well before making a decision on a special permit, to study impacts on the competitive market and also the market as a whole, the economics of the market out here in Riverhead.

And all I want to do is give you a little bit of information to think about. If you drive down the Long Island Expressway, you see hotels going up left and right, some of which belong to the Tsunis family. There's at exit 63, there's a Hampton Inn going up which should open in a month or so, if not sooner. The Best Western across the street, which will soon be a Radisson, just added about 50 or 55 rooms to their hotel about a year ago. There's a (inaudible) Suites proposed at exit 64; a Wingate Hotel at exit 62; exit 68, a Holiday Inn and Suites; Exit 61 there is a Marriott Courtyard right there on the expressway where behind it is a Best Inn Motel. Exit 56, a Holiday Inn Express just opened up. Exit 57 scheduled to open soon is a Residence Inn in the Hauppauge area. And then south of exit 57 there is a proposed Hilton Garden. That's just west of here.

And I can tell you that everything that happens west affects the Ramada Inn. If they're busy up west, we're busy. If they're not busy, we're not busy. East Wind, which is a country inn, actually a tremendous hotel in my opinion, has 50 rooms coming on line within the next year I would assume. We haven't even seen what the impact of this market place is going to have once those 50 rooms are on line. And I know it's going to be tremendous.

You have to think, too, of what's going to happen with those motels on Route 25 that have already invested in what they believe is a better product for this area. They're not doing as well as they anticipated. I'm speaking for them but I've had conversations with them. But I think that if the special permit is approved and you have a motel like this of 89 rooms which might as well be 100 like the Ramada Inn, those little motels are going to be impacted, and they're going to be impacted to a point of having to survive.

And when a motel like that has to survive, we've seen historically they go to Social Services, they take in who they can, whomever will pay rent, and then they go and they serve as a County

residence.

I think everything that Riverhead has done and what these little motels have done is move Riverhead forward. Something like this, could move Riverhead backwards, at least in the hotel industry, in the lodging industry.

If you ask me and I encourage to ask me what the occupancy level at Ramada is tonight, I can tell you honestly it's 21%. There are 21 people staying at the hotel tonight. There are 23 tomorrow. These numbers are not unusual this time of year at all.

I brought with me and I can leave it for the Town Board to look over. I serve on the Board of Directors for the Long Island Convention and Visitors Bureau and a couple of meetings ago, the impact, and I hate to always refer to the September 11th tragedy. Unfortunately, it has had a major impact on tourism and hotels here on Long Island. I sat around the Board table and listened to the manager of the Holiday Inn in Ronkonkoma and the manager of the Marriott in Uniondale, almost in tears, not knowing what to do, how they were going to fill their hotels.

People are not traveling. People are not flying in. The Holiday Inn in Ronkonkoma lost a slew of airline crews that they're going to need to make up somehow. They're going to come into this marketplace and take whatever they need to take to occupy the rooms over in Islip township.

The President of the Convention of Visitors Bureau has sent out notices encouraging members to send letters to the local legislators to encourage them to take a look at a six point recovery plan to bail out the tourism industry. The tourism industry needs help. That's all I can say.

I encourage you to look at this. I won't bore everyone by reading it. I also would like to leave for you- (inaudible) Publications puts out reviews. This is the 2001 tourism review and it indicates the occupancy levels of hotels dropping significantly. There have been increases- the demand has been there since 1992 all the way up until, actually until the year 2000. And after that- this is an unbiased report, you see the trickle down of occupancy levels as much as four to seven percent, especially in eastern Suffolk.

All I ask is that you look at this information and that you make an honest decision in approving a special permit. I think we should-

you should wait and see what the impact East Wind has. Fifty rooms is half of what Ramada has. There's going to be a major impact, not only on what Ramada does but also on those little motels on Route 25 and build the demand before you build the supply.

There's no reason right now for people to be traveling out here. There isn't, in the off peak months. July and August, there's plenty of people out here and if I had another 100 rooms, I could probably fill them every Saturday night in July and August. Other than that, they're not here.

Can I leave these for you?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yes. Leave them with Melissa and they will be made part of the record. Thank you, John. Brian- Brian Stark."

Dawn Thomas: "Do you swear the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?"

Brian Stark: "Yes. Brian Stark, Aquebogue. We're an adjoining property owner with Glenwood. There's multiple easements across both the front of the property and down the side which we have two of. So I was just concerned with how Jim is going to address the rights of way, what impact that would have on us and other property owners.

It looks like a nice facility. As a property owner and manager, I would hope it would stay that way. I share the concerns made by the other two speakers. I think that's important. The last thing we need is another Section 8 hotel in town, or motel. So I think that terms scares some people.

But I just want to know how the rights of way are going to be addressed because that could have an impact on us."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay. Any questions, if there's going to be, what I'm going to do is ask the applicant to address them at the very end. Let other speakers come up, take notes and, hopefully, we can address them all at the very end that way. Is that- okay, thank you. Anybody else who would like to talk for or against or speak in favor of or against this particular special permit? Mr. Kasperovich."

Dawn Thomas: "Mr. Kasperovich, do you swear that the testimony you are about to give, to be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing

but the truth?"

William Kasperovich: "So help me, God."

Dawn Thomas: "Thank you."

William Kasperovich: "Well, we start off by saying something nice. Young & Young makes a nice rendering on a big sheet of paper with a fancy north arrow but doesn't take the trouble to indicate as we usually expect a location map. A small scale location map. It's not there. The floor plans, the halls and rooming arrangement reminds me of a nursing home I was in a couple of weeks ago. It's a one night stand type of facility. And this is easily presented because the- so many of them have been built around the country that the unit prices or per unit and for furnishings is almost catalogue style.

The pretty picture, all I can say about it is that it's pretty. If you stand there with the traffic zooming by and the activities in the surrounding area and envision what is- can be put in place with which to be built, I can't see this as a add to the benefit of the township.

This neither indicates a good asset to the area. It's a minimum one overnight stay place which I don't think is appropriate for this area at this time. Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address the Board either for or against this particular special permit? I haven't seen anybody- Peter, would you want to address the issue raised by Mr. Stark regarding the easements?"

Peter Danowski: "Yes. We've well known that there are easements on this property. There's also I think a desire on the town's part, probably on the county's part, to limit access points out to County Road 58. The one easement that Mr. Stark was mentioning we've had discussions about. The structure is not located on any easement so that the real question is will they be unimpeded, will the rights of anyone that has rights over a right of way or easement be honored, and they will be. So there's not going to be an interference with anyone's rights of way.

To the extent that the town has to honor their rights of way, they'll have to do that, however, we will do that. And, again, that's probably really an issue when we get to the detailed site plan dealing with grading and drainage where we'll have Mr. Raynor and other town

representatives evaluating Mr. Young's work or Mr. Sendlewski's work and I think we'll have some detailed discussions with the neighbors at that time.

In fact, I didn't make mention of this, but we've had conversations with Mr. Strollo's (phonetic) representative, is the neighboring property owner about cooperating when it comes down to the time of construction as to who might be-- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Mr. Strollo is located in which direction? East of the property?"

Peter Danowski: "On the east side."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay."

Peter Danowski: "And so whoever would start construction on their site first, we'd have the cooperation of the other. If Mr. Strollo started first, we'd cooperate with him and vice versa.

Because there was some question about, and again, this would be a detailed site plan question at that stage about whether you would grade, whether you would put retaining walls, and how you'd handle that property line. So that will be handled in the future and the town will be part of that process as will the town's experts."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay. Anybody else would like to address the Board at this time either for or against this particular special permit?"

Councilman Kent: "I have a couple questions."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "You have some questions for Mr. Danowski?"

Councilman Kent: "Yes. For Mr. Danowski."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay."

Councilman Kent: "As far as- somebody did bring up regarding the waste water flow analysis. Do you know what this use is expected to generate?"

Peter Danowski: "I hate to put Tom Wolpert on the real problem. We're in the- as I understand it, capable of being serviced by public

sewer. We're in the Sewer District and sewer is available to us. I don't know if Tom as I'm delaying this answer can provide you the sewer flow."

Councilman Kent: "At the same time, does he know what the projected waste water for the site was as part of the commercial- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "What the allowance was? What was calculated?"

Councilman Kent: "Right."

Peter Danowski: "All right. Let's see- he's got his little calculator here so I want to- "

Unidentified: "I think they want to know what (inaudible)."

Councilman Kent: "Right. There was a study- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "In other words when the study was done- "

Councilman Kent: "In 1995, the commercial sewer district study."

Peter Danowski: "I have no idea."

Councilman Kent: "Okay. Maybe- "

Peter Danowski: "Does the town know?"

Councilman Kent: "We could find out."

Peter Danowski: "Then I'm sure we all can. We can make that part of an answer on the record after tonight's hearing."

Councilman Kent: "Do you know what the projected lot coverage is?"

Peter Danowski: "Yes. It's- the building will occupy approximately 17% of the area. In fact, to give you some more detailed information, Mr. Sendlewski prepared some sketches that I could possibly hand out for anyone's review including the town. It will give you a little detail for you."

What I've handed up is some handwritten notes on one of these site plans that covers the coverage issue, height of the building, all the details that conform to zoning."

Councilman Kent: "Oh. It's three stories, right? No, because we have a Planning Board resolution that says that the projected- the site plan depicts a floor area ratio of 50%. But that's only because you've got three floors."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "One five. Fifteen percent or fifty."

Councilman Kent: "Fifty. Fifty percent. What they did was they took the total number of square feet, not the envelope, and they multiplied- they took the envelope and multiplied it by three."

Peter Danowski: "I think what's happening and I think we see this a lot now when we're in discussions with the Planning Board is that as we all know there's no master plan adopted, there's no zoning done after the master plan is adopted and so we have some reports that mention some new theories that might be applied if they are adopted and so that some comments are made about them."

My own attitude is you've got a zoning code and the zoning code tells you what you can do. I think for the first time we're talking about the concept of floor plan. We usually talk about the size of the building, we talk about the building footprint, now we're talking about floor plans. So there are some comments there.

My only suggestion is that under the existing rules today, my position a special permit should be issued allowing the use and then when we get to the detailed site plan and you want to place, you know, some conditions on it, that would be the proper time to do it and you'd have an input from everyone. But I do understand what you've just said that there's been some comment made concerning floor plan and if you add up all the rooms, count the amount of floor space and then you try to translate that into coverage, if that's what you want to adopt in the future as a new Town code sometime in the future, you could apply that kind of formula."

Councilman Lull: "That's an important formula for lot coverage- not an important formula for lot coverage but only for schedules and so forth."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Any other questions, Chris?"

Councilman Kent: "You talked earlier about traffic. You had said that it was not really a major concern because the points at which people will be traveling to and from the site will be off peak or not in the- "

Peter Danowski: "That's correct. Regina Maringo (phonetic), the head of Ensign Engineering, submitted a report to the Planning Department, considered by the Planning Board that's part of your record for your review which just indicated that. That's a fact. I also note that the Planning Board in making their recommendations was asking you to consider whether you'd limit right turns in and no left turn in and right turn out. And I suppose you could try to do that with every project that's on Route 58. That is something they're recommending you do."

Councilman Kent: "We should put in more traffic circles then so you can get around- "

Peter Danowski: "Well, you and I know our good friend, Jack Van de Wetering is proposing one way down 58 so- and one way down Main Street."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I don't think he's the only one who's proposed that."

Peter Danowski: "And I'm not so sure I disagree but yes, I think there's always a concern on Route 58 about stopping and making left hand turns when there is traffic in the roadway. If that's the answer that all the traffic people want to have happen, you'll make that a condition. I would just expect that you do that at the site plan level, not at the special permit."

Councilman Kent: "Well we could really mess this up if we make it one way in the westerly direction and say right turns in and right turns out only. Nobody will ever come to the site."

Peter Danowski: "Well, you know, I guess I you know the comment that I forgot to mention. I mean, we're supposed to be a tourist destination area. We're asking people to come out here and visit the vineyards, come out here for shopping. To be a tourist destination, I think it's kind of unfair to compare well, why don't you go stay overnight in Hauppauge.

I mean the truth of the matter is we need, I think, quality rooms out here on the east end so people will stay out here and shop and

spend their money out here when they're here. So- "

Councilman Kent: "Let me just go back to the traffic question. I really had a question here. What did you- what is considered the time when people would be arriving and departing from this location? If it's off peak, you're saying they would arrive- "

Peter Danowski: "They would arrive not at the time when the peak hours of 9- the people are going to work to and from at 9:00 and at 5:00 would not be the peak hours when motel users would be using the property. It would be before the 9:00 or after the 5:00. So that, you know, it would be staggered as to times of use."

Councilman Kent: "I don't know. I'm thinking about when I arrive at hotels and leave. I usually leave at 9:00 in the morning and arrive at 5:00 in the afternoon."

Peter Danowski: "I won't touch that one."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Just on vacation."

Councilman Kent: "No. But I'm usually going to a motel when I'm not working."

Peter Danowski: "Yeah. And I'm thinking I arrive at a motel at midnight or something and I'm on the road again or something."

And I think there was another comment that's a fair comment that we didn't mention that a couple of years ago to be distinguished from this application was another Tsunis named John who came in and tried to get the Town to amend their code to hotel as an allowed use and I think many people might prefer a hotel. And my client is not adverse to that. So, if at the end of this process hotel becomes a permitted use and really I think we're talking about outside door entrances as one major consideration, I think we can adjust to that architectural design."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay. Anything else, Chris?"

Councilman Kent: "It just seems a little tight on two acres."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Over intensification. Too intense."

Councilman Kent: "Yeah, if you look at the way the plan is, it's almost completely paved. I know there's a small strip up in the

front there- what is that, 50 feet wide?"

Peter Danowski: "It's- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Fifty-five I thought."

Peter Danowski: "Right. You've got to talk about the proposed taking line of the County at some point and there's several easements. I think we can come back with a landscape plan and we'll landscape again through the site plan review process."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay. Is there anybody else who would like to- yes, Sandra Mott, you want to- a question? Okay. No, you're sworn. You're considered sworn."

Sandra Mott: "Sandra Mott, Riverhead. They're only using two of the parcels that were formerly the Lynch property and then I saw outside that there's still another parcel. Can we sort of guess that they'll probably be putting a restaurant or something on that other piece? Because there are no restaurants in that area outside of Applebees, and without crossing over- unless- I assume they'll have a restaurant within the motel as well, or not. Motels usually don't; hotels usually do. So that other little parcel would be handy to be a restaurant usage and then we'll have additional issues."

Councilman Kent: "Is the adjoining parcel to the east or west?"

Sandra Mott: "West."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "It would be west."

Councilman Kent: "And how big is that parcel? Do you know, Pete, Howie?"

Peter Danowski: "In response, it's approximately one acre in size. We do not own that property. We have no plans for a restaurant on that parcel but Mrs. Mott is correct in saying another owner, someone else could develop it for whatever purposes they saw fit under zoning. Certainly that's true."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "So just to clear, there's no interest in the adjoining parcel by the applicant of the matter that's before us."

Sandra Mott: "All that parking and so on could help alleviate

some of my concerns because that parking is going to drip and the noise is going to drip right back into the Glenwood Village area. I'm talking about the parking on the easterly side of the building. Maybe they should consider buying their parcel and take their parking and bring it around to the other side, to the front where there's already noise on Route 58 rather than to the rear where there's some quietness. Do you see where I'm talking about?

I don't know at what point the community has input into site plan or not. I've never participated in anything like that. But that kind of consideration should be made because that's an awful deep draw back into the Town and as Mr. Danowski said, he gets in at midnight. When you start listening to slamming doors at midnight, you're living there for a reason and the reason is not to hear the other people come and go through the community. But just a few thoughts. Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. Anybody else who would like to address the Board either for or against this particular special permit? Nobody? Let the time reflect that the hour of 7:54. George, you want to address this special permit of the hotel on 58? It's a public hearing. We're still in public hearing. Okay. The motel. Motel. All right. It's- 7:54 having arrived, I close the public hearing."

Public Hearing closed: 7:54 p.m.

Public Hearing opened: 7:54 p.m.

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "The time still being 7:54 p.m., Melissa, would you read the affidavits of publishing and posting for the second public hearing?"

Melissa White: "I have affidavits of publishing and posting for a public hearing to be held at Riverhead Town Hall, Riverhead, New York on November 20, 2001 p.m. to consider the change of zone petition of John Blaney to amend the Zoning Use District Map of the Town of Riverhead in order to provide for the professional office zoning use district in conjunction with the prevalent Agriculture A Zoning Use district upon real property located at New York State Route 25, Aquebogue, such real property more particularly known as Suffolk County Tax Map Parcel Number 0600-85-1.4."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "If there's no objection from the

Board, there's also an accompanying application which was noticed for 7:15 p.m. which also deals with the same property and same applicant, and if there's no objection, I'd like Melissa to also read the affidavit of publishing and posting for that and we can conduct both at the same time."

Melissa White: "I have affidavits of publishing and posting for a public hearing to be held at Riverhead Town Hall, Riverhead, New York on November 20, 2001, at 7:15 p.m. to consider the special permit petition of John Blaney to allow the conversion of a residence to a professional office upon real property located at New York State Route 25, Aquebogue; such real property more particularly described as Suffolk County Tax Map Parcel Number 0600-85-1.4."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you, Melissa. Mr. Danowski, are you the attorney on this one as well?"

Peter Danowski: "I am."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I'm going to have to swear you in since we're doing this also as a special permit."

Dawn Thomas: "Mr. Danowski, do you swear the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?"

Peter Danowski: "I do. Again, by way of explanation as to location, this piece of property is in Aquebogue on the Main Road. It's immediately adjacent to the Kinney Chevrolet Apple car dealership location. It was formerly the residential home of the Mullers.

When I grew up in Aquebogue on the Main Road the Mullers lived in the front house, the Drapers lived in the back, and the Steivers-Boggs (phonetic) family lived in the third house amongst those locations. The Mullers have now moved away and Mr. Blaney has purchased this property. He rents the house out as a residential use.

The town has a PB professional business overlay district that's in their zoning code and when you're in a particular zoning district you may file an application to the Town Board to seek to overlay this zone on top of the property. And the zoning code specifically makes reference and I think probably a very good idea to try to convert houses to possible professional business use with a view that these homes will be improved as to appearance and be well maintained in the future. And I think you've seen that throughout the town. You see

some very nice offices made out of residential homes as you go up and down Roanoke Avenue and other places, some very, very nice homes.

These are not large traffic generators. This particular home I believe is 956 square feet. There are a small number of parking spaces depicted on the conceptual site plan.

The reason you have to ask for a special permit, I think is probably an oversight on the Town's part because when you go through the process of a public hearing to overlay the zone, you've already had a public hearing. It's been referred to the Planning Board. The Planning Board makes their recommendation, in this case a positive one saying yes, it's consistent with the plan's desires in the area.

But they also left out a little clause that talks about if you want a sign for your office, you go for a special permit which seems like quite a burden to go through this public hearing process to put a little sign up on your property. So I think they may have meant to take that out of the ordinance but did not.

And there's also this question of when you have single and separate lots pre-existing zoning, what setbacks do you apply? So my cover letter talked about this building is set back not quite 50 feet from the front road. If the Town takes the position that you need to grant a variance for that, the special permit process, again, the ordinance allows the Town Board in this process to do that. I don't personally think it's necessary but at the end of the process we get to the Building Department and they say yes, I might as well ask for it.

So, the process here is to ask that we be allowed to use this home for a professional business purposes. The conceptual site plan is there. The Planning Board has recommended approval of it. The location you know about the Planning Board has recommended that the proposed, again, I think more stringent and landscape plan be called for in the future. I don't think on this particular spot you'd ever want us to remove the trees out front and I don't think you'd want us to remove some existing shrubbery that's off to one side of the property. But we've proposed on this plan to do landscaping. We're willing to live with every condition that's outlined by the Planning Board.

I did have a comment about- I'm not sure they meant to say this- improvements in the State right of way, maybe alongside, maybe per- whatever the State tells us to do. I'm not quite sure whether they

want us to actually improve New York State Route 25. But we'll certainly have to go through a process that may involve the State Department of Transportation and whatever they recommend or make us do, we'll have to do."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "What is the setback of the residence?"

Peter Danowski: "Forty something feet and we're- and I think the requirement is 50. It's right in my letter application."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay. Anybody who would like to address the Board, for or against? Yes?"

Dawn Thomas: "Would you state your name, please?"

Evelyn Padrazic: "Evelyn Padrazic. I live at 60 Forest Drive. I guess it's Aquebogue, Riverhead."

Dawn Thomas: "Do you swear the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?"

Evelyn Padrazic: "Yes. Truthfully I have no objection to this building because anything that goes through the Town Board, you're professionals. Everyone of you are elected officials. You're here to protect Riverhead and its people. So I'm here today to ask you, please, as a team with the State of New York and Riverhead, to help us out. We have a really bad traffic problem on 25.

To the left you have business 25 which is a busy intersection. To the right you have 105, another busy intersection. To the back you have the Duck Pond Estates which I live on. One entrance, one exit. Homes have two. This block has one. You can't make a right hand turn out of your street and you can't make a left hand turning coming in. If you want to make a left hand turn coming in, they give you a gesture and if you want to ease your car in, they will want to bang it. If I had a Sherman tank I'd run right over the top of them.

We have a really bad traffic problem. Then there's a building coming in which is more traffic. In my complex is 20 beautiful lots that down the road will have beautiful homes in the future. In June our real estate agent could have sold every one of these lots for \$75,000 cash. We could have retired any place in this world but Riverhead is the hottest ticket in town. My husband sold our home in 22 hours. My beautiful castle in Dix Hills of 23 years. Bought me a doll house out here that I can't even get in and out of my street

because of the traffic.

I'm not objecting because you are professionals. What I'm here today is to ask you, please, help us out with this traffic problem. Two of my neighbors, Walter and Tony Hansen, two of the loveliest couples you'd ever want to know, nearly got killed on this corner. They wrote the State and do you know what the State answered back? No one's been killed. Do you know what we have there? We have a street saying Stay Off, Do Not Block the Side Road. What a joke. What a joke. They won't let you in and they won't let you out. We have one entrance, one exit. If there's a major emergency, we can't get in, we can't get out.

The back of our complex is in spitting distance of 105. Don't make the mistake with this building here that's going up, with the planning that went into this estate. Do your homework. You're professionals.

Thank you. Have a nice evening. God bless and have a blessed Thanksgiving."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Same to you."

Evelyn Padrazic: "We need some teamwork here, please. And 105, if you're making a left hand turn, it took me five changing of the lights to make a left hand turn from 105 onto 25. Please, this building is going in between two bad intersections and our complex has only one entrance and one exit with the insulting sign that says State Law, Do Not Block the Road. What a joke.

You're a good team. Get a hold of the State because Forest is a County road, 25 is a State road. You don't need to widen your roads. The only thing you need to do is use commonsense. Have your lights synchronized, which they are not, and have them put into the proper places. Please. You're professionals. Whatever comes through this, you give it either a yes or a no. You are our protectors. Help us out, please. Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. Anybody else who would like to speak either for or against the change of zone and special permit of John Blaney? Nobody else? That being the case, let the record reflect that the time of 8:06 p.m. has arrived and I declare the public hearings closed."

Public Hearings closed: 8:06 p.m.

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "At this point, we go to the public comment period. I have a number of cards, so I'll go through those first. Remind you that we do observe a five minute rule. Monica Cotty. Monica Cotty? No. You don't have to be sworn. This is just a public comment period for you to bring other issues that are not on for public hearing today to the Board's attention. As I've indicated, we do have a five minute rule so that we can try and get everybody that's here today to address the Board."

Monica Cotty: "Yeah. I'm here. My name is Monica Cotty. I'm here in response to apparently Reliance or Crown Leasing- Crown Sanitation- which is- they put in a petition under the name of Reliance to expand their recycling facility onto an additional 14 acres."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Right. We had a public hearings on this last meeting."

Monica Cotty: "Last week. Last week. I'm sorry I missed that."

Councilman Kent: "We put it over for 30 days."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Right. Thirty days for written comment."

Monica Cotty: "Right. I would like my comment to be noted and certainly I'll submit a written one also. I live over in the Manors."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "You'll have to do that and the reason is and I'll make this point because I know there's some others who have also asked to address us on this particular application for that property. The public portion of that was closed. The public hearing was closed last meeting. So the record was finalized but we did leave it open for written comment for 30 days. So we will need to have it received in 30 days."

Monica Cotty: "Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I mean within 30 days of that date. Okay. But you can speak. I mean, I'm not going to tell you you can't."

You can. I just want to make sure you do put it in writing. That's all."

Councilman Lull: "In order for it to be part of the public record."

Councilman Densieski: "Just to be part of the record."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "In order for it to be part of the record for the future, it will still need to be in writing. But, please, you're here. I'm not going to tell you to go away and not have a chance to address us."

Monica Cotty: "Thank you. Okay. I do live over in the Manors. I just moved in there just the end of March this year. It was- there was one or two extra plots there and I built a house that's right on their abutting property where the sod farm is. And with their expansion into these other additional acres, it's certainly going to impact upon the value of my home which I had absolutely no idea that these gentlemen would ever extend their facility as large as they're requesting.

It will impact definitely on the environment with the dust that they're going to create and the noise that they already have created. You know, we live there, you hear their trucks backing back and forth with their beeping signals. It travels clear across over to our development. And I'm sure that, you know, it's- the thought of having a- even the front of their facility looks horrible. They have not maintained it and so now they're going to expand it like to six or sevenfold and then they say they're going to cooperate with the townspeople.

I went up there. I asked them to help me out to get rid of some cement. They took my name and they never got back to me. You know, so so much for being a good neighbor. I sincerely doubt they're going to be any somewhat helpful or whatever. But I asked them for help and they gave me zip. And that was just one thing. And I asked a little thing. And I was willing to even pay for their assistance and it was like, well, forget about it lady. We don't need you.

Well, I'm right behind them and the thought of them expanding their large recycling facility in my direction, it's certainly very uncomfortable. I never would have bought that piece of property there had I known that what's ever on the tables.

It's going to impact tremendously on the entire Manors development. It's going to impact on your water quality. I mean you just closed down that landfill there. That looks horrible. I mean, you've got- you have that giant mounds of dirt there covering it up. It's not covered up. You've got old dilapidated fences and now you've got kids running in there with motor scooters and everything. It's rat infested and it's going to get worse. The entire situation is really untenable and I'm very unhappy with it."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. I did have a card from Mrs. Padrizic but she addressed us during the last hearing. Sandra Mott."

Sandra Mott: "Sandra Mott, Riverhead. As my card indicates, I'm back again after the election in regard to the status of the animal shelter's relocation and I'm here especially since- in conjunction with what I listened to on Cablevision in regard to the expansion of Crown Sanitation Reliance.

That has always been a concern that that facility was there. I'd like to know at what point now that the election is over, the Burman deal is done, the skating park is being built, the senior facility is being built and everything else is in the works, can we get back to the most innocent of the community, the domestic animals? Because, quite frankly, if this Crown Sanitation extension goes through, not only with the process of preparing it to be utilized by them, but after it's up and working, it's only going to be worse for the animals up there.

You've got the money. I know it's not all the 17 million but you have a hell of a lot more than you've had over the past few years. You have the land consideration across from the Highway Department and I know that little strip of property has to be considered but maybe you can work that out.

And, basically you know what I would like. I would like a no kill facility for cats and dogs, all inclusive, and to contract out. Just like everybody voted yes when they had the questionnaire in Suffolk Life whether they were on one side of the election ballot or the other. I hope that this is going to happen and I'd like to know when, how, how much longer has to go on? How many more administrations have to go on? I mean there's no excuses now. There's money in the till. You all said yes. And can you give me any kind of idea?

I mean I'm tired of talking about it. You're tired of hearing about it and I've already picked up three domestic cats off the side of the road this week."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I don't want to commit to a given time period. What I would like to do is- I know Jim Lull has been carrying a lot of the ball in this regard as far as the investigation of the site and the follow up of the site. I think that it's now, hopefully, that the election's done, we can concentrate on it, use these last couple of weeks to look at that adjoining piece of property to see whether it makes sense to condemn it, gather it by eminent domain- "

Sandra Mott: "Right."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "-- and then look at the issue of a contract with an agency to do a no kill. That's the only way I can see it working, Sandra."

Sandra Mott: "Well, I agree with you 100%."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I can't see it being done by Town workers."

Sandra Mott: "No, no, no."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "And then, of course, we have to deal with the question of our present Town workers."

Sandra Mott: "Well, they would be protected simply because they are in great need. They would never be jeopardized in the process, quite frankly. They are needed. As a matter of fact, they could expand their expertise in a different direction, not only to the gathering and the rescuing- I had the word control- rescuing but they could also do the educational element that's absolutely crucial.

You're growing in leaps and bounds and the long and the short of it is, if you're going to do this I would like, you know, if you want me to participate fine. If you don't, that's fine. Tell me what needs to be done because it has to be done.

As I said statistically even if you have got 28,000 people in the town approximately, the population, give or take, 28,000, if only half of them had one pet, that's 14,000. In a good situation, not even with any kind of emergency situation, 14,000 animals that could be utilized, needing some assistance, plus the spaying and the neutering,

low cost, and the adoptions.

I don't know if your pet finder thing is up and running or not. I've never been able to find it."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I have."

Sandra Mott: "You have? Well, I hope it's been kept current. And I hope- is Lou still with you? I saw that you are in need of a new person. Okay. Well, I know that Lou is very- has been very constructive and supportive. And I of he- his efforts as well. So, you know, so if you could do this, the time is running past and I'm really tired of picking up dead animals out of the street. It's a sin."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thanks, Sandra."

Sandra Mott: "It's Thanksgiving and if you could consider that. you all have children, you all have families."

And the only other comment, I know the time is passing but the deer issue will be coming to the forefront again and who knows what kind of feeding process there will or won't be. But I see a lot of farms with pumpkins. I don't know if deer eat pumpkin."

Councilman Densieski: "No."

Sandra Mott: "Do you- they don't?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I don't believe they do."

Councilman Densieski: "You had an acorn drop this year. I don't think there's going to be a problem this year. You have no acorn drop last year."

Sandra Mott: "Okay. So that's good news because I was going to ask maybe some people could gather some pumpkins for you."

Councilman Lull: "Acorns is their essential food."

Sandra Mott: "Acorns is their central food?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Essential food."

Councilman Lull: "An essential food. Yes."

Sandra Mott: "Essential."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Right."

Sandra Mott: "Well, I hope that the birth control process has been looked into."

Councilman Lull: "Yes."

Sandra Mott: "Yes? Good. Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. Rolph Kestling."

Rolph Kestling: "Rolph Kestling from Wading River. First, I noticed all the nameplates are gone."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "They're not gone. What happened was the usual individual who sets up the room for us tonight is on vacation and the person who's filling for him apparently didn't know the routine or go through the routine enough. But I think everybody knows us well enough to know who we are without the nameplates. I hope. I hope."

Rolph Kestling: "You hope? I was just worried- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Wishful thinking."

Rolph Kestling: "I was just worried that you were thinking of a new design or something."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Nope. Because I'd say keep Mr. Densieski off the Board. He made a boo-boo last time."

Councilman Densieski: "What's that?"

Rolph Kestling: "With your signs, the first ones- nobody could see."

Councilman Densieski: "Oh, the colors, yeah. You're right, Rolph."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "But we quickly remedied it."

Rolph Kestling: "Okay."

Councilman Densieski: "That was my only mistake in two years, too, Rolph."

Rolph Kestling: "Well, 1171. Could you explain- well, let me go ahead with what I know- I remember. We had the Town dump there. We always were able to bring our yard waste down there until you turned it over to Waste Management and then they accepted it and took care of it. Then all of a sudden, they banned us. We weren't allowed to drop our yard waste there. So- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Not true. What they did was they took a strict interpretation of the contract to say they would not accept anything more than 100 cubic yards in a given week. So what happened was they were eyeballing loads of waste coming in and saying well, that looks like six cubic yards and that one looks like 10 cubic yards and by Tuesday of every given week, they were at 100 cubic yards and they were turning residents away.

Given the scenario, we put into place an alternate plan which was to take it up to the highway yard. That has had some problems, but we're working those through DEC as we speak and we intend to get through the DEC process.

In the meantime, Waste Management, you know, never really worked out- none of the prior tenant- Universal was not there long enough to really have any track record and this is an alternative where we're looking to go out get bids to see where we can take the yard waste from our residents.

The other alternative is discontinue that service."

Rolph Kestling: "No yard waste?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "That's right. No yard waste being accepted by the town from residents."

Rolph Kestling: "What would we do with our yard waste?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "You'd have to cut it into bundles, put it by the curbside and have carters pick it up. But we don't want to do that and that's the reason why we're proposing to go out to bid."

Rolph Kestling: "Well, I'd like- I have a problem right now. It's the end of the season and I took down a huge tree that I planted about 40 years ago on North Wading River Road and after 40 years it

was getting to be a hazard to sight, line of sight. So, I did a heck of a lot of trimming down, I took about 75% off the tree. And I got it dumped on the road there. I called up the road department if they'd pick it up and they said yes they would, they'd put me on the list. This was about four weeks ago, approximately.

Meanwhile, it's still sitting on the road. And there's no way I'm going to take that big pile where they come with a bucket loader and get rid of it, that I can cut it up in four foot sections, make bundles out of it. Come on, I'm beyond those years.

All right?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "All right."

Rolph Kestling: "Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. Joseph- is it Lenantz, Lenantz?"

Joseph Lenantz: "Hi. I'm a new resident here. We just moved in last weekend. And we bought the house- or we started buying the house about six months ago. And I'm here in reference to Crown Recycling. All right? I do not want to see the zoning changed from Agricultural to any kind of Industrial. I lived in Bay Shore and West Babylon and that's a very crowded area. They call it suburbs but it's very crowded. And the reason that we, my wife and I picked out here, is because we wanted the country, we wanted the farm atmosphere.

We're aware that recycling was being done up there but was being done on agricultural or wood or, you know, natural stuff. As far as the cement company coming in there or them grounding up the cement or any other products up there, okay, caused a lot of pollution. All right. And we're just south and we're like the first house just south of that area and we would get all the dust, all the noise. We would hear the traffic, okay? We would hear all the traffic from the trucks and everything that are in there. I'm sure they'll have to increase their trucks, their dump trucks and everything like that.

We also had to pay an extra tax to buy this house from the Peconic Bay Community Preservation Fund. I don't know if you are familiar with that. All right. This is a hefty sum, I thought, you know, and buying a new house. But- and Pataki said this was to preserve the land, to keep it rural, to keep it farmland, undeveloped space, and, if we keep letting these people change zoning and increase

the industrial part of it, it's going to take away the east end atmosphere. And I'm here to contest that part of it."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay. Understand I made a comment earlier. This has got to be put in writing."

Joseph Lenantz: "All right. I will do that."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "The public hearing was closed the last meeting. It was only left open for written comment."

Joseph Lenantz: "Right."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay. Thank you."

Joseph Lenantz: "Okay, okay, thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. John Ellwood."

Councilman Densieski: "By the way, for the gentleman that just moved it, welcome to Riverhead."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yes. Yes, I join those comments."

John Ellwood: "John Ellwood, 72 Youngs Avenue. I'd like to comment also on this ground deal here. You know, it was about maybe 40 years ago, maybe 50, that the town- we went to zoning. And I can remember people in the town soliciting to my father, saying, you know, Mr. Ellwood, we get zoning put in the Town of Riverhead, you won't have to worry about somebody putting a duck farm next to your house.

We're going to have residential zoning, and we're going to have agricultural, we're going to have this or we're going to have that. So now we have our zoning. But here tonight we're hearing this gentleman here wants to change the zoning."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Well, actually we heard it last meeting but- "

John Ellwood: "We did, okay. Now, we've had residents talk about it. And on this zoning code, people have invested in that community. They built their homes, much of them upwards over \$200,000. And now this fellow wants to come in and change the zoning. It's not like it's zoned for, you know, industrial, and I'm sure we have industrial areas in town which he can go. I don't understand why

we have to change zoning when we do have codes and we have industrial areas where he can go. You know.

Second of all, that road. Youngs Avenue was meant for farmers. It's an oil, one time it was an oil and stone road. I think we upgraded to an oil and gravel road or bluestone. It's not- it doesn't handle these big tractor trailers and these- coming up and down this road. We have women jogging with their children and bike riding. I've been there for 25 years. I was one of the first homes to build up there. And I've seen the community grow. There's children riding bicycles, and again women jogging, and we've got these trucks going up and down the road and it's just unsafe.

And I don't- I'm against this proposed zoning change. And I think it's a- I don't see where this business- this is going to do anything at all for the community. What- how are we going to benefit from it? It's not going to benefit- I don't even see how the town is going to benefit from it. It's a business and if he wants a business he should go to an area that's zoned industrial or agriculture- or business, whatever that is. Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. Ed Doherty."

Councilman Densieski: "Hey, John. Don't forget if you want that to be part of the record, it has to be in writing."

Ed Doherty: "Evening. I'm Ed Doherty and I live on Mill Road which is in the vicinity of the proposed change in the zoning. And I'm looking at the mural over there on the wall and the thought comes to my mind that the more things change, the more they stay the same and I'm kind of confused at this point as to whether or not they do change.

My concern is and it's an echo of what the people ahead of me have said. I've been a resident- I've been around Riverhead for more than 50 years but I've been a resident here for 48 years and my wife and I just celebrated our 48th Anniversary this past week and she lets me talk once in a while, so that's the reason why I'm here, providing that I don't interrupt her."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "She's ducking her heard now because of that comment."

Ed Doherty: "I'll pay for that later. But anyway, the comments are very true as the previous speakers have already made you aware of.

And as I look here, there's a few people here that I've known for a real long time and they'll know that, you know, when they think about that mural, they probably grew with it also.

But anyway I live there on Mill Road and I've had some occasions to complain to the town highway department and also to the town police department as to what the conditions are up there with regards to traffic and noise and a few other things there. And I just want to make this comment, too, that in talking to both of those agencies, I did get some satisfactory response to it and with the problems of manpower and finances and I could understand why maybe I couldn't get some immediate relief.

But one of the problems is and people have already mentioned is the heavy traffic and they mentioned about the Home Depot, the complex, the problems on Route 58. Mill Road is used as a bypass of Route 58 to get up to Sound Avenue and some of these people could travel to destinations that are eastbound. And much of it is commercial. On the weekends we have a lot of vehicles, you know, cars and stuff like that, and people coming out here to- for the tourism and people going back and forth to work. And anything to duck Route 58.

We mentioned about peak hours on Route 58. I think the peak hours run all day long down there. It's just one- if you don't cross Route 58, you're not going to get anywhere.

But, anyway, getting back to the conditions there. Allowing a change here to allow Crown Sanitation or Reliance as it is to expand their- most of the- the first lady that spoke mentioned about the mess that's around that place. When I first came to town, I knew where the dump was and when we bought the property up there, the dump was there and it's a very necessary thing. We had to have it, with our waste materials, whether they were solid or not solid were up there and we got along very well with it.

Now this location has moved across the street and it's nothing but a- it's worse than the dump ever was. And around it. And now they're asking to expand and they're using some devious words about, you know, reconstituting concrete and a few other things there, which I think is a big joke. But the traffic that they bring now, and Crown Sanitation leads the parade. When they run up and down these roads, I can understand what the people on Youngs Avenue are talking about. When they run up and down these roads with the rolloffs, it sounds like we're under attack and under an artillery barrage. And it's

boom, boom, boom.

And I think that the conditions of the roads have been made that way because of the traffic that's been there and the heavy traffic that's raising hell with the roads.

If there is any expansion allowed here, I think that the- somebody mentioned about a tax that was put on there. I think that these people should be assessed, that they provide the manpower and whatnot to maintain those roads, and even put surveillance on there to watch speed and so forth.

You've got Crown Sanitation, you've got (inaudible), you've got Delta and the- not- I don't want to mention any names but there's a couple of ready mix concerns in the area and when they're running with empty drums, they- it's- that's just what you are getting. Boom, boom, boom. And this is constant.

And when we talk about noise levels, I sat out there for about two days, two to three hours at a time, and tried to catch this stuff on a tape recorder and that didn't work because there wasn't any- so I put my camcorder out there and I was getting pictures of it and whatever and I said to myself, you know, (inaudible) down here and bothering you guys.

But I just want to say this here. Keep this in mind that it's okay, you know, to say, yeah, we know, we know just what you're up against up there. You really don't. You have to live there and I'm sure that anybody that lives over there- lives on Middle Road, lives over on Reeves Avenue or Youngs Avenue or stuff like that, they all agree. You have to live there to know what the conditions are and I would just like you to keep that in mind when it goes. I don't think we need that to be expanded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. I have a card from Peter- is it Beierie. Peter, this is on John Blaney's application? No, all right. Okay."

Peter Beierie: "Thank you very much. A couple of the things that I just wanted to review as- I did a quick web search on the words cement dust and in about 30 seconds, I printed out about three pages or three articles referring to the toxicness of cement dust to the point where containing radioactive material and actually causing health effects, not only in the United States but as far away as Kuwait.

And I would, you know, recommend to the Board that they also take a look at this because I think- I have spent personally some time as a consultant in the aspect of looking at how companies actually recycle and a very profitable recycling process that I was very closely involved with from a consulting perspective, was recycling catalytic converters which creates a tremendous amount of dust. The value of the catalytic converter dust on pound is \$40.00 a pound.

So you can imagine the amount of effort that company went through to capture- to reclaim that dust. Even with that reclaiming, that facility had dust throughout the parking lot, throughout the ceilings, throughout the entire floors, and the entire operation, everyone in that facility had to wear dust masks.

So by no means is a facility such as reconstituting cement or grinding cement going to be any cleaner for the simple fact is cement is not \$40.00 a pound, at least not to my knowledge. So that's one item that I'd like you to take a look and I'll certainly put that in writing and I apologize for not being properly prepared.

So I'd ask, you know, the Board to conscientiously look at the health effects because I think that has to be included in this analysis. And certainly the noise volumes and all the other effects.

The other item I wanted to bring up very briefly has to do with duck hunting season and what I would ask the Town to take a look at is we had a situation the other day just about 30 minutes before it was time for my children to go to school. We had four duck hunters in our farm back behind our house actually hunting, shooting guns within their limits.

They were a little over 1,000 feet away from the house but what I'd like the town to take a look at is the possibility of putting some type of regulation that during bus pick up and drop off periods, that hunters are not permitted to go hunting. We've had situations in our neighborhood because we are close to the farms and there are hunters, that people have actually had bullets go through their house or into their house. And the duck hunting season, especially now since it's very predominant, I would ask the Board to take a look at that for--

Councilman Densieski: "That's regulated."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yeah, I was going to take a guess that that's probably preempted by New York State rules and that we don't have any right to do anything that would be in contradiction of that."

The biggest problem would be how do you get the notice out to them, I guess, but, you know- "

Dawn Thomas: "It's only 500 feet- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yeah. Five hundred feet under state law."

Councilman Lull: "I don't question- I don't question the fact that what you're talking about happens and I've had it happen near enough to my house. And even though I realize the regulation of this lies with the DEC, I did call the town police and they did come down."

Peter Beierie: "Yes. I did, too. Three of my neighbors also called. They did come down. It just so happened that all of the four hunters had their limit of two ducks apiece and they were ready to clean up but, you know, for my comfort level, it was too close to the kids being out of the house. So if the town was to do anything, maybe it might just be a notice for awareness week, that it is, you know, in the bulletins, that it is, hey, it's duck hunting season and, you know, be aware of these types of situations."

Because there's just too many activities going on where you're letting the kids go around and I know in my neighborhood there is- right behind the house there is a swamp that the children will go and play and by the way, there's deer down there, too. So the whole neighborhood should be aware of that."

Councilman Lull: "I would recommend very strongly you take this to the School Board as well. They can contact- they can get in contact with all of the kids- "

Peter Beierie: "That's a good idea. Thank you very much. Thanks for your time."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you."

Councilman Cardinale: "Excuse me. Unrelated to hunting- "

Peter Beierie: "Yes?"

Councilman Cardinale: "Do you know what they do with the \$40.00 catalytic converter dust?"

Peter Beierie: "Yes. Actually what happens is- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "You're looking to do a new line of work, Phil?"

Councilman Cardinale: "Yeah, I'm looking (inaudible)."

Peter Beierie: "Honestly you're extracting platinum, (inaudible), and rhodium out of catalytic converter dust. So, I mean, where that is a very profitable business, cement dust is not a profitable business but is just as toxic, if not more toxic."

Councilman Cardinale: "Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. Steve Haizlip."

Steve Haizlip: "Steve Haizlip of Calverton. Resolution 1145 where you are amending a site plan for approval of Sound Housing."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Same number of units. What we're doing is amending the elevations to allow for a little bit of a different layout. One of the sides due to the slope of the property, they're looking to do walk out on the basement so that it would be considered a different look or a different elevation. There's more of the building exposed. And because it didn't comport with the prior site plan approval, they had to amend it and bring back the newer site plan."

Councilman Densieski: "It says less grading to be done on the site also, Steve."

Steve Haizlip: "And this is on- and this is on Sound Avenue?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Correct."

Steve Haizlip: "All right. Getting over here to this 1171 that Rolph talked about, you know, when I left Germany I thought I had left the Gestapo over there. I didn't know that we were getting it over here now. It seems like every time you turn around, there's some kind of regulation or rule that you can't do this, you can't do that."

Now we had- like you said, we had this yard waste people up there and I know myself every time you go in there, oh, you can't leave it here, you've got to go across the street or you've got to go down to the Highway. Mark, I've been taking leaves over there all week. I've got a pretty good size property, six maple trees, and they really put out. I go over there, that man is crowded and- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yes, he is."

Steve Haizlip: "-- and it's piled up and all these dumpsters he's got and all those people that takes for that, you need them on the road. When John Lombardi was the Highway Chairperson, I spoke to John and I said same way these people are talking tonight that live over by Crown Sanitation. I spoke- I said, John, these roads were not built for heavy trucking what they're doing today and they're tearing up the road and they're going so fast over it, they don't take into consideration that it's a narrow two lane road and curvy and hilly and a lot of them you've got bumps in them and as this gentleman said when they bring the dumpsters over.

I realize everybody's in business. They've got to make money and, but they could please slow down, take a little consideration for the condition of the road and how they're made and a little more time.

Edwards Avenue from the railroad all the way up to Fedune's property which DeLalio owes, it's a double line. These trucks and cars go down and if you are trying to make a turn into your house, and they don't see the signal, they'll go around in a flash and you don't realize they're there and you are trying to make a turn and you look and you say, oh, that was a close miss.

And I want to put on record I'd like to get Chief Grattan to start monitoring these roads around here for all this speeding and heavy traffic and crossing these double lines. Because traffic is beginning to increase so much around here in this community and they're not taking consideration.

An example, you go up where Yakabowski's farmstand is. The sign there says left lane in. You are going on that lane and people are blowing the horn for you to move over because they want to get by you. It can't be done there. That's all I have to say."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. Okay. Thank you. Robert Signolfi. Signolfi, okay."

Robert Signolfi: "Hi. I'm here to talk about the Crown Recycling plant. I know it has to be in writing. I'll send it to you in writing."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Good."

Robert Signolfi: "I've been a resident of Baiting Hollow. I

live in the Manors. I've lived there 10 years. When I first bought my home, the dump was there and I was told the dump would be gone in two to three years and that there would be a weigh station but that was something I could live with. Now what they're doing is sort of changing the rules.

My house is probably a half mile away from the property and site. A couple things are happening. There have probably 17 trucks they park there and at 5:00 in the morning they start backing them up. I think they have like a circle they run it around but they literally back them up for an hour and a half and all you hear is the back up noise. And that travels.

They also light it up like it's a shopping mall. I run a hotel. I can't- I light my hotel, I can't light my neighbors. My backyard, my bedroom is lit up from the lights that these people use.

I understand business is business and I understand we do have to have businesses in our towns. But you don't need them in our communities where we live.

The other things, some of the things that they're done. They park 15 cars in the street. Shouldn't be parking cars in the street. They drive the trucks, I walk my dogs I would say I've probably almost been hit six times. Not by little trucks; I'm talking about big trucks. I'm talking about you know a Tuesday afternoon at 3:00 in the afternoon coming down Youngs Avenue, walking the dog and the dog jumps on the grass and it's nothing to be scared about. I'm an adult. I can imagine what the kids do when they're on their bikes. It's something we don't need.

The last thing is the mobile homes that show up and they put for free signs on them up and down the street. You've got one now that's been there for- you've got one now that's been there for five months. You had one that had a for free sign on it, it only lasted five days. Let's put a for free sign on the other one and get rid of that one, too. You know, it's just too bad.

The community we live in, it's wonderful. We need to keep it that way. We don't need a recycling plant next to us. If they have to change the zoning, go to where the zone is. Don't change the zoning. Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. Diane Hines."

Diane Hines: "Hi. I'm Diane Hines. I live in the Manors. I'm on Pleasant Court. I just moved there in May actually. I came from Florida and I felt like, oh, this will be a place where I can open up the windows and not be afraid of anything coming in with this kind of beautiful environment that I moved to. But now what I'm hearing instead of what I was told at the beginning was that the recycling plant was going to close, maybe become an archaeological dig but now it's being expanded to something that sounds very dangerous.

I have three grown children. I have my daughter's two year old son living in the house. I just feel that just environmentally but also the road itself is just so unsafe to travel down. The trucks come barreling down. When they're coming out of the recycling plant we have to be careful, you know, as we're going past especially with a young child in the car, but just the whole condition of the street.

The access is the best road really to take to get to Riverhead really instead of going all around 25A, a lot of mileage out of the way but we do put up with that but just the idea of concrete and compost, just, you know, to me it was supposed to be I thought an agriculturally beautiful area that we didn't have to worry about anything like this coming in there, especially at the beginning paying the tax that was the Peconic Bay Region Community Preservation Tax which was like 25% of what my annual taxes are. So now we're all concerned in the community with having to deal with such a situation.

I- I'm really hoping that with everybody's letters that this could be prevented probably in some way somewhere else if we really need this, it can be put in another location. But just, you know, the whole situation is very sad.

I mean it's a beautiful area and I think that in that particular spot, I kind of thought that if we had the different builders coming in and building developments to enhance the area there would be fine. We live in a beautiful place in the Manors and I'm just hoping that it's going to be changed. Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. Lawrence Rehm, or is it Rehm?"

Lawrence Rehm: "Yes. Lawrence Rehm, 94 Youngs Avenue. I know everything has to be in writing but I just- I've spoken at November 7 and I just echo everything that everyone has said here tonight. I live out on the main road just above the dangerous curve that they're talking about on Youngs Avenue. I'm home all day. I'm retired. I

watch these trucks go back and forth all day long. I mean there should be no reason for these trucks to be going back all day long. I should see a garbage truck on my street three times a week. This is every hour going back and forth.

When I was here at the last meeting, I spoke to Crown, the Crown brothers. They said anything they'll fix it. Not one day after, I was here on the 7th, zoom, I had tractor trailers going right past me again when they said they will not let them go over Youngs Avenue. I mean, I mean I don't understand it. They say one thing, they do another.

I mean, business is business. No one's trying to put them out of business. I'm sure we have industrial areas. This area is upcoming with residential. You're approving residential. There's a 25 to 30 house building lot going to be put up. It's a pristine area. Times change. It's time to move on. No one says he has to go out of business. He just has to move to a better area. I suggested areas. He came back with oh, I looked there, it's not- how should we say- it's not suitable. I said go up on 25. You don't bother anybody right there. Go over to the old Fed Ex building. That's pine lands. That's pine lands. I've seen more pine trees out on the LIE. Pine lands. If you weren't doing anything detrimental to the pine lands, you should have no worry. It's a perfect area. You've got 25, you've got the LIE. You've got anywhere there.

I heard a mention of a trailer down. Garbage is being dumped down there. Who owns that trailer down there? I've heard two stories. Crown claims it's not theirs, that it belongs to you people."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "There's one that belongs- that's on our property that needs to be removed. Yes."

Lawrence Rehm: "Okay. Can I ask a question? Why is there so long?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I just found out about it late in the day today. I don't have all the answers yet. I'll find out if you want me to call you back."

Lawrence Rehm: "I mean Waste Management goes across the street- I mean Crown hasn't said anything. It's just deteriorating to a state- it's like a nuked area. Parking off- he has no parking. I counted 26 cars there. He gets tractor trailers off loading in the

street, how can you get by?

The 7th- when I came down here, I had to dodge a chain link fence that had fallen off a truck. Nobody picks it up. Go down a little bit further going towards Osborne, you run over glass. Nobody sweeps it up. I mean who's going to pay for my tires?

I mean, it's just a- no one is saying he can't run a business. But it's time to move on. He should be in an area of industrial. I- he's only asking for to start a new business and he's asking you to rezone it. Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. Ann Moroh."

Ann Moroh: "Good evening. Ann Moroh from Baiting Hollow. A couple of items. The traffic light that we've been attempting to get for two years was promised to be finished at Edwards and Sound Avenue in August. I see nothing of even started on it."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Not true. Dawn, would you give the speaker an update of where we are, process-wise?"

Dawn Thomas: "We had the corners of the road where we need easements surveyed and appraised. We've approached the property owners. We've gotten cooperation from one. We had a difficult issue with cooperation on another person's part, somebody seeking to have- be paid substantially more than the appraisal was worth. So we were forced to look at an alternative location for that within the right of way area. The town engineer I think has accomplished that and I think we're pretty close, we're ready to go on it."

Ann Moroh: "Can stop signs be put on the other direction to at least make it a four way stop in the interim?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "We'd have to have a public hearing like we did at that other location."

Councilman Lull: "It should be done before that."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yeah."

Dawn Thomas: "It's very close. It's- "

Councilman Lull: "It should be done before that and before we could go through a public hearing- "

Dawn Thomas: "One uncooperative- "

Ann Moroh: "I live to hope. So I- "

Councilman Lull: "We have a reasonably- not reasonably, we have unbelievably uncooperative neighbors up there. Okay? Or neighbor up there."

Dawn Thomas: "Unfortunately under the circumstances it was, you know, somebody's looking to bilk the town for something that was needed."

Councilman Densieski: "Dawn, do you have any estimate of time when we'll have the necessary easements or documents to move forward?"

Dawn Thomas: "Well, we're not going to be looking for an easement on that one corner now."

Councilman Densieski: "Okay."

Councilman Lull: "We're just going to go one side."

Councilman Densieski: "We're going to do a single- "

Dawn Thomas: "And I have to ask Sean, he's been dealing- we had the other one signed, the ones on Middle Road."

Councilman Densieski: "If you could let me know, I'll look into it."

Dawn Thomas: "Sure."

Councilman Lull: "We're going to do- Ed, we're just going to do a single pole."

Councilman Densieski: "Yeah."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Right."

Councilman Densieski: "Right. Ken said that."

Ann Moroh: "Okay. I'll probably be back if it's not up, so- "

Councilman Lull: "Good."

Councilman Densieski: "You're kidding?"

Ann Moroh: "This second item I find somewhat ironic given the level of- and I know you all have paid close attention to the neighborhood there around the Crown Sanitation application, and I'm not going to talk about that, but I was- in looking through the resolutions outside in the book, 1179 which authorizes the Supervisor to execute a contract agreement talks about out there with John Reeve as Sanitation Superintendent, but the contract is not attached out there. Is that available for the details of that?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Three year contract with a 4% increase in each year. And a give back of the compensatory time provisions so it's- and it's retro. He's been without a contract since January 1, 2000. So it's going to cover January 1, 2000, January through December 31, 2002."

Ann Moroh: "Only through 2002?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Correct."

Ann Moroh: "Okay. And- "

Councilman Kent: "Well, wait, hold on a second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "December 31- yeah, it was a mistake. It should have been a three- "

Councilman Kent: "I'm trying to find out which- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "-- it should have been a three year."

Councilman Kent: "Well, we have two- we've had two contracts that have been circulated. I don't know which one- that's why I went out to my car, because the one that wasn't given to me yesterday- "

Melissa White: "No, that's the wrong one because Gina, before she left, said that she was going to put a different one in your mailbox."

Councilman Kent: "Okay. I got another one in the mailbox today."

Melissa White: "That should be the right one."

Councilman Kent: "Because the one I got yesterday is through 2004."

Councilman Cardinale: "Unless we get another one before the vote."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "That's right. No, no, no, no, no. The one that was circulated yesterday was a mistake. There was a misunderstanding between- "

Councilman Cardinale: "Gee, that's the one I read."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Well, it's- the first three years are essentially the same, Phil. It's just not covering as long a period of time."

Councilman Densieski: "Phil, I think we know your vote."

Councilman Cardinale: "You're right."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "So it's 4, 4, 4 with the automatic five days deleted."

Ann Moroh: "I know the question has probably been asked before but can you briefly review since we don't have a sanitation department or a- you know, as we traditionally did. I know there's been controversy about the position. Can you briefly review what Mr. Reeve does for another three years?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "As far as the sanitation, he obviously handles all the calls, the complaints that come in. He follows up to make sure the carters are doing their job. He's also responsible for overseeing the operation of the animal shelter and in light of the situation that's been developing with the yard waste, we will need to have a good look at whether we ask him to undertake overseeing that operation as well."

Councilman Densieski: "The haz mat operation."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "The haz mat operation as well."

Ann Moroh: "What will the salary ultimately be?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I think he's currently at \$57. It goes to around 60, then 63 and 65, I think. Chris, do you have the

numbers in front of you?"

Councilman Kent: "Right. Yes. It appears to be more than 4%."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "He's 57,000- "

Councilman Kent: "Increasing 5% the first two years and 4%-- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "No. It's slightly more than four."

Councilman Kent: "Well, I don't know what he's making now but--"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "57,800 some odd dollars."

Councilman Kent: "So it's a \$3,000 increase on a \$60,000 salary which would be 5% if I do my math right."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "With 4% it was around 60- "

Councilman Kent: "It appears to be 5% the first two years and 4% the third year."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "No. It's 4 and 4. It's a little more than 4."

Councilman Cardinale: "Ann, you should be happy, however, we did solve the problem that, you know, we had a department head without a department because I believe now he has a secretary so he has a department as well. This was the way we solved it. We got him a secretary so now there's two people in the department, himself and the secretary, and I think that the entire budget for that department is like 90 or \$100,000 plus benefits. So we can no longer accurately state that we have a department head without a department because we hired him a secretary."

Ann Moroh: "Please- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "And he also oversees the animal shelter as well, I mean, as well as the haz mat operation."

Ann Moroh: "Please don't misunderstand. My comments are not reflective about or directed at Mr. Reeves as an individual. I do have a concern that the duties you've described for him could possibly be absorbed into other departments because it does seem like a substantial contract over a long period of time that in an era when

given what the county budget is probably going to do and how that will impact the rest of us and the economic times ahead, I just ask that every department be looked at, every contract be looked at very, very carefully and everybody's duties be carefully considered in terms of the economics here at Town Hall."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you."

Ann Moroh: "Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Sid Bail. I see you've been up for a while."

Sid Bail: "Good evening. First of all, on behalf of Wading River Civic, I'd like to wish you all a Happy Thanksgiving, and I'd like to thank everyone up here for your participation in the recent election and, you know, like with a 50% turnout, you know, I was just with a group in Brookhaven yesterday they were talking about a 25% turnout, you know, really, really sad business.

One of the issues that was discussed quite a bit during the campaign was land use, particularly the importance of completing the master plan. It's been like five months since there was a meeting of the Master Plan Committee. The overwhelming majority of it is still incomplete. And, you know, I could (inaudible) you about that.

And also we've had two public hearings on moratoriums, one I liked in Wading River in July, one I didn't like here in October. Both very spirited and very important issues. What's the status of the moratorium?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Well, with regard to the master plan, there is a meeting that's been scheduled for Saturday, December 8th, just rescheduled?"

Councilman Densieski: "Barbara, can you come up because we want to get this on the record, please?"

Barbara Blass: "I just had a conversation with John Shapiro this evening. We did have a preliminary date of December 8th for the four of the remaining six chapters to be presented. He said rather than do that then he is prepared to present the entire balance on the date, January 12th which is also a Saturday, for an all day conference and that would be the balance of the entire plan."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "So at this point, it's January 12, 2002- "

Barbara Blass: "That's correct."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "And he's going to present the balance of the plan."

Barbara Blass: "The entire- the balance of the plan."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "And what time would that be?"

Barbara Blass: "We'll start at 9:00 in the morning. It's projected to be an all day conference and perhaps a second date if necessary."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you."

Barbara Blass: "But this one will be an all day conference. Yes."

Councilman Densieski: "Barbara, can you tell us what's briefly in the last six months or the last year, whatever- can you give us a time frame of some of the things that are happening? Has there been activity on the master plan?"

Barbara Blass: "There's been considerable activity especially in the agricultural chapter. I know many of you have not had the opportunity to see first hand what's going on but the balance of the- the thrust of the work has been in the area of the transfer program which has always or has been identified as a significant element not only for the agricultural chapter but as it relates to the business chapter and the business corridor and that we have identified potential uses for development rights in industrial and commercial areas.

So we have hired an individual to do that evaluation for us and it's really those figures that have- that are being worked on that will be factored into several of the outstanding elements, not just the agricultural factor. But we've also received the chapter on community facilities, on parks and recreation, and as these chapters are coming through the executive summaries are being posted on the web which is something else that John said that he would have available well in advance of the January 12th date. He will have all the executive summaries available for public review on the town's

website."

Councilman Lull: "Barbara, would you please give the website address, please?"

Barbara Blass: "The website- oh, I thought you were going to ask me that. I'll get it for you in a moment, if I can and I'll- "

Councilman Lull: "Yes. Before we finish tonight so we can get it on- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "www.RiverheadLI.com."

Councilman Lull: "Yes."

Barbara Blass: "I'm sorry, what- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "www.RiverheadLI- one word--.com."

Councilman Densieski: "Barbara, one question. Once the master plan is finalized, I think there's the other step of adoption. What is the average time or what can we be looking at for the actual adoption of the master plan?"

Barbara Blass: "The first step really has to be the review by the Citizens Advisory Committee, that's the 30 plus member committee that was formed by resolution of this Board and it is our hope that within two months or so of the presentation of the balance, that we will have consensus by the committee such that we can then embrace the entire package at the Planning Board level and make our recommendations or I guess at that point they'll make their recommendations- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Right."

Barbara Blass: "And we should probably be in a position to go to hearing sometime mid-year next year. And, again, we've got SEQRA hearings as well as public hearings on the plan itself. But I think we're very confident that we'll be looking at adoption and implementation as promised by the end of next year."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you."

Councilman Densieski: "Thank you."

Barbara Blass: "You're welcome."

Sid Bail: "Where does that leave the issue of the moratorium?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Well, it hasn't been discussed at work session. It still has to become a work session topic and we have to determine if there's three votes. We haven't had a chance to discuss it amongst ourselves, that is with respect to the last version that was heard at the hearing of October- I can't recall the date."

Councilman Densieski: "Bob, can we make sure that is a work session item the following week, which will be next Thursday- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Next Thursday, yes. Okay?"

Sid Bail: "Thank you very much."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Very good. Rita- Rita Hodun."

Rita Hodun: "Rita Hodun, Calverton. In the Suffolk Times about Cablevision did you get a letter in January that if you want the meeting taped that you would have to pay for the gentleman to tape it and then if you want it on the air you've got to buy the air time?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "That's the whole issue that Phil has been addressing, the question of whether it's a program of local origination, government access. They have sent a letter saying that they feel since it's government produced we are responsible for getting somebody to produce it for us. Yes."

Rita Hodun: "And have you addressed that or have you- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Well, we feel they are wrong. We've- we've been sitting on that resolution that we passed and we've notified Cablevision that we would like them to consider the way they are considering the programming."

Rita Hodun: "The guard that's at Grummans, who's paying the guard now that we no longer own the core. Who's paying the guard for sitting in the booth?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "The owner of the interior."

Rita Hodun: "Burman?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I believe or maybe his tenants or is it Mr. Burman or both? Both. Okay."

Rita Hodun: "Okay. Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "You're welcome. Thank you for those hand signals back there. Joan Griffin."

Joan Griffin: "Good evening. Joan Griffin from the Manors. I have two letters I wish to read into the record from neighbors.

The first one is from a woman who couldn't down to the meeting. She writes To Whom it May Concern: I am a new homeowner in Baiting Hollow. I moved from Francestown, New Hampshire (phonetic) to Riverhead, New York. We rented a condo for two years and I knew this is where I wanted to live and build a home. I love the open spaces, the beautiful views, and the peaceful feeling.

My husband died before we were able to move into the home. I love the house. I get a warm, nice feeling. Now I find out construction of a recycling center is going to expand. They're going to be crushing stones and grinding trees and wood. So much for peacefulness and the beautiful views.

There is enough industrial places that they could do this so why, why in a beautiful neighborhood? Please help and stop this growth. I love my home and the views and the wildlife that surround Baiting Hollow. Mildred Kemmett, (phonetic), Baiting Hollow in the Manors.

I have another letter from Mr. and Mrs. Tony DiAngelo in the Manors also.

They write: I am writing in the strongest possible terms in the matter of Crown Sanitation getting a zoning change. I am totally against any change that would be more noise and pollution and more trucks than we already have to tolerate close to our neighborhood.

The condition of the area surrounding Crown is an eyesore with dirt and floods occurring regularly whenever there is a rainstorm. The noise from the proposed idea for a stone crushing, tree shredding system would be intolerable for the amount of taxes that are paid in the area as well as an eyesore the area has become.

The roads leading to and from the area are in horrid condition and should be an embarrassment to the town of Riverhead.

Thank you for your time. Signed, Mr. and Mrs. DiAngelo.

Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you. Bill Kasperovich."

Councilman Lull: "Joan, can you leave those letters with Barbara?"

Joan Griffin: "Yes."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "With Melissa."

William Kasperovich: "We need George up here to give us one of his good jokes to keep laughing. William Kasperovich from Wading River. Let me start with the oldest item I've been trying to get some work done with no success.

Two and a half years ago when the work was being done, I advised the people that were doing it that this has to shed the rainwater otherwise the stone will stain. Nobody listened. The Supervisor said that he would follow through on it, the engineer said he'd follow through on it, and since then I've talked to everybody that would listen to me. The stone in front of the memorial that is in on our Town Hall grounds has been stained by standing water. If you go there right now you'll find standing water the size of the stain. And this is a disgraceful situation.

We are honoring a man with the highest award of the nation and we tolerate stone in front of the monument to be in one big stain. And nobody seems to get excited about it or do something about it. All right? And I'll be on top of this until that stain gets removed. And if I didn't tell them that it would happen beforehand, I wouldn't be so up tight about it.

Second item, I think I have to address Mister- the man that's not going to be with us too long and that's why I- that we were going to look into easement or some more information about these privet hedges growing on this town property- town road property, Wading River Manorville Road. I started this when the people came in for a special permit. This is the Little Bay's Realty and to my best surveying knowledge, these privet hedges are - not completely- but on the road and since they obstruct the line of sight, should be cut back or removed. They trimmed the hedges this later summer and that's all they did. But that line of sight that these privet hedges obstruct

the view upon leaving this parking lot is still there and it's still to me a hazard and a danger.

I think I'll have to drop a few items here to stay within my five minutes. I went through the resolutions today to find 11 resolutions that should have had comment and I'll try to get through them quickly.

1144 which approves the site plan of Laundry Palace and one of the Whereas there is a copy marked and initialed by the Town Board to show changes which when I go back to the Town Clerk's office I never find these drawings that are marked to show changes. But laundromats have not been successful in Riverhead because attention wasn't paid to the concentrated continuing outflow. The effluent of laundry water is not- is concentrated to the form and shape and character that should most likely go to the scavenger waste dump. And if it's not cared for (inaudible) it's going to fall apart same as laundromats have in the past.

1172 I couldn't make any sense out of what's a public and post notice but awards the Downtown Beautification on Second Street and Griffing Avenue. I want people to know that we've come to this point because when the buildings were built at that intersection and they don't go back that many years, the finished sidewalk area was never reached. The curbs were never rebuilt. The construction did that damage and when they got finished with the building, they never took care of the sidewalks and so here we come fixing the sidewalks with taxpayer money."

Councilman Densieski: "No. Wrong."

William Kasperovich: "Well- "

Councilman Densieski: "Where does it say in there it's taxpayer money? It's a grant, sir."

William Kasperovich: "It's a grant?"

Councilman Densieski: "Correct."

William Kasperovich: "And the grant comes--"

Councilman Densieski: "Every dime of it."

William Kasperovich: "And the grant comes from the near east? It's taxpayer money, whether it's township or county or state or

federal. When the people that are building the house, the Building Department should see that their responsibility goes to the curb."

Councilman Densieski: "Well, if the state or federal government wants to give us a grant for the Town of Riverhead, I'll be glad to accept it."

William Kasperovich: "Well, you want to be greedy at the cost of the taxpayer, that's up to you."

1178, in the Whereas, the Town Attorney is noted as stipulating the wrong amount of sick time. Hey, this is the woman that we lean on for correctness and legality and she's submitting wrong amount of sick time.

1184, authorizing the transfer of county owned property to the Town of Riverhead and added to this- attached to this is Schedule A which has about 15 items in miscellaneous, recharge basin, roadway-recharge basin, roadway, etc. and then the Mesta Vista Subdivision recharge basin, roadway, doesn't say what roadway, doesn't say what- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Bill, I'm going to have to ask you to sum up."

William Kasperovich: "Then we go down to parking, West Main Street parking, I do not know what this is. This is taking it off the taxroll or however, whatever this is doing you are not telling the people in the township what you are doing. Now you've got one here in 1187 supports governmental acquisition--"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Mr. Kasperovich- "

William Kasperovich: "To allow for construction of 296- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Mr. Kasperovich."

William Kasperovich: "-- condominiums. Yes?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Mr. Kasperovich, it's been seven minutes. Could you please sum up? And I'm not keeping the time. I'm asking someone else to do it and that's seven minutes."

William Kasperovich: "Okay."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "All right?"

William Kasperovich: "Since you seem to count the minutes so preciously- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I'm not. I'm being advised of it. I was listening to you. It's been seven minutes."

William Kasperovich: "Well, (inaudible), something I'm talking about that's extraneous to the function of this township."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Bill- "

William Kasperovich: (Inaudible)

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Mr. Kasperovich- "

William Kasperovich: "Mr. Supervisor- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "-- we have a five minute rule. I ask everybody else- "

William Kasperovich: "When you kept me in the hallway for an hour and five minutes on a two week appointment for your convenience--"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "That has absolutely nothing to do with the question of whether you have a right to not--"

William Kasperovich: "-- what do you mean? You kept me in the hall for an hour and I'm here for two minutes, you're giving me a hard time."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Please, please, please sum up."

William Kasperovich: "Sum up. Before you are getting through this year, you're not doing a damn good job because there are 11 criticisms in this evening's resolutions."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you, Bill."

William Kasperovich: "Serious enough to get my dander up and to differ with you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you."

William Kasperovich: "And if you throw these precious minutes at me, I will have to throw your minutes back at you- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you."

William Kasperovich: "-- as the law permits me."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you, sir. George Schmelzer."

George Schmelzer: "I heard much about traffic problems this evening. So why don't you just try to get the expressway extended? It would solve a lot of these problems. You don't care or something?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "You know, I think we heard that somewhere."

George Schmelzer: "Well, you heard about traffic problems before, not me, others, you discussed it yourself I believe, others did. So that's the end of that."

Now we've got these leaves- it's crazy to me. If you take all the leaves from the trees and burn them and put the ashes somewhere else. Actually it should go back to the ground again and furnish more growth to plants and trees. Who came to this crazy idea you've got to take leaves away and burn them somewhere else? No answer. You don't know, huh?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "We don't do incineration or burning of leaves here in the town. So what are you suggesting? That we go back to doing that?"

George Schmelzer: "Yeah. The ashes belong to the soil not somewhere else in the dump somewhere. I think you know that. And, okay. Some people that move into town, they come into town, they say they like to see the empty lands and the farmlands. Like to see it stay that way. I have one suggestion to them to promote that saving of the empty lands. Move back where you came from. That would be a big help. Tell all of them that. How about it? No answer."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "It's a suggestion. I don't think you're going to get an answer from us."

George Schmelzer: "Now about five years ago, I heard there was some dampness down the cellar here in this building. So I looked on East Main Street to see that pipe. It's almost plugged up. Three

foot pipe, (inaudible). Nobody did nothing. This administration, the administration before. For the last five years nothing. What are you afraid of? Somebody in the state Department of Transportation they don't want to get their feet wet or something? Get after them. If that thing ever plugged up tight, they'd move damn fast. And you know it, too. No answer. Yeah.

And this- Mister- what's his name, Burman? Bought that land, is he paying no taxes for six years like was promised on the town's advertising?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "No."

Councilman Kent: "No. He's paying taxes."

George Schmelzer: "Reduced taxes?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I think we went through this last meeting."

George Schmelzer: "Well, I don't know- "

Councilman Densieski: "It's on the expansion."

George Schmelzer: "I want to make- does he pay less taxes than anybody- than others would normally pay?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "For future buildout."

George Schmelzer: "Huh?"

Councilman Kent: "He's paying- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Involves future construction."

George Schmelzer: "Only- your advertising didn't say that."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Well, false advertising."

George Schmelzer: "Boy. Somebody's trying to mislead somebody."

Councilman Densieski: "We're trying to lure them in."

George Schmelzer: "That's misleading. Everybody else has to

pay for it. The people that do everything on their own, they get stuck, they've got to pay for it. You've got some system here. Vinny promised us a level playing field. He also promised he'd revive the business on Main Street. The only thing he did was close his own store. Yeah.

And how about this scenic river? Are you trying to get rid of that or nothing's done?"

Councilman Kent: "Nothing."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I think you know the history of that. When it was put in place, we attempted at the time, the town, to totally get rid of it. We've had no success."

George Schmelzer: "What- you whispered to somebody?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "No, no, no."

Councilman Densieski: "No, there was litigation, George."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "You've got to go back to the history. You know the history. There was litigation and the litigation failed. There was an attempt to set it aside and turn it around and the town did flex its muscles for lack of a better way of describing it and ran into a stone wall. You know that. You remember the history but you keep getting up here and addressing it again."

George Schmelzer: "I should have made more noise. You got into court, well do something. Did it get- was it court?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yes, it was."

Councilman Densieski: "Which we lost, George."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "If you remember. Go back to when it was enacted and it was done."

George Schmelzer: "Do something. On this pine barrens, maybe you're proud of that. I swore to myself anybody on this Town Board that voted for it, I'd never vote for them. Now you know what they're doing with the pine barrens now? Did you hear anything?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I'm listening. Go ahead."

George Schmelzer: "You didn't hear- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "The question was do you know what they're doing with it now?"

George Schmelzer: "Yeah."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Quote and unquote."

George Schmelzer: "Find the God damn paper here. I've got it."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I think I got you by surprise. You didn't think I was listening, were you?"

George Schmelzer: "Who?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "You."

George Schmelzer: "I got to the microphone here, that's why."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay. Go ahead. So what are they doing?"

George Schmelzer: "I'm not a foot away."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "You have our unabated attention."

George Schmelzer: "I got a card about a week ago to go to a meeting- the west end. I belong to this organization and somebody else went. I called them up yesterday, the DEC has plans to use- to tap the water from the pine barrens for the water- for public water for western Suffolk and all Nassau. Say the water is polluted down there. Costs too much to purify it, cheaper to put a pipe in and get this pine barren water here. You don't know about it?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I haven't heard that one yet."

Councilman Densieski: "The first I heard about it."

George Schmelzer: "Well, here it is."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I thank you."

George Schmelzer: "You can call, make calls if you want to."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you, George."

George Schmelzer: "I've got two copies here, thought I had one."

Councilman Densieski: "A dollar a gallon."

George Schmelzer: "I'll give you two. Here, somebody can take them. That's a copy of the card I got. You can get- the information on there, you can inquire, see what's going on. That's their plan. So whoever voted for that should be very proud. Maybe they're ashamed of themselves. They ought to be. That's a good reason to change the name of this town and call it Riverhead-less. That would be a better name, I think."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Good evening, George, and Happy Thanksgiving."

George Schmelzer: "Yeah. Thank you. Happy- I ain't so happy now with what's going on. Did I use my five minutes up?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yes, you did."

Melissa White: "Yes."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Plus. You don't get to get up next meeting."

George Schmelzer: "Just on the nose. I don't try to go over it just- "

Councilman Densieski: "You used a couple of Bill's up, too."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "No. You do. You do."

George Schmelzer: "Pardon me?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "You try to stay within the five minutes."

George Schmelzer: "Yeah. Not continue on one subject. Okay, thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Thank you."

George Schmelzer: "I'll see you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay. Have a Happy Thanksgiving. I mean that."

George Schmelzer: "Thank you. If this was the last meeting December, '99. I'll see you in the last century, but I can't say that now."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Not yet."

George Schmelzer: "No."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "If it was the last one, you could have. But you can't say it now. No."

George Schmelzer: "Do you remember Hildreth, the lawyer? Do you remember him?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Bill- I mean, George- "

George Schmelzer: "He was the- there's a picture of him in some lawyer's office that was made in 1902. He started being a lawyer over 100 years ago but he's long dead now. Thank you."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Good evening. Anybody else who would like to speak? Anybody? No. Take up resolutions, please."

Councilman Kent: "Do we have any CDA resolutions?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "We have one that's got to be called out, yeah, which is the Swezey's- "

Councilman Kent: "You already got that, okay."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yeah. It should actually be a CDA, so- we still have some other stuff we need to on it? All right. Why don't we do- then why don't we call- adjourn the Town Board portion, the time being 9:25."

Meeting adjourned: 9:25 p.m.

Meeting reopened: 9:29 p.m.

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Reconvene the Town Board portion."

Take up resolutions."

Resolution #1141

Councilman Densieski: "Authorizes the Town Clerk to publish and post a help wanted ad for a part time housing inspector in the community development office. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale."

Councilman Cardinale: "That's a new position?"

Councilman Lull: "No. George (inaudible)."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "It's a replacement."

Councilman Cardinale: "Yes."

The Vote: "Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1142

Councilman Kent: "Authorizes the Town Clerk to publish and post a help wanted ad for Animal Control Officer I. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1143

Councilman Lull: "Authorizes the Fire Marshal to attend the quarterly meeting of the Joint Fire Service Council in December. The cost for said meeting- there is no cost for said meeting, and reimbursement for gas and tolls not to exceed \$40.00. So moved."

Councilman Kent: "Seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Cardinale, yes; Densieski, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "As pointed out by Councilman Lull, this is a quarterly meeting. The New York State Fire Marshal and Inspectors Association has informed the Fire Marshal that they'll pick up all costs with the exception of his use of a vehicle and reimbursement for gas and tolls. All the other costs are picked up. Yes."

Melissa White: "The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1144

Councilman Densieski: "Approves the site plan of the Laundry Palace. So moved."

Councilman Cardinale: "Seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

Councilman Densieski: "A quick comment. I have to say that this is one of the better site plans that has come through here. The landscaping and everything is towards the road. It's a nice looking building and the parking is in the back. So I'm going to be glad to support this. Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "I haven't seen the site plan. I'm abstaining."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kent."

Councilman Kent: "Yeah, I'm sorry. I didn't- I missed the meeting when we looked at this also. So, I'm sorry, I'll have to abstain also."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Lull."

Councilman Lull: "This is at the corner of Route 58 and Harrison Avenue."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Southwest corner."

Councilman Lull: "Yes. And with the only entrance and exit on Harrison Avenue. I question how- why that is but I think that it's a good site plan as Eddie said. Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kozakiewicz."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yes. I didn't have a chance to look at this on Thursday. I did have a chance to look at it since that point in time, review the resolution. I agree it seems to be one of the more attractive looking plans and layouts that we see and I vote yes."

Melissa White: "The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1145

Councilman Lull: "Amends the site plan approval of Sound Housing LLC to include revised elevation drawing. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "As pointed out earlier, this is not changing the number of units, just the elevations or the view from the side which was something that was discovered at the property due to conditions out there. I vote yes."

Melissa White: "The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1146

Councilman Densieski: "Classifies the action and declares lead agency special permit site plan East End Wireless and refers petition to the Planning Board. So moved."

Councilman Kent: "Seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski."

Councilman Densieski: "Quick question. I see the tax map- I'm just trying to remember where."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Cherry Creek Golf."

Councilman Densieski: "Okay. Yeah. So moved. Did we second it already? Sorry. Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1147

Councilman Lull: "Authorizes the Supervisor to execute and submit a grant application to Suffolk County for fiscal year 2002 CD-Community Development Block Grant Funds. So moved."

Councilman Kent: "Seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1148

Councilman Kent: "Authorizes the Supervisor to release petty cash monies to Receiver of Taxes. Is it going to her or- so moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yeah, it's a fancy way of saying it's going to her. Yes."

Melissa White: "The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1149

Councilman Densieski: "Authorizes the extension of time to remit real property taxes for senior citizens receiving an exemption

pursuant to Section 467 of the RPTL. So moved."

Councilman Kent: "Seconded. It's extending their time to make their June payment to June 4th and I second the resolution."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1150

Councilman Kent: "This authorizes the Town Supervisor to execute a change order for the Stotzky Park skate park general construction. It's \$28,100. So moved."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Is there a second?"

Councilman Cardinale: "Seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale."

Councilman Cardinale: "What a surprise. An increase in construction cost. Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kent."

Councilman Kent: "Yes. They decided to raise the height of the retaining wall to provide curbing so it will enhance the drainage. So- and I vote yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1151

Councilman Densieski: "Get ready, Phil, here comes another. Authorizes the Town Supervisor to execute a change order for the Stotzky Park skate park for electrical construction. So moved."

Councilman Kent: "Seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

Councilman Kent: "It's for \$2,600. What this does is it puts them on separate lights systems so that you don't have to light up the whole park if you're only using one part of the park. So it should actually save us money in the long run."

Councilman Densieski: "It will."

Councilman Kent: "I second it."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale."

Councilman Cardinale: "I guess we can't stop now, guys. Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes.
The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1152

Councilman Kent: "This authorizes the Town Supervisor to execute change order #2 for \$228.99 at the certificate #2- and certificate #2 for substantial completion for advanced wastewater treatment facility upgrade completed by Welsbach electric. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull."

Councilman Lull: "I guess I'll vote for a \$228 change on a \$9 million dollar project. Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1153

Councilman Lull: "It's a general fund budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Cardinale- "

Councilman Densieski: "Don't I vote first?"

Councilman Cardinale: "Eddie has something to say."

Melissa White: "Eddie. Sorry."

Councilman Densieski: "Thank you, Melissa. Sorry, Phil. I was going to save my new comments for when the new Town Board came in in January but now that Phil's here, he might want to help me out.

This is another document with three pages of budget adjustments and I'm sure they all have to be done, but we are responsible to you, the taxpayers, for each and every one of these transfers and for each and every one of these dollars. I never know what we're voting on. I just know what the title is.

I requested that the Town Board members receive in writing even if it's confidential so that the Town Board at least knows what it is to justify these transactions. So with that said, I'm going to vote no."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Cardinale."

Councilman Cardinale: "I do agree with Eddie on that issue. I want to point out another thing that I've pointed out before. At the end of the year, the appropriated fund balances- which are savings in a sense and actually they're monies that are set aside and not budgeted, are used to plug budget gaps.

The problem I see and I said this every year I've been on the Board is that some- I hope someone is keeping track of who's going over and why because at the end of the year what we do is we simply plug the holes which we must do but my concern is that since we do it as a matter of policy without recourse to the department heads who are not balancing their budgets, there is no inducement for those department heads to balance their budget next year which incidentally I predict will occur. They will not balance their budget next year either.

I'm going to vote yes because I know this is a paper process but I am concerned about that and I've said that before."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kent."

Councilman Kent: "Yes, this has been an ongoing concern of the Board. I do think we should receive explanations especially the one that really stands out on the three pages is the appropriated fund balance transfer of \$46,100 all going to the police department. Are we purchasing a vehicle with appropriated fund balance? I'm not sure. It says police vehicle expense of \$22,000. Perhaps it's just repairs to a vehicle that was in an accident. I don't know.

Also, I guess we were having some problems with overtime because we're funding using appropriated fund balance to both uniform and non-uniform police officers.

I think I agree with Eddie that this procedure has to be handled better. The Board should know-- especially when we're using appropriated fund balance. I don't really have a problem when you are transferring monies within a department. I think the department head of those departments should have the ability to transfer within budgetary limits but when you're using appropriated fund balance to transfer monies into support ongoing department expenses, I think those should be done with an explanation to the Board.

So, I will vote yes. I know there's probably valid explanations but we haven't been afforded them. So."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Lull."

Councilman Lull: "Actually we do get responses from a couple of department heads but that is too few and far between and maybe we can prevail on the chief fiscal officer of the town who is sitting to my right to make this a little clearer to us in the future."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "That's it. Just throw everything my way."

Councilman Kent: "That's where it belongs."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yes."

Melissa White: "Councilman, your vote?"

Councilman Lull: "Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kozakiewicz."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Two comments. And the first is that

with respect to the police department transfers we did, in fact, receive at part of the work session or part of the resolution packets, copies of memorandums that explained those transfers within that department.

Well, there was- what he was looking to do was do a number of transfers within lines to certain other lines. There's a memo of November 13th and a memo of November 5th, the one being for a file cabinet system, the other for the vehicle.

The- I also, knowing that this was probably going to be a question put to us, as I said, I asked our number one guy downstairs what does it look like as far as these numbers because we're talking about over \$75,000 that's coming out of appropriated fund balance and without blinking I said there's one appropriation which will- should and quickly make that up which is police retirement and I'm putting him on the spot right now to make sure, in fact, that will cover that 75 so that there's going to be a fund surplus that will go back in. Understanding that, I vote yes."

Councilman Densieski: "Okay. I just want to make a comment. Bob, I'm glad that you know what's going on with these transfers and I believe that you do know. I just think that the entire Board should know. I'm glad that you are on top of it and I'm not criticizing you. I just think that the rest of the Board should also have the opportunity to know. That's all."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "As I pointed out, they were part of the package for the resolutions."

Councilman Densieski: "Okay. The police ones."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yes."

Councilman Densieski: "Thank you."

Melissa White: "The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1154

Councilman Kent: "This is the capital project budget adoption for the Chapter 96 at 43 Kay Road. I believe that's Calverton. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Cardinale, yes; Densieski, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1155

Councilman Densieski: "It's for 343 Maple Avenue for a Chapter 96 capital project budget adoption. So moved."

Councilman Kent: "Seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1156

Councilman Kent: "A capital project budget adoption for Chapter 96 at 120 Fishel Avenue, Riverhead. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1157

Councilman Lull: "General town debt service budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1158

Councilman Kent: "This is a budget adjustment for the Calverton Sewer District, \$3,000. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1159

Councilman Densieski: "Is a nutrition site council fund budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1160

Councilman Lull: "Another budget adjustment, this one the Ag Fest Committee Fund. So moved."

Councilman Kent: "Seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent."

Councilman Kent: "Is this the fund you took all the heat for a couple weeks ago- yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1161

Councilman Kent: "This is a Highway Department budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1162

Councilman Densieski: "Riverhead Sewer District budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1163

Councilman Lull: "Capital project budget adjustment for the girls interact Year II program. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1164

Councilman Densieski: "A 1991 downtown sidewalk improvement capital project budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilman Kent: "Seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

Councilman Kent: "I just have a question on this one. I notice later in the packet, we're awarding the bid for this program. The bid is \$141,820 and I wondered why we were funding the capital project for \$170,000."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Jack, we knew this one was going to come up. Do you want to come up and address this one because I know I won't be able to explain it as eloquently as you can."

Jack Hansen: "It's going to be hard to overcome. This budget was adopted back in 1999 for the Second Street sidewalk improvement project. You're getting bids. There's \$45,000 going into construction in that resolution you have before you. There was all-back in '99 when the budget was adopted there was \$103,000 in that line item already so with the \$45,000 on top that equals the 148 and that's what the bid award is supposedly for."

Councilman Kent: "Oh, that's right."

Councilman Lull: "Jack, the 130 in here is actually 103, is that what that's supposed to be?"

Jack Hansen: "Which one? Which line?"

Councilman Kent: "No, no, no. I understand because we've actually already expended some monies here."

Jack Hansen: "No, no. There was 103 in the construction of sidewalks in the previous budget. This 45 is adding to that 103."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "To come up with that contract number."

Jack Hansen: "148. So it's moving lines around to get to the 148."

Councilman Kent: "So what's the first reference to, the federal grant?"

Jack Hansen: "The original budget had a revenue source of a hundred and whatever that number is- I can only remember- "

Councilman Kent: "130- "

Jack Hansen: "130 was from the ice tea. We cannot use ice tea so that revenue is being extracted from that budget."

Councilman Lull: "Got you. Okay."

Jack Hansen: "And the way you extract it is make it a credit balance."

Councilman Kent: "And we've actually already expended some monies here or had an engineering expense."

Jack Hansen: "There was an engineering expense of something like \$28 some odd thousand dollars."

Councilman Kent: "27 or 28- right, okay. That's why it's 175. Okay."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Any other questions? Discussion? Vote, please."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale."

Councilman Cardinale: "Thanks, Jack. I'm sure that clarified it for the members of the public watching. Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Councilman Lull: "Actually, Chris and I sat there last week listening to him say this. This is the second time. Now we- "

Councilman Kent: "I remember- I remember why- the extra \$27,000 has actually already been expended."

Councilman Cardinale: "I'm not sure I want to know."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "1165."

Resolution #1165

Councilman Lull: "1165, Workmans Compensation Fund budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1166

Councilman Kent: "A budget adjustment for the Risk Retention Fund. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale."

Councilman Cardinale: "What are we paying with this? Are we paying judgments and claims?"

Councilman Kent: "What's this \$75,000? This is our share of somebody's- our share of the liability."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I think it's a compilation of judgments, complaints. That's what it is. Yes."

Councilman Lull: "Coming from Mike Clifford's office."

Councilman Cardinale: "Not for anything particular?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "No."

Councilman Cardinale: "Just for everything in general."

Jack Hansen: "There's not one particular- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Not one judgment, not one claim."

Jack Hansen: "It's multiple cases."

Councilman Cardinale: "Am I supposed to- yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes.
The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1167

Councilman Densieski: "Water debt service budget adjustment.
So moved."

Councilman Kent: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1168

Councilman Kent: "This is a Community Development Agency Calverton Park budget adjustment, \$34,000. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Shouldn't it be a CDA resolution?"

Councilman Kent: "Does this have to be a CDA resolution?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "No."

Councilman Lull: "No? Okay. Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

Councilman Densieski: "Did we go over this in work session? I don't remember."

The Vote: "Densieski."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I don't remember."

Councilman Lull: "Yes, Eddie, we talked about it."

Councilman Kent: "It's within the department so I don't know."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yeah, it's not- "

Councilman Kent: "I didn't think we had a fund balance in that account. Oh, that's right."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Discussion or vote?"

Councilman Kent: "No (inaudible)."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Vote, please."

Councilman Densieski: "Only because I don't recall this, I'm going to abstain."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Cardinale."

Councilman Cardinale: "I assume we have an appropriated fund balance because the \$14 million dollars is in there."

Councilman Kent: "Seventeen, yes, or thirteen."

Councilman Cardinale: "It's 14 less than the three they had to pay us. So that's what the appropriated fund balance is coming from? We're paying debts of the CDA. Isn't that- is that the gist here?"

Councilman Lull: "Yes."

Councilman Cardinale: "Yes."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Correct."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1169

Councilman Cardinale: "1169 is an authorization to publish a bid for sporting goods. Yes. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Is that my second?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yes, it is."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1170

Councilman Kent: "Authorization to publish a bid for work clothes. So moved."

Councilman Cardinale: "Seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1171

Councilman Densieski: "Authorizes the Town Clerk to post and publish notice to bidders for pick up and removal of yard waste from Town of Riverhead Youngs Avenue yard waste collection facility. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1172

Councilman Cardinale: "Authorizes the Town Clerk to post and publish a notice to bidders for Riverhead Sewer District transfer station secondary spill containment system. So moved."

Councilman Kent: "Seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1173

Councilman Densieski: "Awards a bid for the downtown beautification project, Second Street and Griffing Avenue sidewalk improvements. So moved."

Councilman Cardinale: "Seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale."

Councilman Cardinale: "One hundred forty one thousand to take care of the sidewalks. It's comforting to see the town do something that towns are supposed to do, fix the sidewalks. I vote yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1174

Councilman Lull: "Awards bid for milk. So moved."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Is there a second?"

Councilman Cardinale: "Yes. Seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yes. Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski."

Councilman Densieski: "Got milk? Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd): "Cardinale."

Councilman Cardinale: "Yes. Had to do that."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kent."

Councilman Kent: "Yes. It's good to see that the town's providing milk for its residents."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution#1175

Councilman Kent: "Accepts the resignation of Cynthia Trent in the nutrition department. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski."

Councilman Densieski: "Yes. Cynthia did a good job over there. I wish her good luck. Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1176

Councilman Lull: "Ed, before you start, I'd like to withdraw this one, have this one withdrawn. She's going to continue on until replaced. That's what her letter says. And so until we have a resolution to replace her, I think it would be a good idea to keep her on."

Councilman Densieski: "I'd like to withdraw Resolution 1176. So moved."

Councilman Cardinale: "Seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded to withdraw."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is withdrawn."

Resolution #1177

Councilman Lull: "Accepts the resignation of George Malahias in the Community Development Agency. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski."

Councilman Densieski: "Yeah, I'd like to thank George for his hard work in the Riverhead town. Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1178

Councilman Lull: "Amends terms and conditions of employment for Dawn Thomas as Town Attorney. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale."

Councilman Cardinale: "What was the- what did it say that we're correcting?"

Melissa White: "Her sick time."

Councilman Kent: "How many sick- how many hours?"

Dawn Thomas: (Inaudible)

Councilman Kent: "Oh, days, right?"

Councilman Cardinale: "Who prepared it? It was Jack?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I think it was my office."

Melissa White: "It says Joey on it."

Councilman Cardinale: "All right. Well, we'll give you- that's all I need to know."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I'll- we did it."

Councilman Cardinale: "Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes.
The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1179

Councilman Lull: "Authorizes the Supervisor to execute a three year contract with Sanitation Superintendent John Reeve. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes."

Councilman Kent: "Discussion before we get started. I want to make sure we know what we're doing here."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Don't say it like that."

Councilman Kent: "Well, I just want to make sure that the Board knows because I actually spent the time to read this thing which I

always do.

The provision- the contract provides- just to let you know and I'm not sure if everybody realized it or did the math- 5% increase- by the way, this is all retroactive so 1999 salary was \$57,825. So the year 2000 provides a 5% pay raise or \$2,900. Year two provides a pay raise of \$3,025, also 5%. And year three which is- those two- first two salaries will be retroactive back to January, 2000. The second one back to January 2001. And the --in January, 2002 it provides for a 4% pay raise. Just to let you know.

In addition, I'm concerned about Article 3 which states that the employee's minimum basic work week shall be the same as the employees under his supervision. The employees under his supervision work 35 hours a week. Mister- this employee currently works 40 hours a week. So in addition to the 5%, 5%, 4% pay raises, we're also trimming his hours back."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "But that also says he has no maximum hours."

Councilman Kent: "It doesn't matter. I mean we're saying his minimum hours are reduced from 40 hours a week to 35 hours a week. So in addition to providing him pay raises of 5, 5 and 4, we are cutting his minimum hours- I don't care what his maximum hours are, we're cutting his minimum basic work week back from 40 hours per week to 35 hours per week. But- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Carolyn works 35."

Councilman Kent: "So that really concerns me because this is a pattern that occurred with one other contract earlier that we adopted which I was unaware until after the vote that we reduced another department head's hours from 40 hours a week to 35 hours a week. That actually troubles me more than the percentages because this is a pattern where we're cutting back the number of hours required to be worked and increasing the pay at the same time.

In addition, some of the other things that I was a little concerned about were, of course, the sick leave buyout. I brought this up a number of times and I know- I guess we're not ever going to be able to change this but I'll bring it up again. It provides that a person can sell back- can accumulate 300 hours, 300 days, excuse me, 300 days of sick leave and sell them back to the town. And you can continue to accumulate these 300 days. So if you work for the town

for a long time, you can sell back a lot more than 300 days. I've always thought that we should have a maximum cap of selling back to the town. No, there's not. Upon severance you can sell back under this contract. Some of them have more. I think the Chief's for instance is 330 days. This provides him 280 days that can be sold back upon severance."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "We want to do this with all the contracts then."

Councilman Kent: "But- I brought this up- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Because for one person to accept it when everyone else has, is unfair."

Councilman Kent: "I know. So where do you start? There should be- and I talked to Rich Zuckerman about this. He thought it was an excellent idea. We should have a lifetime sell back. So if you sell back time while you're working here, upon your severance, you can only sell back a maximum of 300 days during your lifetime of employment. It's almost a year- it's more than a year. It's 260 days in a year. So I have always thought that that should be a lifetime cap or at least an employment cap so if you left here by the time you got to the 300 days accumulated, you'd probably want to leave here but we'd probably be better off anyway because those would be very high paid employees anyway and we could get new employees that are making less money.

Another thing just to be concerned about. Of course, Mister- this employee has a vehicle and if you're in private employment- if you are in private employment and your employer provides you with a vehicle, this is income to you. We never as a town determine what that income is. So in addition to the salary we're providing here, he also has the full use of a town vehicle. And I know most employees probably only drive it to and from or in the course of their employment and never on weekends or, you know, driving their kids to ballgames or anything, but I do see town vehicles at Stotzky Park on weekends at games. But anyway- and car seats and things like that inside of them.

I think this is something we should take into consideration. I know employees- I have represented employees. It comes up in certain instances where that's given back to them- on their W-2 it's shown as part of income up to 10, 12, \$15,000 a year because their employer provides them with a vehicle. So I think we should start taking these

things into consideration when determining salaries. If they're provided with a vehicle it should mean less of a salary.

So those are just some of my observations. I know you guys can do what you want with them. I think the biggest concern to me is that you are reducing his hours, his minimum basic work week from 40 hours to 35 hours. If you then divide it out at the 5%, I didn't do the math, but I'm sure it's, you know, somewhere around 7 and 1/2% actual pay raise because while you're increasing the salary 5%, you're decreasing the work week by 12 and 1/2%.

So you can do what you want on your vote. I just read it and I would like to inform you."

Councilman Cardinale: "Thanks, Chris. I know they will do what they want on the vote, too. I'm not saying anything until they ask me to vote."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Any other discussion?"

Councilman Cardinale: "No."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Vote, please."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale."

Councilman Cardinale: "I don't think it's a mystery to all of you out there, those three or four of you who are still here, that I will not vote for this contract. I have not voted for any contract for four years for department heads. I think they're a bad idea. And I think that those of you who are out there or coming onto the Board have some support if you'd like to take up that cause.

They- in my view, they inspire favoritism, they inspire inequality between department heads, and I think they should all have a standard contract along the lines of the CSEA contract, and I think that the only real difference should be salary and that the salary should be set to some incentives related to performance. And I've said that before so there's no mystery about how I'm going to vote on the contract.

However, I want to thank Chris for pointing out the serious issues. I'd like to take a little lighter approach in my remarks here. I'm actually indebted to John Reeve in many ways. He's a neighbor. Not to my knowledge a political supporter. But a neighbor.

And I have often used this as an example at cocktail parties of what exactly is wrong with government. And I am not kidding you that this really happened.

Since Chris and I got here four years ago, we immediately observed that we had a department head without a department. So that seemed silly. Chris being the more reasonable man among us suggested why don't we- John's a good guy, he's worked for the town for a long time, drop him back to a foreman which he was to begin with at 40 or 45,000 and let him do whatever he's doing as a department head because there's no department.

Well, the answer to this was, yeah, you're right. You can't have a department head or a superintendent of sanitation without a department or you can't supervise nobody, so we got him a secretary. I am not kidding you, we got him a secretary. We put in the budget a secretary. So now instead of 50,000 which it was four years ago, it became 50 plus 30 or 80 plus 25% for the benefits of employment. So now we had \$100,000 benefit where we really had no need for anything because as you know your garbage is picked up by private sanitation.

And if there's complaints, if any of you called the town and you made complaints about your garbage not being picked up, you know that generally speaking if they are answered, they're answered by somebody who takes down a notation and never hear anything about it again because they don't come back to pick up your garbage anyway.

But that's what happens. So I'm indebted to him because it allows me at cocktail parties and the like to utilize this as an illustration of exactly what's wrong with government. Instead of cutting a department head without a department, we hired a secretary for him.

Now, Chris' point on cutting hours and increasing pay is also a good point because if you keep doing this it's kind of like if you keep extending nonexisting pre-existing, non-conforming uses to the parcel next to it to the end of the town line, the whole town will be a non-conforming use. Well, the same way this is. If you cut hours and increase pay consistently, pretty soon the employees won't have to come at all because you'll have so few hours that all they have to do is mail in for their pay.

Now- and I was thinking, and this is my last suggestion. Why don't we just list in this contract the hours that John works, because in my quick look at it, he has five weeks of vacation, one week of

personal leave, five weeks of sick leave, and he can buy back something like 300 days because, of course, he's never going to use these days. So maybe we should just not say when he's off. We should just say when he's on and it would be far more precise.

For these reasons I vote no on this matter."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kent."

Councilman Kent: "I just want to correct one thing Phil said. He gets six weeks vacation."

Councilman Cardinale: "I'm sorry."

Councilman Kent: "For the reasons I stated, I'm very concerned about this and I'm going to vote no."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Lull."

Councilman Lull: "Yeah, I understand the concern about hours. On the other hand, the quotation that you took from his contract about the- his hourly time depending upon his employees. First of all, John does not have one employee. He has seven employees over whom he has control. And for-- three of those seven are 40 hour employees. One is 35 and the other three are part time."

Councilman Kent: "So can I make a suggestion that we amend it to say that his basic work week is 40, a minimum of 40 hours per week so- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "That was going to be my request. If, in fact, that's a real issue, we make that part of the agreement."

Councilman Kent: "Well, you could actually do that since you haven't executed it yet."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Right."

Councilman Kent: "Um, by the way, back in 2000- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "The minimum work week shall be 40- if, in fact, it's 40 now, it should stay the same."

Councilman Kent: "January, 2000- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "The idea was to eliminate the automatic five."

Councilman Kent: "-- he had only one employee."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Right."

Councilman Kent: "January, 2000 and for most of 2001, he only had one employee. So if you want to eliminate the confusion, I would change- "

Councilman Densieski: "No."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "No. He started- he took over control of the animal shelter in 2000, I believe."

Councilman Kent: "Okay."

Councilman Densieski: "No, '99."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "It was in '99. Actually, '99. So, in fact, the- "

Councilman Cardinale: "Superintendent of Sanitation takes care of the animals?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "That's been the case since 1999. Prior to my administration."

Councilman Cardinale: "You better not let Sandra Mott know that."

Councilman Lull: "That was the previous administration's choice and it's worked out fine."

Councilman Kent: "Okay. So just to eliminate the confusion. It says he shall work the same number of hours as his employees. So, okay."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "All right?"

Councilman Kent: "I'm sorry, Jim, I didn't mean to interrupt you."

Councilman Lull: "Okay. Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kozakiewicz."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "With those comments about the 40 hours and making sure it's clarified because I don't think there was any intention to reduce the work week. As in that prior case, he should work the same amount of hours per week. I believe that that would be proper and that was the understanding and the intent of the parties when this was being discussed. I would ask- I would make sure that that's included as part of the amended contract- I mean the new contract."

So, and I appreciate your comments. I appreciate your work on this, Chris, and I do vote yes for it."

Melissa White: "The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1180

Councilman Densieski: "I'm sorry. What number are you on?"

Melissa White: "1180."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "1180."

Councilman Densieski: "Appoints water treatment plant operator trainee in the Water Department. So moved."

Councilman Kent: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "This is done to make sure we're in compliance, Jack? Yes."

Melissa White: "The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1181

Councilman Kent: "Appoints James Messina as a water treatment plant operator trainee in the Water Department. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yes. Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale."

Councilman Cardinale: "These are existing jobs or are we doing new ones?"

Councilman Kent: "I don't know. I think we lost some--"

Councilman Densieski: "Yes, I think this is-- "

Councilman Kent: "We lost-- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "We lost one-- "

Councilman Densieski: "Requirement for-- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "We're saying it's a vacancy. This was-- we lost one per the stip-- that's right, yes. So they are to fill vacancies, yes."

Councilman Kent: "What happened was, Phil, we had water treatment operators who actually wanted to go back to become maintenance mechanics."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Right. That's right."

Councilman Kent: "They don't want the job because they have to work-- the low man on the totem pole has to work like midnight shifts."

Councilman Cardinale: "You know, if you guys wanted to do something special for me in my last month here-- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I don't know if that's going to happen."

Councilman Cardinale: "If you would like-- I always ask the question in personnel decisions, did the Personnel Committee ascertain that this job needed to be filled and must be filled? And for four years I have always gotten-- "

Councilman Kent: "No, no, no. We-- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "They actually told you yes on this one, Phil. They had done that and they had looked at that issue."

Councilman Cardinale: "Yeah, but what I'm looking for is at one point in four years for the Personnel Committee to tell me we don't need this job. We actually could do without this job. And if you'd like to do something that would really be memorable and special for me, trying doing that in the next month."

Councilman Kent: "I've come up with it. We only really need two councilmen."

Councilman Cardinale: "I know."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "All right. With that, vote."

Councilman Cardinale: "That is (inaudible) suggestion."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "The vote."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Cardinale."

Councilman Cardinale: "Yeah, why not. It's a replacement for somebody else. It can't be any greater waste than we already (inaudible)."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1182

Councilman Lull: "Appoints a maintenance mechanic II in the Department of Buildings and Grounds, Kenneth Moyson. So moved."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Is there a second?"

Councilman Densieski: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. Resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1183

Councilman Densieski: "Appoints Henryk Niedziecki to maintenance mechanic II in the Department of Buildings and Grounds. So moved."

Councilman Kent: "Seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

Councilman Densieski: "We're going to have to give him a nickname, I think."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale."

Councilman Cardinale: "Why not? Let Henryk have a good Thanksgiving. Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1184

Councilman Kent: "Authorizing the transfer of county owned property to the Town of Riverhead. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski."

Councilman Densieski: "Yeah. What this basically is is recharge basins and roadways, etc. Nothing to get alarmed about. Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull."

Councilman Lull: "Actually I believe the county is divesting itself of a lot of material because of (inaudible) and because they don't want to do the- "

Councilman Kent: "Vacuuming."

Councilman Lull: "-- yes, they don't want to do the evaluations that are necessary so they are passing it off to the local towns. However, there is one piece of property that we are getting which is on West Main Street which will help with our downtown parking. Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1185

Councilman Lull: "Accepts the official check of Valmont Homes Mill Pond Commons representing recreational fees of \$200,000."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1186

Councilman Densieski: "Didn't we- didn't we table that?"

Melissa White: "Changed the numbers."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yes."

Councilman Densieski: "Oh, so we have to do this one?"

Melissa White: "Yes."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yes."

Councilman Densieski: "Okay. So I'm going to- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Oh, no, no, no. This one's been taken out and the next one 1187 will become 1186."

Councilman Densieski: "Okay. Is it still 1187 then?"

Melissa White: "This is 1186. You have to change the numbers."

Councilman Densieski: "Okay. 1186 supports governmental acquisition of property of William Hubbard. So moved."

Councilman Kent: "Seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski."

Councilman Densieski: "Yes. What this is- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "You've got to re-spell supports."

Councilman Densieski: "-- the Hubbard duck farm up on 105 and Hubbard Avenue. And this would be a real pristine place to preserve if we can and this is a first step in that process. So I'm going to vote yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Cardinale."

Councilman Cardinale: "This is like a sense of the- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Sense resolution, that's what they had asked for."

Councilman Kent: "Yeah, be careful."

Councilman Lull: "This is a request from the- "

Councilman Kent: "This is that one that was going to be developed and collect- "

Councilman Cardinale: "Assuming that this one has sense, I vote yes."

Councilman Kent: "We don't say anywhere in here that we want them to allow a certain amount of acres to be used by the YMCA."

Councilman Densieski: "It's premature for that, Chris, but that is the intention."

Councilman Kent: "Okay. No, I know."

Councilman Lull: "They have no problem with that."

Councilman Kent: "That's my sense."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yes. Two cents."

Councilman Kent: "My two cents worth, right. Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1187

Councilman Densieski: "Adopts the Town of Riverhead 2002 annual budget. I'm going to move that we amend 1188. Before I move it- "

Councilman Cardinale: "Which is now 1187."

Councilman Densieski: "1187, I'm sorry. 1187, I'm going to move to amend it but before I move it, my comments- I'd like to make some comments.

In the first Resolve, I'd like to change it to read- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "So is this a discussion or-- ?"

Councilman Kent: "No. He's amending it."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Oh, okay. Okay, for your comments to amend it. Okay."

Councilman Densieski: "Yes. The first and only Resolve, I would like it to say Now Therefore Be it Resolved, that the Town of Riverhead 2002 annual budget with the inclusion of \$1.5 million dollars of proceeds from the sale of the industrial core be added and hereby is adopted.

And now discussion. The reason- my reasoning- I wrote a couple of quick notes I'd like to write down. The Riverhead Town Board is in a unique position very different from any other east end town because of the \$17 million dollar sale of the industrial core. We can take less than 10% of the gross sale and return it to you, the owner and the taxpayer.

In saying that, I ask you what benefit have you received as a taxpayer from the gift of the Grumman property since the town received it? My guess is none. In my opinion, any budget that does not include a capital improvement budget or an office of economic development yet adds personnel and delivers a 7.49 increase is irresponsible.

I think tonight we, the Town Board, can responsibly set a lot of money aside for the future and give the citizens of Riverhead a tax cut.

With that said, I say so moved. Do I hear a second?"

Councilman Cardinale: "I'm not exactly sure what you want to do."

Councilman Densieski: "Take 1.5 million from the proceeds, from the net- 1.5- "

Councilman Kent: "Looks like 5. I'm sorry, I had written down 1.25. I'm sorry. Go ahead."

Councilman Densieski: "-- from the net- "

Councilman Cardinale: "Take 1.5 from the 14 million we got left from Grumman and do what with it?"

Councilman Densieski: "Put it in this year's budget and give a tax decrease to the citizens of Riverhead."

Councilman Cardinale: "Okay. But isn't it- is it not a fact that the budget that we're now voting on is \$1 million dollars in increased spending from the preliminary budget? So why don't we just take that million out and we're back where we are."

Councilman Densieski: "I don't know how we take out a half a million dollars of- "

Councilman Cardinale: "Retirement funds?"

Councilman Densieski: "Retirement funding that the state is ordering us to- that we just found out a couple days ago, surprise, surprise."

Councilman Cardinale: "Oh, yeah. Well, I- let me see. You are suggesting that we take 1.5 from the Grumman funds and give it back to the people?"

Councilman Densieski: "To the owners. That's exactly."

Councilman Cardinale: "As opposed to doing what Jack wants to do with it which is- "

Councilman Densieski: "No. I still want to take 90% of the gross sale or over 90% of the gross sale and do what Jack wants to do at least until we get a financial planner to really advise us."

Councilman Cardinale: "Well, you know- "

Councilman Densieski: "We're only going to take less than 10% of the gross and give it back to the taxpayers and give a tax decrease."

Councilman Cardinale: "I have a-- I have some really- I have other issues with this budget, but, yes, I will second your motion because the sooner it gets back to the people the less likely it will be that we will waste it on something idiotic. So I do agree with you there."

Councilman Densieski: "Absolutely right."

Councilman Cardinale: "So, I second your motion."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay, there's been a- "

Councilman Cardinale: "To give 1.5 million increase- increase the budget 1.5 million, put up a line and say give it back to the people before you- "

Councilman Kent: "I guess he wants- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "So- "

Councilman Kent: "What you want to do is you want to increase the revenue side in the budget by 1.5 million and then you'd have to collect less from the taxpayers."

Councilman Densieski: "That's exactly what I want to do. Collect less from the taxpayers."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Take 1.5- "

Councilman Densieski: "Thank you for that quote, Chris."

Councilman Kent: "Right."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Take 1.5 from the proceeds and therefore reduce the amount that we can retire the indebtedness with that we have presently."

Councilman Densieski: "Yes, sir. Because we do have future sales coming. We are going to get other revenues from the property. Let's let the people of Riverhead realize some benefit from it."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Okay. Let's take the vote on the amendment."

The Vote: "Densieski."

Councilman Densieski: "Before I vote I'm going to use Phil's quote. Let's give it back to the taxpayers before we do something- what did you say, Phil?"

Councilman Cardinale: "Idiotic."

Councilman Densieski: "Idiotic with it. I agree with Phil. Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Cardinale."

Councilman Cardinale: "I will vote yes but I want to make certain that this money is going to get back to the taxpayers and not sit there. Is it absolutely clear that this amendment- I want to make sure it's clear that this amendment will result in 1.5 million being returned proportionately to the taxpayers, if only as a gesture by the town."

Councilman Kent: "Jack, what the impact would be- I mean if Jack can come up- "

Councilman Densieski: "Jack, if we put 1.5 million dollars in the budget, is there going to be a decrease in taxes?"

Councilman Lull: "No."

Councilman Kent: "No. It will be a wash. Closer to a wash, right?"

Councilman Densieski: "No, it can't be a wash. A million dollars would be a wash."

Councilman Kent: "How much is this budget going up this year from last- from 2002, what's the total- "

Jack Hansen: "You have the summary, do you not?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yes."

Councilman Kent: "Yes."

Jack Hansen: "There is- tax levy, not the rate, but the tax levy for the general fund."

Councilman Kent: "Right. The tax levy is 20- "

Jack Hansen: "How much is it going up? There's a number there. I just don't remember it."

Councilman Kent: "Well, the 2002 tax levy is 16,868,000."

Jack Hansen: "Right. All across it says what the increase dollar amount is over the 2001."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Town-wide is 10.58."

Jack Hansen: "I'm talking about the general fund itself."

Councilman Kent: "Oh, I see what you're talking about. It's \$1,442,000."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Oh, the general fund."

Jack Hansen: "That will give you zero and what Eddie is suggesting is you put 1.5 so that would lower the tax rate."

Councilman Kent: "It would lower it by a very small amount, by \$557,000 which is what- about- "

Jack Hansen: "That's- it will lower it more than that because of the fact the assessed value grew."

Councilman Kent: "Okay. So there will be- "

Jack Hansen: "The rate will come down more- "

Councilman Kent: "In addition to the levy going down, the rate will go down."

Jack Hansen: "The tax levy decrease would be smaller than the tax rate decrease. The tax rate decrease would be larger under that scenario."

Councilman Kent: "Okay."

Councilman Cardinale: "I- you know, I have never understood a

thing you said for four years. I swear, I have never understood a thing you said. I think you do this deliberately."

Jack Hansen: "Boy, am I successful."

Councilman Cardinale: "I haven't voted yet which is the good news because he got me all excited about getting some money back. But let me tell you where we are here and I-- make sure he understands it, too, because I didn't the first year.

There's a preliminary budget out there right now. It exists. Bob made it tentative, then he made it preliminary. We- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "The Town Board made it preliminary. I made it tentative."

Councilman Kent: "We made it preliminary by our inaction."

Councilman Cardinale: "The Town Board made it preliminary by their inability to do anything."

Councilman Kent: "Right."

Councilman Cardinale: "And so Bob's budget is- "

Councilman Lull: "I think your missed last Thursday."

Councilman Cardinale: "-- Bob's budget which we went to the November 6th date with, called for a tax increase, as you all recall when you went out to vote, of what was it, Jack, 1%?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "No."

Jack Hansen: "Two point something."

Councilman Densieski: "Two point something."

Councilman Cardinale: "Two point something. Excuse me."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Right."

Councilman Cardinale: "Now two weeks later, if we do nothing- if we do not vote tonight on this budget, the preliminary budget goes into effect which is 1- approximately 1 million dollars less than the post election budget. So we can save a million dollars by simply

voting no on this budget because this budget is a million bucks more than the budget you were given to consider before the election."

Councilman Kent: "But some of the changes I think would be irresponsible."

Councilman Densieski: "Where is that half a million bucks going to come from, Phil? That's my question."

Councilman Cardinale: "The half a million dollars that- which is for retirement funds I believe is what you called it."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "That's- "

Councilman Cardinale: "Is that correct?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "-- to fund- for the anticipated amounts that we'll have to pay to the retirement system."

Councilman Cardinale: "And I- and how did it become apparent in between November 5th and November 15th that this 500,000 was going to be required?"

Jack Hansen: "There was a letter sent to us from Albany stating that we should increase the payroll tax or the payroll cost appropriation by an increase of 4%."

Councilman Cardinale: "All right. When did you receive the letter?"

Jack Hansen: "In August of this year we received a letter which we normally get in August for the bill that we will receive December of 2002, December 15th to be exact."

Councilman Cardinale: "Right."

Jack Hansen: "In there they said that there should be 1 1/2% of payroll for non-police and 3 1/2% of payroll for police."

Councilman Cardinale: "Right."

Jack Hansen: "And within the last two weeks- "

Councilman Cardinale: "When did you- "

Jack Hansen: "-- I received a letter from Albany stating that we should not go with the 1 ½ and the 3 1/2% but to increase both of those percentages by another 4%."

Councilman Cardinale: "And when did that- "

Jack Hansen: "That's where- "

Councilman Cardinale: "-- add up to in money?"

Jack Hansen: "That adds up to half a million dollars in the general fund- "

Councilman Cardinale: "Right. And that adds up to half of the increases we're looking at between the preliminary and this final proposed budget. Does it not? Forget the 500 for a moment. That 500- when did you get the letter?"

Jack Hansen: "Within the last two weeks."

Councilman Cardinale: "That's an interesting quote. When exactly did you get the letter?"

Jack Hansen: "I can give you the- I received the letter about two weeks ago."

Councilman Kent: "Can we get the letter? Can we get a copy of the letter?"

Jack Hansen: "And I sent a memo to the Supervisor to that effect."

Councilman Cardinale: "You did not anticipate this- this particular thing- "

Jack Hansen: "Albany- "

Councilman Cardinale: "-- was it in your possession before- when did it come into your possession?"

Jack Hansen: "It was after the public hearing."

Councilman Cardinale: "And when was the public hearing?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "November 7th."

Jack Hansen: "November 7th."

Councilman Cardinale: "All right. And that 500, therefore, is to your- you're trying to tell me is a complete surprise to you as the fiscal agent and to the budget officer here?"

Jack Hansen: "Yes."

Councilman Cardinale: "Okay."

Jack Hansen: "Because of the fact- what the letter stated- in the letter- "

Councilman Cardinale: "I know what it stated. You told me that."

Jack Hansen: "-- Albany said that because of- "

Councilman Cardinale: "Increase it more."

Jack Hansen: "-- 9/11, the market has decreased- "

Councilman Cardinale: "What happens if we didn't do that? If we just didn't- "

Jack Hansen: "You're going to get a bill."

Councilman Cardinale: "-- if we disregarded it. They're giving you an estimate."

Jack Hansen: "Yes."

Councilman Cardinale: "They're not ordering you to do that. They're suggesting that you do that."

Jack Hansen: "They're giving us an estimate of what that number will be December- "

Councilman Cardinale: "What happened to the other 500,000 that was added- "

Jack Hansen: "-- fourteen months from now."

Councilman Cardinale: "Where is the other 500,000 that was added to the budget after the election?"

Councilman Lull: "It's not another 500,000."

Councilman Cardinale: "How much is it?"

Councilman Lull: "It's around 450."

Councilman Cardinale: "Four fifty. Where's the other 450 that was added after the election?"

Councilman Kent: "Well 100- "

Jack Hansen: "One aspect is to operate the skate park and the other aspect of that increase is to have a yard waste program."

Councilman Lull: "The largest amount is to- "

Councilman Cardinale: "And you didn't know you were going to have to operate a skate park before November 6th?"

Councilman Lull: "Waste Management left last week."

Councilman Cardinale: "You're telling me that this is a surprise?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "No, he's talking about the skate park."

Councilman Lull: "The skate park."

Councilman Cardinale: "The skate park was a surprise?"

Jack Hansen: "The skate park was a surprise to the Recreation Superintendent who did not submit a request for an appropriation in her budget next year."

Councilman Cardinale: "So she did it after the election instead of before?"

Jack Hansen: "She did not put it in as her request for- when it was required to put budget requests in, she did not put that in there."

Councilman Cardinale: "And who reviews them? The budget requests of the department heads?"

Jack Hansen: "The Supervisor and myself."

Councilman Cardinale: "And nobody picked it up that you're going to have to have somebody run the skate park?"

Jack Hansen: "I don't- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "None of us picked it up."

Jack Hansen: "-- I don't go and look at a budget and add more money to their budget request."

Councilman Cardinale: "I'm not the budget officer. You are."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "We all are responsible for reviewing the budget, Phil, during that process."

Councilman Cardinale: "You're the chief budget officer of the town."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Well, the bottom line is it was brought up before tonight."

Councilman Cardinale: "What about the other- besides the skate park, how much is going to the skate park we didn't have in the original preliminary budget?"

Jack Hansen: "Approximately \$140,000."

Councilman Kent: "One hundred and twenty."

Councilman Cardinale: "And what else is- what is the 120 for?"

Jack Hansen: "For employees and for electricity for running the skate park."

Councilman Cardinale: "We're going to have a full time employee at the skate park?"

Jack Hansen: "One full time person to manage the entire skate park. That's what the request, last Thursday- "

Councilman Kent: "Not the skate park."

Jack Hansen: "-- of the Recreation Superintendent."

Councilman Lull: "It's to manage the park."

Councilman Kent: "It's to manage the entire Stotzky Park."

Councilman Lull: "Stotzky Park."

Councilman Cardinale: "Where did the other \$330,000- where is the other 330 that comprises the 450 in addition to the 500 that the Comptroller- "

Councilman Lull: "The majority of that- "

Jack Hansen: "The yard waste is approximately \$150,000- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yard waste. Right."

Jack Hansen: "If you do not want to do the yard waste, you save \$150,000."

Councilman Cardinale: "Wait a minute. Wait a minute. Why did the yard waste change in the last two weeks? The issues regarding yard waste."

Councilman Lull: "Because we've had meetings here almost every day."

Jack Hansen: "Because we had Waste Management doing it."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Hold it. Everybody is talking at the same time. Waste Management came in what- November 8th? November 9th, and indicated that they cannot live with the arrangement at the Youngs Avenue facility and they asked the Board- I think this was discussed at the whole Board level if I'm not- "

Jack Hansen: "Thursday. This past Thursday."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "-- incorrect here- "

Councilman Kent: "No. It was two weeks ago. The last work session before the election."

Councilman Cardinale: "Yeah."

Councilman Kent: "No. I don't think you were here."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "That's right. No."

Councilman Kent: "We had-- Waste Management was here. Jim and I met with them in the Supervisor's office. Waste Management is vacating the premises. We have been- I think-- "

Councilman Cardinale: "Don't they have a contract?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "They do."

Councilman Cardinale: "So they're leaving?"

Councilman Kent: "They're leaving."

Councilman Cardinale: "And so how does that leave us with more money to pay if they're leaving? That's less money to pay if we don't have to pay them."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "We're not paying them. They were taking the yard waste as an offset to their rent- "

Councilman Kent: "They're paying us."

Councilman Cardinale: "Okay."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "-- they were supposed to pay us pilot payments which was an issue we defaulted them on for nonpayment of the pilot payments and what they came and I'm going to do the sum and substance of it because I wasn't part of that meeting, basically said do you want to stay or not? Plus we're disputing your pilot payments. We're willing to just walk away from the site, leave behind the stockpiled material, leave behind the tub grinder the (inaudible) that we would have been entitled to at the end of the lease term and, you know, walk away from the project and have the town take it back. And that's how that came about."

Councilman Lull: "Phil, let me- "

Councilman Kent: "They owed us- by the way, Phil, they breached the contract. They owed us approximately- well, somewhere between 60,000 and 120,000 depending on how you compute it."

Councilman Lull: "We said 120,000; they said 60."

Councilman Kent: "They left us a stockpile of material that

they estimated to be worth \$200,000. Our people went out. It's not worth \$200,000 but it's probably worth at least 120. We got- "

Councilman Densieski: "Why can't we use that in the budget? Why can't we include that 120 in the budget, and take off the 120 for yard waste? If we have something that's worth \$120,000- "

Councilman Lull: "Because we have things that we're going to have buy for the town that that could- "

Councilman Densieski: "That's going to be for the walls- "

Councilman Kent: "We're not going to sell it. (Inaudible)."

Councilman Densieski: "Phil- "

Councilman Lull: "First of all- "

Councilman Densieski: "That was my question."

Councilman Lull: "First of all, answer one simple question. That is we made a simple political decision and I'm going to say it was a political decision. The political decision was that this Town Board as a whole and this was during the discussions, this Town Board as a whole approves of having the residents of Riverhead able to drop off their yard waste at Youngs Avenue. Given that, this is what we want to do, how can we do it the most cheaply?"

What we did is we went through three different scenarios which involved everything from the Highway Department to involving another organization to replace Waste Management to using our own rollofts and transporting ourselves, which ended up turning out to be the cheapest way to do it. If we want to continue to allow the residents of Riverhead to use the Youngs Avenue facility for their yard waste and it would be a- it would not be 100,000 or 100- whatever the measurement was, it would not be a measurement, it would be an acceptance of all residential yard waste, then this is the way we're going to have to do it. And this is what the result was, this is the cheapest way around it that seemed to work out in a way that we could make an agreement with the DEC which does not allowing stockpiling of such stuff."

Councilman Cardinale: "The net result of all of this and I think I have 500 from the Comptroller's memo that you got excited about, 120 to the skatepark which nobody knew anything about, and I

assume the balance of 330 to this problem you're having with yard waste- "

Councilman Lull: "It's about 270."

Councilman Cardinale: "The net result is that the voter that went to the polls on November 5th anticipating a less than 2% or approximately 2% increase is now going to see an 8% or 7.49 to be precise increase. Now this is really doing wonders for the- "

Councilman Lull: "And more than half of that increase- "

Councilman Cardinale: "-- people's confidence in their government that a 2% increase became 8% within a few days- "

Councilman Lull: "And more than half of that increase is- has nothing whatsoever to do with things that we do with this town."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "That's not what we're voting on. We're voting on the amendment to the resolution."

Councilman Lull: "The amendment to the resolution has to do with adding money to the fund and I think it's- "

Councilman Cardinale: "This is just on the amendment, right, Bob?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "That's right."

Councilman Cardinale: "Okay. I'm comfortable with amending it and I voted yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kent."

Councilman Kent: "Well, you're just voting on the amendment to add 1.5 million from the principal- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "From the proceeds- "

Councilman Kent: "The net proceeds."

Councilman Densieski: "The net proceeds."

Councilman Kent: "From the sale of the Grumman property to this year's budget. By the way, it's not going to make the budget go

down."

Councilman Densieski: "It's going to make the tax increase go down."

Councilman Kent: "No. It's enough to cover the general fund."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "That's right."

Councilman Densieski: "Right. It's not school- "

Councilman Kent: "But when you throw in the highway fund, the total tax levy is increasing by almost two million. So do you still think it will make the- "

Jack Hansen: "The highway increase was the largest."

Councilman Kent: "Right."

Jack Hansen: "But the question that was posed was what would the general fund tax rate be?"

Councilman Kent: "The general fund. I know. But it will actually not make taxes go down."

Jack Hansen: "What Councilman Densieski was saying, put a million and a half dollars into the general fund."

Councilman Kent: "Because you pay your highway- your highway fund is part of your town taxes, too."

Jack Hansen: (Inaudible)

Councilman Kent: "Right."

Jack Hansen: "That's what he's saying."

Councilman Kent: "Right. But your highway taxes will still go up so it- "

Jack Hansen: "Well, highway has the biggest increase."

Councilman Kent: "Right."

Jack Hansen: "As far as the percentage."

Councilman Kent: "Correct, 20.93%."

Jack Hansen: "And that was discussed on Thursday also."

Councilman Cardinale: "That was a good increase even for government. Twenty percent increase."

Councilman Kent: "That's in the levy. In the fund itself, the highway increase is- I'm looking for it- is 17.57%."

Jack Hansen: "You also have in front of you the authorized spending increase. The authorized spending increases aren't that great in general fund."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Right. That was 10.1 with- or \$314,811."

Councilman Kent: "Actually the total town-wide authorized spending increase is \$967,000. So if we add the 1.5 million, we're covering more than the authorized spending increase?"

Jack Hansen: "That is correct."

Councilman Kent: "So the taxes would go down then, right?"

Jack Hansen: "The taxes would go down. I said that before."

Councilman Kent: "Yeah. Because of the assessed value going up. I don't know if we need to put 1.5 million in there. For taxes to not increase, we'd only have to put a million in there. Wouldn't that be right?"

Jack Hansen: "If you put a million dollars in there based on the assessed value growth, it's probably very close to zero."

Councilman Kent: "Well, you're showing an actual spending authorization comparison. Would that be the best number to use as the number that we'd want to- "

Jack Hansen: "No. I guess what I'm saying is that your tax rate and your taxes, your property taxes for the general fund- "

Councilman Kent: "Right."

Jack Hansen: "-- if the tax base did not grow, those numbers

would be exactly the same."

Councilman Kent: "Right."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Right."

Jack Hansen: "But because the tax base grew, the tax rate increase is smaller than the property tax increase."

Councilman Kent: "Right."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "The tax levy."

Jack Hansen: "Right."

Councilman Kent: "So the question, how much do you- could you put a dollar amount on how much we would have to increase revenues by in order to not have a tax increase?"

Jack Hansen: "That would take me a few minutes to do. I couldn't do that off the top of my head."

Councilman Densieski: "Yeah. That's why I didn't get into that, Chris. I said a million and a half bucks and if we have a bigger decrease fine, so be it."

Councilman Kent: "Well, I don't know if I want to take a million and a half. I would prefer not to take that much. I would prefer to take the minimum required to not have a tax increase."

Councilman Densieski: "With all due respect, we are in the middle of a vote. If you don't want to- "

Councilman Kent: "Then I'll vote no because I don't think we should take 1.5. I think that's- I don't think we need that much."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Lull, no; Kozakiewicz, no."

Councilman Kent: "Jack, could you give us a number how much it would cost? I might be willing to go with this idea if we knew exactly how much it would cost not to have a tax increase."

Councilman Densieski: "Well, the budget is due today. The vote is due today."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Then we also need to- well, Jack, I'm voting no because I- we haven't discussed this. This is last second."

Councilman Densieski: "Okay, there's your tax increase, folks."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "That's not the reason. If this is something that could have been discussed earlier, it should have been discussed earlier."

Councilman Densieski: "It was discussed at work session, Mr. Supervisor."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Not this issue."

Councilman Kent: "Jack, could you tell us how much- "

Councilman Densieski: "Yes, it was."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "All right. I don't want to get into a fight. Find out how much we need to provide a zero percent tax increase."

Councilman Kent: "-- we need to provide a zero percent."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Put this aside."

Jack Hansen: "Zero percent tax rate increase- "

Councilman Kent: "Yes."

Jack Hansen: "-- in the general fund alone?"

Councilman Kent: "No. Total town-wide."

Jack Hansen: "So you're suggesting using proceeds to go into--"

Councilman Kent: "Okay. No. Just the general fund. Let's go with zeroing out the general fund."

Councilman Densieski: "The town-wide, not the school district."

Councilman Kent: "No. I'm not saying that. He's saying not the highway because we should- "

Jack Hansen: "Well, I guess I'm asking you is are you putting

money in a special district because street lighting is a special district. Highway is its own fund."

Councilman Kent: "No. We can't do that. I don't think we can do that, can we?"

Jack Hansen: "You can take the whole 17 million and drop it in the general fund if you wanted to. And you wouldn't have- "

Councilman Densieski: "No. We don't want to do that."

Jack Hansen: "-- any taxes. You'd have zero tax."

Councilman Kent: "That would help me a lot since I probably pay the biggest tax bill."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Next year wouldn't be very good to live with."

Councilman Kent: "Just for seniors. I've aged a lot in the last four years. So you have no idea what that amount would be?"

Jack Hansen: "So you want to have a zero percent tax rate increase- "

Councilman Kent: "Correct."

Jack Hansen: "-- in the general fund and you want to know how much money- how much the tax levy would to be decreased to do that."

Councilman Kent: "What magical revenue would we have to generate to make that- "

Jack Hansen: "I can calculate that for you."

Councilman Kent: "How quickly?"

Jack Hansen: "It would take about five minutes to get downstairs and five minutes back."

Councilman Kent: "Well, walk faster. Can you walk fast? Do you guys want to adjourn?"

Councilman Lull: "Jack, Jack, before you leave- "

Councilman Densieski: "No. I don't want to adjourn."

Councilman Lull: "-- let's hear- let's just hear one other figure."

Councilman Kent: "So you don't really want to do zero- "

Councilman Densieski: "Yeah, I want- "

Councilman Lull: "One other figure, we've already talked about this figure."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I think we're looking for a number."

Councilman Lull: "This figure is- "

Councilman Densieski: "If you want to adjourn, I will."

Councilman Lull: "-- if you are taking the- and I've forgotten the exact figure- but 13 million thereabouts, to put into the fund that is going to be used to defray the debt service for the town, what amount of debt service is it going to defray this year, this coming year, and how much- "

Jack Hansen: "The general fund was budgeted in the beginning for 1.6 million dollars to be transferred into debt service to pay the year 2002 debt service."

Councilman Lull: "Okay."

Jack Hansen: "That was eliminated in the general fund so right there is 1.6 million dollars off of the general fund's bill."

Councilman Lull: "So 1.6 million off the general fund is already coming?"

Jack Hansen: "Yes."

Councilman Kent: "Where is that 1.6- "

Councilman Lull: "Already coming from the revenue from that money."

Jack Hansen: "Correct."

Councilman Lull: "And the more we take off that money, the less money we get back?"

Jack Hansen: "Correct."

Councilman Lull: "Where are you getting the 1.6 million from?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "That's using interest in corpus from the net proceeds."

Councilman Kent: "Oh, that's already using in corpus?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yes."

Jack Hansen: "Yes."

Councilman Kent: "So does Ed know this? So you are already using- you already put some of the net principal- the principal from the net into the budget, right."

Jack Hansen: "Yes, correct."

Councilman Kent: "Oh. That's news to me."

Jack Hansen: "That's the general fund."

Councilman Lull: "No. It's to do all the debt service."

Councilman Kent: "No, that's (inaudible)."

Jack Hansen: "General fund's debt service."

Councilman Kent: "No. I only thought we were using income from the principal."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "No."

Councilman Kent: "Are you saying that there's already a portion of the proceeds from the sale- "

Jack Hansen: "If you had 12 million dollars- "

Councilman Kent: "Right."

Jack Hansen: "-- and you invested that for an entire year at

5%, you'd realize \$600,000."

Councilman Kent: "Correct."

Jack Hansen: "I've got 1.6 million in debt that has to be paid in the year 2002- "

Councilman Kent: "Right."

Jack Hansen: "And that's growing."

Councilman Kent: "And you're using all of the- you're using the interest and some of the corpus to pay that debt service."

Jack Hansen: "Right. Historically the general fund will set aside dollars- "

Councilman Kent: "Right."

Jack Hansen: "-- to throw into the debt service to pay its share of the debt. Water has a water debt service. The street lighting has one. The highway has one."

Councilman Kent: "Right."

Jack Hansen: "What we eliminated was- and the preliminary- the tentative budget, the preliminary budget and what's proposed tonight, would be 1.6 million dollars eliminated in the general fund and does not- is not being called to be transferred into that debt service to pay debt next year. Therefore, if we put money in from the proceeds of the Burman sale, the net, that will be dropped into the general fund debt service, that's the plan, I believe. That corpus plus interest will be used to pay the 1.6 million dollars."

Councilman Kent: "All ready?"

Jack Hansen: "Yes."

Councilman Kent: "So now- "

Jack Hansen: "It's in this document that you have and you've had this document for three sessions."

Councilman Kent: "-- if we added the 1.5 that Ed's proposing, we'd be up where- we'd be somewhere over 3 million dollars already

using- well not completely all of the corpus, but 1.5 million onto roughly a million. Do you know how much of the corpus we- "

Councilman Lull: "About 400,000."

Councilman Kent: "No, he said 1.6."

Jack Hansen: "Right now I'm- we're earning on CD's or what we invest money on- "

Councilman Kent: "About 2%."

Jack Hansen: "A little over 2%."

Councilman Kent: "Right."

Jack Hansen: "This time last year we earned six and seven percent."

Councilman Kent: "I know that."

Jack Hansen: "And I can't tell you the next two months from now, what the interest rate is going to be. If Mr. Greenspan decides to raise the interest rate, it's going to go up. He's got it at 2%, the fed rate. I can't tell you that tomorrow he's not going to raise it. The economy is starting to turn. There is- the Wall Street Journal as of yesterday said that we're now thinking we're entering into a bull market again versus the bear market."

Councilman Kent: "Right."

Jack Hansen: "If we're entering into a bull market that means that the stocks are increasing in value."

Councilman Kent: "So right now- "

Councilman Densieski: "I need his broker."

Councilman Kent: "So right now in the budget, you have- you have as a revenue 1.6 million for debt service and you don't really know at this point how much of that is going to be interest income and how much of that is going to be corpus- "

Councilman Lull: "Not 1.6."

Councilman Kent: "Please, let me- he just told us 1.6 million. Did you just tell us 1.6 million?"

Jack Hansen: "Yes. 1.6 million dollars was scheduled or was debt for next year to be paid in debt for the year 2002."

Councilman Kent: "Right. Yes."

Jack Hansen: "You know, in retrospect, if I had 12 million dollars for this entire year, I'd have easily \$600,000 (inaudible)."

Councilman Kent: "So let's go back to my question- before you go off on that- "

Jack Hansen: "Principal interests are paid in multiple stages during the course of the year."

Councilman Kent: "Okay. I'm going to ask a very easy question. You cannot tell us today of that 1.6 million dollars that you've identified in the budget to be used for debt service, how much of that is going to be corpus, how much of that is going to be the principal, and how much of that is interest income from the money on deposit? That's what you're telling us."

Jack Hansen: "That is exactly true."

Councilman Kent: "That's exactly the truth. So, if we add- if we say today that we're going to take another 1.5 million and identify 1.5 million of principal from corpus, from that sale, from the proceeds of the sale, we still don't know how much more in addition to the 1.5 million would be used in next year's budget to pay off debt service."

Jack Hansen: "Correct."

Councilman Kent: "Is that correct? So that thing that- you probably didn't know this Ed that he's already using some of the principal."

Councilman Densieski: "No. I didn't."

Councilman Kent: "Okay."

Councilman Densieski: "But I still think you should use another 1.5- "

Councilman Kent: "So instead of doing it your way, instead of saying 1.5, why don't we increase how much of the money- is debt service- is that the total amount of debt service for next year, 1.6 million?"

Jack Hansen: "For the general fund's share of debt service."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Right."

Councilman Densieski: "Chris, that's not going to reduce the taxes to the taxpayer though."

Councilman Kent: "No, I understand."

Councilman Densieski: "I understand the long term effects and I agree with the long term."

Councilman Kent: "But he can't even tell you today how much interest and how much principal is going to be used."

Councilman Densieski: "Yes or no, Jack. If we put 1.5 million bucks in there, will the taxpayers get a decrease of any size?"

Jack Hansen: "In the general fund tax rate- "

Councilman Densieski: "Yes."

Jack Hansen: "-- it would go down."

Councilman Kent: "General fund."

Councilman Densieski: "Thank you. That's all I wanted to know."

Jack Hansen: "I already said that."

Councilman Kent: "Right. We understand that. But it doesn't necessarily mean our taxes will go down because highway fund will go up. Some of the other things will go up."

Jack Hansen: "Yeah. You have the increases that affect you plus what is affecting other municipalities in this town. For example, the fire district rates are there that you have in front of you."

Councilman Kent: "Right. Well, we can't control- "

Jack Hansen: "You also have the school district tax rate."

Councilman Kent: "We can't control those though. We can't control those."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Right."

Jack Hansen: "So you can't say that the tax bill is going to go down. No."

Councilman Densieski: "No. The town portion of it."

Jack Hansen: "No. The general fund- "

Councilman Kent: "The general fund portion of it."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "The general fund portion."

Councilman Densieski: "Okay. The general fund."

Jack Hansen: "Everyone in this town pays street lighting and everyone in this town pays highway and everyone in this town pays general fund. The special districts that we have are different. Also in this budget you do have a decrease in the garbage district tax."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Right."

Councilman Cardinale: "Jack, you don't know how much I'm going to miss these really informative discussions. I think you spoke for longer than we permit others to speak- "

Jack Hansen: "Five minute rule?"

Councilman Cardinale: "-- and made less sense than even members of the public make. So I really- I really want to compliment you for --for making it all clear to me."

Jack Hansen: "I take it as a compliment."

Councilman Kent: "I'll tell you what. I'll move that we put a million dollars into the- from the sale. A million dollars. I think that should be- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "After all that discussion there's a motion to put a million into the general fund."

Councilman Densieski: "I'll second it."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded. Vote, please."

Councilman Densieski: "Although I wish it was a million and a half, I will vote yes to the million."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Cardinale."

Councilman Cardinale: "So we're voting to amend the existing Resolve clause to include with the inclusion of one million."

Councilman Densieski: "It will read like this. With the inclusion of one million dollars, the proceeds from the sale of the industrial core be added and hereby is adopted."

Councilman Kent: "Be added as a revenue to the general fund would be most correct, wouldn't it?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Right. Yes it would."

Councilman Densieski: "Okay. That would be fine."

Councilman Cardinale: "You guys are going to love me. I'll vote yes to the amendment but I- but I may have a few comments on the ultimate- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Chris?"

Councilman Cardinale: "Because we're going to spend 950 less if we don't vote."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Chris? Vote."

Councilman Kent: "Yeah, but see I think that would be irresponsible, Phil, because although I haven't seen the letter that Jack's been quoting- "

Councilman Lull: "I have."

Councilman Kent: "You've seen it? Okay, I'll- no, Jim's told me he's seen it. I feel much more comfortable. So I'll- I'm going to

vote yes on the amendment."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Lull."

Councilman Kent: "It's still going to go down."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "It's got three already."

Councilman Lull: "No, I think- I just can't- I think it's terribly shortsighted. The whole time that we talked about selling this property the plan always was as was my understanding for two different administrations, what we were going to do was use that money to generate income for the town to help to retire- to pay off and then, hopefully, to pay off some of our debt service and which does reduce the taxes.

I think if you take a look at what's happened to the budgets on other towns on Long Island, post this retirement letter, and I think you're going to find that we have by far the smallest budget increase. And I don't think it makes any sense to dig into any substantial amount of the principal ahead of time. I think we depend upon what we have to pay and retire that, the amount of the principal which we need to use to pay off the general fund debt at the end of year. So I'll vote no."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kozakiewicz."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "I think the vote has been (inaudible). Yes for the amendment."

Melissa White: "Yes to amend."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Obviously it's tough to argue with no tax increase on a general fund and I just wish it had been raised more clearly yesterday during our work session discussion so that we can go through the whole scenario what the impact is down the road, what are the impacts for the next 10 years of budgets, what do we do as far as tax raises down the road, what are the implications, how do we play this out, how do we see this out?"

We didn't do that. This is clearly the case where it's coming up last second. I don't know what the implications are. It has a lot of sex appeal, it has a lot of appeal but I don't know. I know what I've worked on. I know what I submitted as part of a preliminary and I know that it's been increased since.

Not knowing what the impacts are, I have to vote no at this point in time."

Melissa White: "That's a no to amend?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "No to amend."

Melissa White: "So it's yes- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "There was a motion to amend to make that language and it passed. The vote on the amendment was successful. Vote on the resolution."

The Vote: "Densieski."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "We can never get out of here- not one of these."

Councilman Densieski: "We don't know- and I just want to bring another point up, too. I did bring this up in work session so that's- just to make that point clear."

Councilman Kent: "I'm sorry I couldn't be here yesterday."

Councilman Densieski: "Just so you know, I did bring it up- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "The question was asked- we never had a chance to fully explore it."

Councilman Densieski: "We got an- we got an answer that I didn't find acceptable but anyway. The Supervisor says he doesn't know the full implications of what's going to happen if we give a million dollars back to the taxpayers. Well, I know one thing- that- if the Board members that are remaining and the new members that come in work really hard and make a couple land deals up at Grumman, maybe we could have another one next year. With that said, I'm going to vote yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Cardinale."

Councilman Cardinale: "This is going to be a fascinating vote incidentally across the board especially after I vote."

Number one, I think this is a good example of why spending decisions made throughout the year have real world consequences. This

budget is simply a blueprint for spending, you know, it really is substance-less in many ways because you don't have to spend what you put in the budget. You could spend less or you could do what we generally do, spend more. But it's simply a plan. It's not the real spending decisions, the decisions on whether to buy the truck which Highway Department apparently has gotten a lot new equipment and that's why they went up 20%. Those are the real numbers.

But spending decisions have real world consequences. What strikes me in this is that I'm sitting here with an interesting decision. The preliminary budget, if I vote no here, we move to the preliminary budget which is \$950,000 less than this budget. There's my million dollars. If I vote yes here, I vote for the 950 in additional spending and I vote to pull down a million dollars from the Grumman sale to pay the million Bob just added to the budget. I don't want to do that.

And I also don't want to- I want it made very clear that what's happened here is we've gone in the last two weeks from a 2% increase to an almost 8% increase if we pass this new budget. So I'm not going to pass the new budget without the million dollars and I don't really want to pass the new budget with the million dollars pulled down from Grumman so it seems to me the intelligent thing to do here is to abstain from voting or to vote no but I think I'll abstain. Because if I abstain and there aren't three votes for this budget with the million dollars, we're going to go back to the preliminary budget which will be \$950,000 less.

Accordingly, I abstain and watch with interest what the rest of this Board is going to do."

Councilman Densieski: "That's what's going to happen right there. If you vote no, there's going to be a budget (inaudible)."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Kent."

Councilman Kent: "Did he vote no? He abstained?"

Councilman Cardinale: "I abstained."

Councilman Kent: "Okay."

Councilman Cardinale: "On this vote. Because I prefer to go with the preliminary budget at \$950,000 less and do without the additional million coming in from Grumman."

Councilman Kent: "This is going to be interesting. I feel that as a town and I know I've been part of it, I've been sitting up here for four years and the time has gone by so quickly, I believe that we really have done a disservice to the town over the way we have handled the Calverton property. I think we should have had a few closings already. We should have many more deals in contract.

Last year when we voted on the budget, I really anticipated this year sitting up here with having closed Burman, having AES in contract, having sold off some pieces, having been in a much better financial situation. And we're not. We're probably worse off today than we were a year ago and I don't see a real light at the end of the tunnel because I can't even get the other members of the Board to agree to move forward with AES.

I don't understand it. I think it's artificial what are being raised as contractual hurdles that haven't been crossed and I feel like I've let the people down, to tell you the truth because I saw here last year when we voted on the budget, actually we were sitting down at the table down below, and I really was committed to trying to get the town moving forward so that we could have a much better source of revenues to fund our budgets. But we haven't done it. And I mean I take direct responsibility for that. And I'm not just saying that. I really feel that.

I really wanted to set aside the money from the sales of property at Grumman for future uses. I wanted to establish a capital project, identify other assets in town that we wanted to enhance. I don't believe that you should sell off capital assets and then utilize the proceeds for operating expenses. It's just wrong.

Unfortunately we're in a tough spot. And I've been warned many times over the last three years that that's what the town was going to do and the people warning us that that was what we were going to do were probably right. Because in this town we look progress in the face and we blink. We never want to step out. We never really want to become progressive and I don't know why that is. And I take it that I let the people down. I try to be progressive. I try to say let's not worry so much about expenditures. Let's worry about how much money the town can bring in.

We could have had our theater on Main Street. We could have nice things in our town that we could let the people enjoy living in Riverhead. Instead we always worry about how much it's going to cost. We don't spend enough time worrying about where we can make the money.

We have a tremendous opportunity. We received a piece of property that's probably got a worth of somewhere between 50 and 60 million dollars regardless of how we cut it up and sell it. I hope we cut it up and sell it properly. I hope we sell it to uses that are going to fit in with the town, that are going to fit in with the character of what we want to have here in Riverhead. I'm not sure that's going to happen.

I think the AES proposal and I hate to keep harping back on that but it makes so much sense. The property out there is secluded. It's 50 acres that they want. It's \$5 million up front; \$6 million to \$7 million dollars a year in tax dollars. We wouldn't have to worry about taking money from principal.

Another concern of mine and I'm going to tell the new Board members this because you're going to have to be diligent on this. I don't think we should be promoting the use of the Industrial Development Agency as a way to finance future growth at Calverton. All it means to us as a town is tax abatements and you're going to have to wait 10, maybe even 20 years to collect real property taxes.

You're- we've already done one of those deals and it was to a company that was already there. Industrial development is supposed to develop industry in your town. This industry was already at the site. What did we develop? We didn't develop anything. EDZ is designed to allow the company to come in and develop on the site, to pay the local property taxes and to take a credit against other New York taxes. It's funded by New York State. They receive a credit against New York State taxes. And yet the locality, Riverhead Town, could still collect its taxes. The school district can still collect its taxes. We could have a better school district. We could have a better town. We could have more money. We could do things we want to do. We could provide the services we want to our people.

Instead we only look at the expenses. Let's look at the revenues for a change.

I didn't think we should use proceeds from the sale for operating expenses. I agree with Jim. Perhaps it was the wrong thing to do. But we're looking at a budget that came up short \$950,000. Maybe next year we'll have another sale. Maybe next year we can set aside the capital money into a fund that will generate enough interest income to service debt for capital improvements elsewhere in town. Maybe next year we'll come in first place. We didn't this year. We're close to the bottom this year, I think. Maybe our performance will increase.

Maybe new Board members will have more energy.

It seems after you sit up here for a while you tend to lose it in more ways than one. But I'm going to vote yes on the budget for the reasons that I think the \$950,000 that we added the other day was really necessary. It would be irresponsible not to include it. But I think the revenues are underestimated in this year's budget. I'm surprised to hear tonight for the first time that we are already intending to use some of the principal from the Grumman sale. So what the heck? Let's use a million more and let's treat the residents of our town with lower taxes and, hopefully, better services. So I'm going to vote yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Lull."

Councilman Lull: "Phil stated the position very well. It's a different position I have than him but it's still the same situation. I'm damned if I do and damned if I don't. In terms of the philosophy of this budget and- budget is not just a statement of spending. It's a statement of program. We up here really only have two responsibilities. Yeah, we have fiscal responsibilities. But we pretty much leave them to the experts. The fact is we have two significant responsibilities. They are land use and personal services. That's what we do.

We decided in putting together this budget and I will correct Phil on one thing. The 900 and whatever it was thousand dollar increase was done at a meeting last Thursday, at the regular- at the budget hearing we held last Thursday, a scheduled budget hearing which we held last Thursday with department heads and other people and it was held without the Supervisor and these decisions were made without the Supervisor. They were made with the members of the Board who were there and those decisions were made to say we know that there are services that we need to offer and we can at this time offer them. One of those services is to increase services at Stotzky Park for our youth and there's no way we can deny the fact that our youth deserve and need more services. And to allow the citizens of this town to use the facility at Youngs Avenue for yard waste which is a tradition in town and we wish to continue it. That combined with the retirement program- problem, gave us the 900 and some thousand dollar increase in the budget.

As I said the look of the budget is significantly different from what it was previous but that's the same- but you'll find budgets all over the island are the same way because they also got a letter from

the Retirement Board. The Retirement Board has funds that are increased only three ways. One is by individual donations and that's contractual so it can't be changed. The other is by donations of the employers, that's us. The other is through the growth of the money invested by the Retirement Fund trustees. Guess what happened to them after 9/11?

The fact is- even before that, the rates were going down, but the bottom simply fell out and that's why the tremendous increase. And it's a tremendous increase for every municipality not just us.

But I also- as Chris said, I also believed right along that we should be using the principal of our revenue from the sales to help defray our borrowing costs and to increase our borrowing power, which we have. We have very high rating, the highest rating. And that is what I had thought was the plan all along.

You know, we first started talking about selling this property- one thing that we never took into consideration in our discussions was how much the property was going to bring us in cash. We were interested in rentals. We were interested in taxes and we were interested in getting jobs for the people in town. So the \$17 million is a real gift and we wanted to make the gift that keeps on giving for a very long time. And I don't like to see going into the principal. However, I will not put us into the situation next year, next December, when the check- when the bill comes in from the Retirement Department, that we have to do something incredible to come up with a half million dollars if that is, in fact, what it is. I can't put us in that position. That's not being fair to you.

So, therefore, I have to vote yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Supervisor Kozakiewicz."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Well, there's three votes already for yes so it certainly makes it- my vote really meaningless, I think at this point. The amendment that's been put forth with the one million inclusion from that proceeds of the Burman deal is adopted. I have a couple points to make.

I know that last year we were very much looking forward to a lot of things happening on the property. I don't think anybody anticipated that the one parcel that we expected to turn over would get caught up at the very last hour with the tiger salamander issue and then a full blown SEQRA issue and that's the water ski park. That

was, I think, something that everybody anticipated would close on or prior to the big \$17 million dollar deal and, therefore, there would have been those additional monies. So, I want to point out that the reason that that parcel hasn't closed is for that reason, not something that anyone of us anticipated and furthermore I don't think any of the parties on the other side anticipated that.

On AES, yes, that deal has dragged but it's not \$5 million up front. It was a three year option proposed with monthly payments with dependent upon them receiving Article X approval from the State and only at that point in time if they decided to go forward would the deal then convert into a contract of sale and a closing.

And I don't dispute with Chris that maybe sometimes we do get tired. We start saying and doing things that are maybe- things or say what we want to do. But needless to say we've got to keep striving to go forward and I think we will do that and I believe that the new Board will certainly look at the Grumman property and, hopefully, we can make some transactions occur in order to continue this process.

I think Chris said it very well. You don't sell off assets to pay off operating costs. That's just not good long range planning. I also think that what we're doing is a one time fix and again I don't know what it's implications are for 2003 annual budget. I guess we'll really have to concern ourselves when that happens because it's been voted for and there's a yes.

I want to abstain but I will register a yes on it in light of the fact that it's already been adopted. So I vote yes."

Melissa White: "The resolution is adopted."

Councilman Lull: "It's not a one time thing, it's a two time thing. The first \$500,000 of the sale was already put into (inaudible)."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "1188, please."

Resolution #1188

Councilman Densieski: "Authorizes the Town Clerk to publish and post a public notice to consider the purchase of three parcels located in the Town of Riverhead owned by Peconic River Babies, Corp. So moved."

Councilman Lull: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1189

Councilman Densieski: "Establishing the Calverton sewer rent pursuant to Town Code Section 89-46 for the Calverton Sewer District. So moved."

Councilman Kent: "Second."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski."

Councilman Densieski: "Did we go over this at work session?"

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Yes."

Councilman Lull: "Yeah, that's- "

Councilman Densieski: "Can you just- I'm sorry- "

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "This is so we can establish a sewer rent amount and because there wasn't the lengthy time period by which to judge the water usage over a period of time, we're doing it with this methodology and extending it over the time period and we identified- there was one change. The previous resolution- actually the Exhibit A should be changed to reflect the owners and not the- that was one of the handouts I gave earlier. So with that amendment, Exhibit A with M-GBC, LLC as the six of the owners and Plant 7, Inc. being the owner of building 071, that's where we are, so."

Councilman Densieski: "Okay, I understand. So moved."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Is there a second? Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent."

Councilman Kent: "Oh, yeah. This actually provides us income

so that we can operate our sewer plant out there. So I vote yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #1190

Melissa White: "Resolution 1190, pay bills."

Councilman Lull: "So moved."

Councilman Kent: "Seconded."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "Moved and seconded."

The Vote: "Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes; Kent, yes; Lull, yes; Kozakiewicz, yes."

Councilman Densieski: "Motion to adjourn."

Melissa White: "Resolution is adopted."

Supervisor Kozakiewicz: "So moved. Let the record reflect the time of 11:05. You, too, everybody have a Happy Thanksgiving."

Councilman Densieski: "Yeah, Happy Thanksgiving."

Meeting adjourned: 11:05 p.m.

Melissa A. White
Deputy Town Clerk