

**TOWN OF RIVERHEAD
MINUTES OF
TOWN BOARD MEETING
MAY 18, 2004**

1 Minutes of Town Board Meeting held by the
2 Town Board of the Town of Riverhead, held at the
3 Senior Citizens Resource Center, Shade Tree Lane,
4 Aquebogue New York, on May 18, 2004 at 7:00 p.m.

5 PRESENT:

- 6 Philip Cardinale, Supervisor
7 Edward Densieski, Councilman
8 George Bartunek, Councilman
9 Barbara Blass, Councilwoman
10 Rose Sanders, Councilwoman

11
12 ALSO PRESENT:

- 13 Barbara Grattan, Town Clerk
14 Chris Kent

15
16 Supervisor Cardinale called the meeting to
17 order at 7:05.

18 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: I call the
19 meeting to order at 7:05. The first order of
20 business is to award a proclamation to Virginia
21 Hubbard, on behalf of Older Americans. But
22 before I do that, I have to pledge allegiance to
23 the flag.

24 Vince, will you lead the Pledge of
25 Allegiance?

1 VINCE TRIA: Yes, I will.

2 (The Pledge of Allegiance was
3 recited, led by Vince.)

4 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: I would
5 like, on behalf of the Town Board of the Town of
6 Riverhead, to offer the proclamation to Virginia
7 Hubbard, as representative of the senior citizens
8 of our Town who frequents the center. A
9 proclamation in honor of Older Americans Month,
10 which reads as follows:

11 "Whereas, President Kennedy proclaimed the
12 month of May, Senior Citizen Month on April 18th,
13 1963, and whereas, it is important to acknowledge
14 the contributions that older individuals have
15 made to the well-being of our community.

16 Whereas, the Town of Riverhead recognizes
17 the need to respond to the growing diversity of
18 our aging population, and the richness that it
19 brings to our Town.

20 Whereas, the Town of Riverhead would like
21 to thank and honor our seniors for the invaluable
22 contributions they have made.

23 Be resolved that I, Phil Cardinale,
24 together with the Town Board here today, as
25 supervisor of the Town, proclaim the month of

1 May, 2004, the Older Americans Month. And
2 Virginia, thank you on behalf of the Senior
3 Citizen's Center and Older Americans.

4 VIRGINIA HUBBARD: Thank you
5 very much. I will accept this on behalf of all of
6 our seniors, and we'll definitely put it right
7 out there in the main hall so that everyone can
8 see it.

9 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Great.
10 Thank you very much, Virginia.

11 VIRGINIA HUBBARD: Thank you.

12 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Barbara,
13 how are you?

14 MS. GRATTAN: Phil, how are you?

15 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Would you
16 tell the public about the money we have collected
17 in the last two weeks?

18 MS. GRATTAN: Could we approve
19 the minutes first, Phil?

20 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Yes. Let's
21 offer the minutes to be approved. Incidentally,
22 do we have these minutes anywhere?

23 MS. GRATTAN: Yes. You won't have
24 them, they're e-mailed.

25 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: So they

1 are around?

2 MS. GRATTAN: Yes.

3 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Okay. I
4 would like to offer the minutes through one of
5 the council people for the May 4th meeting, for
6 approval.

7 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: So moved.

8 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: Seconded.

9 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
10 seconded. Vote, please.

11 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
12 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

13 MS. GRATTAN: The minutes are
14 approved. Now I will read the reports.

15 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Very
16 good. Thank you.

17 MS. GRATTAN: From the Tax
18 Receiver, total collections to date was
19 \$49,988,544.46.

20 Utility collections for April was total,
21 \$72,243.08.

22 From the Building Department, total
23 collections for April, \$79,876.50.

24 The Police Department monthly report for
25 February and March.

1 From the Industrial Development Agency,
2 annual financial report for the year 2003.

3 Manorville Fire Department, their annual
4 financial report for 2003.

5 That concludes reports.

6 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank
7 you. Will you tell us about applications?

8 MS. GRATTAN: We have parade
9 permits: Riverhead CAP, June 4th- Just say no to
10 drugs march.

11 Events permits for East End Arts
12 Council -- Wine Press Concert Series, July 3,
13 July 17 and August 21st.

14 That concludes applications.

15 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank
16 you. Correspondence, please.

17 MS. GRATTAN: From William
18 Pechtold, George Penny, Odell Evans, John
19 Libaire, Charles Starks, Virginia Lewin, Robert
20 Armato and Ronald Feinberg, regarding the Master
21 Plan.

22 Francis Yakaboski, regarding the proposed
23 zoning map.

24 Sheldon Gorden, regarding the riverfront
25 roadway plan.

1 Peter Danowski, regrading L.I Tech
2 Developing corp., opposition to the proposed
3 zoning legislation in the Hamlet of Wading River
4 the River Club, Riverside Drive, Lakeview at
5 Jamesport and property of Robert Andrews at Hulse
6 Landing Road and Sound Avenue.

7 That concluded correspondence.

8 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank you
9 very much.

10 I believe there's one Committee Report.
11 Rose?

12 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: Yes. The
13 Youth Committee is sponsoring their first event
14 ever. The event will be "Riverhead Idol". That's
15 taken from "American Idol". Riverhead is going
16 to be doing their own twist on it.

17 We will have auditions on this coming
18 Saturday, May 22nd at 9:00 a.m., at Town Hall.
19 The second audition will be on Monday, May 24th
20 at 4:00. And the finals will be at the Vail
21 Leavitt theater on Friday, June 4th at 7:30.

22 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: The
23 competition tryout is what date?

24 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: Auditions
25 are two dates; Saturday, May 22nd at 9:00 at Town

1 Hall, and Monday, May 24th, at 4:00 at the Vail
2 Leavitt Theater. Then the finals are Friday, June
3 4th, at the Vail Leavitt Theater at 7:30 p.m.

4 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Who is
5 eligible?

6 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: Youth.
7 Riverhead teens between 13 and 19 years old.
8 There will be no groups. They have to audition
9 before three judges. And we will accept as many
10 auditions as teens show up.

11 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: One
12 dollar?

13 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: One
14 dollar is the audition fee, and they need to
15 bring a school I.D., and present it at the door.

16 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thanks,
17 Rose.

18 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: Thank you.

19 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Does
20 anyone else have any --

21 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Just a
22 quick reminder that the stop date is June 5th,
23 Phil.

24 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Okay.
25 June 5th.

1 We have public hearings tonight. Seven of
2 them. The first one at 7:05. It being 7:15, I
3 would like to open that hearing to the increase
4 and improvement to the water district regarding
5 miscellaneous improvements to district
6 facilities. Total project cost will be
7 \$91,000.00. Project cost will be paid from the
8 District Reserve Account.

9 I believe we have someone from H2M, Dennis
10 Kelleher, who wants to share his wisdom with us.

11 Public Hearing Opened 7:15 p.m.

12 MR. KELLEHER: Good evening. My
13 name is Dennis Kelleher from the engineering
14 firm, H2M. We are the consulting engineers for
15 the Riverhead Water District. The first hearing
16 we will be talking about the miscellaneous
17 improvements that were originally presented to
18 the Town Board back in 2002. Due to an increase
19 in the total cost of the project, we need to
20 schedule another public hearing.

21 Back in late 2002, I made a presentation
22 to the Town Board for miscellaneous improvements
23 to the Riverhead Water District. A total of
24 eight projects were included in the miscellaneous
25 improvements. This included the land purchase for

1 Plant Number 11 a transfer station containment
2 pad and chlorinators, the repainting of the
3 Pulaski Street tank, the power washing of the
4 Route 58 tank, improvements to Plant 12,
5 Calverton, the addition of the control valves at
6 several plants, the installation of a generator
7 set at Plant Number 9, and an electrical transfer
8 switch at Plant Number 4. Back in 2002,
9 the estimated cost for all of those projects
10 totaled one million, seventy-three thousand
11 dollars.

12 Now that most of these projects are coming
13 to an end, there were two of the projects that
14 went slightly over the budget. One being the
15 improvements at Plant Number 12. Actually, the
16 original improvement included taking the existing
17 Grumman wells, there were two of them on the
18 site, that we were going to convert to public
19 supply wells to meet the standards of the
20 Riverhead Water District. That was done. But at
21 the time we weren't sure of the water quality of
22 those wells. So we did not automate the wells,
23 they were just able to run manually. I believe we
24 installed new pumps, new motors and some
25 treatment systems.

1 Since 2002, the wells have been of
2 excellent water quality. So Superintendent
3 Pendzick and his staff would like to automate
4 those wells. We already have a contractor on
5 board and as a cost savings measure, we propose
6 to do this as a change order rather than going
7 out to public bid and having to design the
8 automation of the wells. This would actually
9 allow the wells to be turned on automatically
10 depending on the needs of the system of the water
11 level, that are in our elevated tanks back at
12 Route 58, and back at Pulaski Street.

13 So the estimated cost of the change order
14 for the automation of the wells is \$65,000.00.

15 In addition to that, the Pulaski Street tank
16 got repainted, as everybody probably realized.
17 You could see that the tank is just about
18 completed. The paint job has been completed. We
19 are now filling the tank up with water, and
20 cleaning out the inside to make sure there is no
21 bacteria. The tank is draining as we speak right
22 now.

23 However, this was supposed to be just a
24 simple paint job, but when the contractor got to
25 the job site he realized the condition of the

1 steel of the tank was unbelievably bad. He
2 actually approached the Town at that time, and he
3 requested permission to, not just to repaint the
4 tank, but he actually wanted to give us new steel
5 in the main section of the tank. Actually at no
6 additional cost to the Riverhead Water District.
7 He then actually had to demolish that part of the
8 tank and gave us new steel. Then once that was
9 erected, we had to paint the tank over again.

10 There were additional inspection fees
11 associated with that, because it went from a six
12 month paint job to over a year demolition
13 reconstruction and painting job. So the
14 additional inspection fees were approximately
15 \$26,000.00.

16 Those two items increased the total project
17 of the eight projects costs by \$91,000.00, for a
18 total of all eight projects of \$1,164,000.00.

19 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Dennis, I
20 have a question. This is actually the Water
21 District money we're talking about?

22 MR. KELLEHER: Yes, I apologize.
23 The original financing of the one point seven
24 three million dollars was out of the reserve
25 account of the Riverhead Water District, and only

1 the Riverhead Water District monies. This
2 additional expense we also propose to take out of
3 the existing funds that the district has.

4 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: The
5 \$65,000.00 for automated wells, that was not part
6 of the original job?

7 MR. KELLEHER: That was not part
8 of the original scope of work.

9 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: What is
10 the benefit of automating them?

11 MR. KELLEHER: So the
12 operational staff doesn't have to run from the
13 Pulaski Street main office out to Calverton every
14 time they want to turn on, turn off the well.

15 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: If it was
16 such a good idea, why didn't they do it in the
17 first place?

18 MR. KELLEHER: Because at the
19 time we weren't sure the quality of the water of
20 those two wells was going to be acceptable. We
21 wanted to run a pump test before the Town took
22 over ownership of the wells, and the Navy and
23 Grumman refused us conducting the pump tests.

24 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: And on
25 the other item, the inspection involving the

1 painting. The painter actually ate the problem
2 that he couldn't paint because the metal was so
3 bad, he had to replace the metal?

4 MR. KELLEHER: That is correct.

5 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: But we
6 got hit with \$26,000.00 for inspections by whom?

7 MR. KELLEHER: Actually by our
8 firm. I have an individual who has been
9 monitoring the inspections on the job. The
10 benefit to the Riverhead Water District is, the
11 original tank was ending its useful life. We
12 actually talked about eliminating that tank
13 totally, taking it down. However, there were some
14 discussions that it was more of a historical
15 site.

16 So we were hoping to get another ten years
17 out of this tank if we painted it again. So it
18 went out to bid. Now that we have a new tank,
19 this tank will last us probably another forty or
20 fifty years, as long as we continue to paint it
21 every fifteen years.

22 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: I
23 remember discussing that a while back. I'm
24 delighted that we have a new tank. I'm even more
25 delighted that we didn't have to pay for it. It's

1 just the inspections that I'm bothered by --
2 \$26,000.00 for inspections on a job that the
3 painters threw in for free sounds like a lot of
4 money.

5 MR. KELLEHER: The cost that the
6 Riverhead Water District paid to get the tank
7 repainted was approximately -- Gary, three
8 hundred sixty thousand --

9 MR. PENDZICK: Three hundred
10 eighty.

11 MR. KELLEHER: Three hundred
12 eighty thousand dollars. If we were to bid that
13 today, and asking him to replace the steel that
14 he replaced, it would be approximately nine
15 hundred thousand to a million dollars.

16 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: It was
17 actually a great deal. I don't know how he can
18 make a living doing a nine hundred thousand
19 dollar job for three hundred -- can we just do
20 something with the twenty-six thousand?

21 MR. KELLEHER: No, I'm sorry.

22 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: And the
23 inspections entail what? So we know what we're
24 paying for.

25 MR. KELLEHER: Actually, every

1 day that the contractor is working I have an
2 individual stop by the site to monitor his
3 progress, to make sure the paint job we're
4 getting is the proper paint job, that the steel
5 is prepared properly before we apply our paint.
6 We need to make sure that this paint job lasts
7 fifteen years, because when it costs you half a
8 million dollars to paint the water storage tank,
9 you want to make sure you don't have to do it
10 more than every fifteen years.

11 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Do you
12 have to go up there and look at the tank?

13 MR. KELLEHER: Yes.

14 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: You have
15 to climb up?

16 MR. KELLEHER: I have
17 individuals who love climbing tanks. I've given
18 it up years ago.

19 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: I will
20 keep working on you to reduce the inspection
21 charge, but thank you very much for your
22 presentation.

23 Any comments from anyone?

24 (No response.)

25 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: That

1 being said, it is now 7:24, we will close the
2 7:05 hearing.

3 Public hearing closed: 7:24 p.m.

4

5 Public Hearing opened: 7:24

6 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: The next
7 hearing is the 7:10 hearing. Again, the increase
8 and improvement to the Water District, or did we
9 do that one?

10 MR. KELLEHER: No, this is the
11 second one.

12 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: This is
13 the second one. The increase and improvement of
14 the Water District regarding the repair/replacement
15 work at Plant No. 5. Total project cost will be
16 \$494,000.00. The project cost will be paid from
17 the District Reserve account.

18 MR. KELLEHER: Again, my name is
19 Dennis Kelleher from H2M, consulting engineers
20 for the Riverhead Water District.

21 Superintendent Pendzick asked that this
22 hearing be scheduled for the rehabilitation of
23 Plant No. 5, located on Middle Road. We have two
24 wells located at that site. One well, Well Number
25 5-1 was originally constructed in 1960. The well

1 pump was last repaired back in 1989. These are
2 mechanical pieces of equipment, and they need to
3 be replaced every ten to fifteen years. The pump
4 is now operating below its design point. It's
5 actually not running as sufficient as it could
6 from an electrical standpoint.

7 Gary would like to replace the well pump
8 and motor for Well Number 5-1, this coming fall.
9 The estimated cost for the replacement of the
10 pump and motor at 5-1 is \$70,000.00.

11 In addition at that site, we have Well
12 Number 5-2, which was originally constructed in
13 1989. However, the water quality coming out of
14 Well 5-2 is very high in iron. It has been
15 increasing slowly over the last five or six
16 years. It now exceeds the New York State maximum
17 contaminable level for iron. Even though iron is
18 only a secondary drinking water standard, due to
19 esthetics, more a rusty looking water, it's not a
20 health concern. It does create a problem whenever
21 the Water District used Well 5-2.

22 The maximum contaminable level allowed by
23 New York State is point three milligrams per
24 liter of iron. Actually out of this well right
25 now, we see four times that of a one point two,

1 one point three milligrams per liter. So we do
2 have a problem when we use that well.

3 Superintendent Pendzick asked our firm to
4 look at options to remove the iron in the water.
5 There is a treatment technology available of
6 filtering the iron out of the water after you
7 oxidize it with chlorine. However, the expense
8 of installing that type of treatment system is
9 over a million dollars for one well, and it has
10 additional operational and maintenance expenses
11 every year.

12 Another option that we looked at that we're
13 recommending to the Water District is to actually
14 reset the screen of the well.

15 As I mentioned before, Well 5-1 is on the
16 same location. The screen is set approximately
17 350 feet below grade. 5-2 is set much deeper. If
18 we pull the screen back on Well 5-2 to equal the
19 depth of 350 feet for Well 5-1, we will have the
20 same water quality -- a much reduced iron
21 concentration.

22 So rather than spending a million dollars
23 for a treatment system, we feel if we spend a
24 lesser amount of money, we could still have the
25 same excellent water quality coming out of the

1 second well.

2 So what we're recommending at Well No. 5-2,
3 again, is to replace the pump and motor, which
4 has been in service for approximately 15 years,
5 at an estimated cost of \$70,000.00.

6 In addition to that, what we call the
7 re-drilling of the well and setting the screen at
8 the shallow depth, at an estimated cost of
9 \$200,000.00. Plus some miscellaneous
10 improvements, replacing of valves and some piping
11 for approximately \$50,000.00. So the total cost
12 for this project construction is \$390,000.00,
13 including the work at Well 5-1 and Well 5-2.
14 Including the additional cost of design,
15 inspection, contingencies and legal, the total
16 project cost is estimated at \$494,000.00.

17 We propose to have this work started in the
18 fall and be completed by next summer. The entire
19 expenses would be paid only by the residents of
20 the Riverhead Water District, and it will be paid
21 out of existing funds that the District already
22 has in their reserve fund and maintenance
23 account.

24 Thank you.

25 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: Do I

1 recall maybe two years ago we did something
2 similar to this in one of our other wells?

3 MR. KELLEHER: You have a very
4 good memory. We actually reset the screens in
5 several other wells, back at the Pulaski Street
6 site.

7 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: For the
8 same reason?

9 MR. KELLEHER: Actually, they
10 were deepened. There was contamination coming
11 from a gasoline spill on Route 58, which had
12 already impacted Well Number 1.

13 Well Number 1 was actually only drilled to
14 approximately 150 feet -- Gary? And we actually
15 deepened it down about 300 feet below a clay lens
16 to protect it, So any contamination that did come
17 towards the site actually went above the well
18 screen.

19 So, it's the same process, we're going to
20 pull the guts out of the well and drill it down.
21 In this case we're going to drill shallower. The
22 other case we went deeper.

23 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: It was
24 successful at the other site?

25 MR. KELLEHER: Yes.

1 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: What are
2 the depths you are proposing for 5-1 and 5-2?

3 MR. KELLEHER: 5-1 right now is
4 about 350 feet. We propose to do an identical
5 setting, approximately the same depth, 350 feet
6 for 5-2. Right now, Gary, I'm sorry -- 5-2 is
7 500 feet?

8 MR. PENDZICK: Over 500 feet.

9 MR. KELLEHER: Right now it's
10 set over 500 feet.

11 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Any other
12 questions from the Board?

13 (No response.)

14 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Otherwise
15 I will take comment from the public. Does anyone
16 have comments in this regard?

17 (No response.)

18 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: I will
19 close this hearing, it being 7:29.

20 Public Hearing closed: 7:29

21

22 Public Hearing opened: 7:29 p.m.

23 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: I will
24 move on to the 7:20 hearing on the Vehicle and
25 Traffic Law amendment. The consideration of a

1 proposed local law to amend Chapter 101 entitled
2 Vehicle and Traffic. Section 101-10.1 Parking,
3 Standing and Stopping Prohibited.

4 Chris, do you have, or does the Clerk have
5 the summery of that?

6 MS. GRATTAN: I do. Chris, do
7 you want it?

8 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Why don't
9 you just read a quick summery of it so we can
10 start comments if any comments are to be had.

11 MR. KENT: "Please take notice
12 that a Public Hearing will take place on the 18th
13 day of May, 2004 at 7:20 p.m. at the Senior
14 Citizens Resource Center, Shade Tree Lane,
15 Aquebogue, New York, to consider a proposed local
16 law to amend Chapter 101 of the Riverhead Town
17 Code entitled Vehicle and Traffic as follows:
18 Chapter 101 Vehicle and Traffic Section 101-10.1
19 Parking, Standing and Stopping Prohibited.

20 The parking, standing and stopping of
21 vehicles is hereby prohibited in the areas
22 designated below, which are posted "No Parking
23 Fire Lane", "No Parking Fire Zone", and "No
24 Parking, Standing or Stopping".

25 Emergency and police vehicles shall be

1 exempt from Section 101-10.1, Parking, Standing,
2 Stopping Prohibited, of the Code of the Town of
3 Riverhead."

4 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: With that
5 summery, is there anyone in the audience that
6 would like to comment? Peter?

7 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Phil, I
8 also have a question.

9 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Yes.

10 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Were the
11 people notified in writing?

12 MS. GRATTAN: Yes, they were.

13 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Thank
14 you.

15 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Peter.

16 MR. DANOWSKI: Pete Danowski. I
17 had made some comments in writing since the last
18 hearing.

19 MS. GRATTAN: I have them here.

20 MR. DANOWSKI: Mrs. Grattan
21 indicates that she does have them. I addressed
22 them to the Town Board and the Town Attorney.

23 I had initial concern that if you wanted to
24 do fire limits in the Town legislatively, the
25 better thing would have been for the Fire

1 Marshall to meet with all the people who have
2 apparently received these notices, and try to
3 work out an agreement where everyone could agree
4 to where fire lanes and fire stop prohibitions
5 should be set forth.

6 However, instead what happened was a public
7 hearing was noted. It's now continued or
8 re-noticed for tonight's meeting, and I don't
9 know if any of the people who have been addressed
10 in this public notice have agreed to anything.

11 My criticisms were several. One, when I
12 looked at the Vehicle and Traffic Law enabling
13 legislation, as best I could find it in the New
14 York State Law, and I enclosed a copy of that
15 section with my letter. It seemed to enable you
16 to set these parking prohibitions in certain very
17 specific commercial areas. And by specifically
18 specifying areas by inference, you could not do
19 that in other areas.

20 A perfect example, my client, Ken Berra
21 (phonetic) at East Winds, I don't think is one of
22 the named specific areas that you could affect.
23 Kenny certainly is a reasonable guy and if the
24 Fire Marshall and he got together, with consent
25 perhaps you could do that. Although if somebody

1 got a ticket later might argue that "I don't care
2 what the owner said, and what the Fire Marshall
3 said and what the Town Board says. If you
4 weren't allowed to create that law, you can't
5 agree to do it." So that was one issue.

6 With public street areas, again, I have
7 clients such as Bob Patchel (phonetic), who is
8 here tonight, that could reasonably meet and say,
9 "Look, don't kill my restaurant business where
10 you have a public street. I need parking in the
11 street. But I can reasonably agree there could
12 be a certain area on a public street that we want
13 the fire trucks to very safely come down the
14 street. So let's try to agree on a specified
15 area."

16 Certainly for other areas for Bob Patchel
17 on private property, you can't affect him. By law
18 you cannot. And it would take away his parking
19 spaces for his businesses.

20 So my thought process here would be, you
21 shouldn't adopt any of these changes. You should
22 go and find out from the Fire Marshall whether
23 you can reach an agreement as to those properties
24 where you can legally do this under the enabling
25 legislation. Then have a report made back with

1 some sort of written consent. And where you can
2 do it, if it can be reached by agreement, do it.

3 The other fault I found was the legal
4 notice, when you looked at the other already
5 adopted prohibitions, and you've got a code
6 section. It clearly specifies so many feet,
7 certain distance from the corner -- I know Chris
8 is shaking his head -- but, on the legal notice,
9 all you have is a tax map number reference. It's
10 a piece of property. You're telling an owner of a
11 property, "We're going to prohibit parking". But
12 it doesn't say where you're going to prohibit it
13 on his property. I think the notice has to be
14 very specific. Maybe some people would agree.
15 Maybe some people wouldn't. And certainly later
16 on when you do adopt, I expect that you're going
17 to get specific.

18 I think the notice which says "The law will
19 be announced, that we will have no parking in
20 this area, it will be prohibited", this area
21 should be on the notice. It should be very
22 specific. So if George Barton (phonetic) owns a
23 piece of property and he says, "Oh, yeah, it says
24 the first 200 feet of my property along this
25 lane." That would be specific. But if it just

1 says "George Barton's property." How would he
2 know where you intend to put the prohibition?
3 That was my comment in writing. It's what I'm
4 saying here again tonight.

5 No one is saying they would like to
6 disagree with the Fire Marshall's general
7 recommendation. But I think you have to do this
8 correctly. You have to do it per an adequate
9 notice, and enable legislation to let you do it.
10 Before you adopt, let's go find out from each of
11 those owners whether there can be an agreement
12 reached as to a specified area.

13 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank
14 you, Pete.

15 Any other comments?

16 MR. KENT: I would disagree to
17 Peter's comments, generally. I do think that
18 specifics can be worked out better. However, the
19 enabling statute, Section 1660, does allow for
20 fire lanes and prohibiting parking on private
21 roadways and in places of public assembly, and
22 facilities of the type that Peter was speaking
23 of, that could not prohibit parking in fire
24 lanes.

25 There are sections of our Town Code that

1 are very specific as to areas where parking is
2 prohibited. This particular section, which is
3 101.10.1, for the creation of fire lanes and fire
4 zones where parking will be prohibited, mostly
5 for commercial establishments, usually done as
6 part of site plan approval. And if you go back
7 and look at the locations that are specified in
8 this proposed law, they probably all have site
9 plan approval with designated parking, fire zone
10 areas, where parking is prohibited. If a couple
11 of those locations do not have site plan approval
12 with designated fire zone, those are the areas
13 that we may need to get more specific after the
14 adoption of the law.

15 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank you.

16 Yes?

17 MS. DeVITO: Angela DeVito,
18 South Jamesport. Much of what I was going to add
19 has already been said. But the reason for
20 properties that may have been developed prior to
21 the changes in our Town Code that requires those
22 in the site plan, which is part of the New York
23 State Uniform Fire Code, that was explained to us
24 by the Fire Marshall, every so often. Usually
25 they try to do it about every six months.

1 The Town goes through a process of trying
2 to catch up with those properties that have not
3 been included because their development occurred
4 prior to this new requirement in site plans for
5 this.

6 The other thing with regard to process that
7 was explained to us was that, there is an intent
8 on the part of the Fire Marshall's office to meet
9 with all of these establishment owners, and talk
10 with them about where these areas are going to
11 be. But that office cannot proceed unless they
12 have the legal aide to sit down and go ahead with
13 those negotiations. Those negotiations do not
14 come before the passage of the enabling
15 legislation hereby the Town Board.

16 Another factor that was brought up is
17 currently there's a small little piece of New
18 York State Law that violators, people who park in
19 fire zones do not get ticketed, the individual,
20 you don't. The owner of that establishment gets
21 ticketed. And what I could suggest to the Town of
22 Riverhead is that you have to enact legislation
23 that allows the ticketing of individuals.
24 Because it is unfair to ask any owner of whatever
25 establishment to stand outside, or to hire

1 somebody to shoo them away from parking in fire
2 zones. That's an undue burden on them.

3 And that's in terms of discouraging people
4 from parking in the areas that really are not
5 just for standing while you run in to get a cup
6 of coffee, but they're there really for the
7 protection of the public. The message needs to
8 get out there.

9 I personally think the only way is to do
10 your current \$500.00 fine to the individual, not
11 the establishment owner.

12 Thank you.

13 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank you,
14 Angela.

15 Any other comment on this matter?

16 (No response.)

17 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: If not,
18 it being 7:40, I will close the hearing on this,
19 and move to the fourth hearing of the night.

20 Public hearing closed: 7:40

21

22 Public hearing opened: 7:40.

23 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: The 7:25
24 public hearing. The consideration of a local law
25 to amend Chapter 108 entitled "Retirement

1 Community District". This hearing is now open.
2 This is simply a text change to establish that
3 the retirement district would be mapped as
4 opposed to a floating zone, for policy reasons
5 previously stated concerning floating zones.

6 Any comment on this text change?

7 MR. DANOWSKI: Pete Danowski,
8 speaking in regard to at least one application
9 that's recently been filed, that you recognize as
10 Lakeview in Jamesport.

11 It's always been my point in addressing
12 proposed amendments, and this is a repeal section
13 for a public hearing that I presume will later
14 follow with an adoption of new laws, perhaps
15 immediately after the adoption of the repeals,
16 that you should always grandfather pending
17 applications.

18 In certain instances applicants have been
19 sitting around for two, three, five years with
20 applications, and have spend a great deal of
21 money. This particular application is very
22 recent. But the law as now written allows you the
23 discretion to consider the application, get input
24 from the Planning Board, and then have a public
25 hearing and then vote as you see fit under the

1 permit provision. That seems to be a preferential
2 way of handling matters, and I did make some
3 written comments in my previous letter that I
4 forwarded to the Town Clerk and the Town Board,
5 which I really do think that we have a system of
6 communities within the Town. There is Jamesport
7 and there's Aquebogue and there's Wading River
8 and there's Calverton. I don't want to leave any
9 out.

10 The people tend to want to live in a
11 hamlet. People, I think, find a sense of
12 community within a hamlet. And when we did the
13 general planning throughout the Town, it was
14 always my feeling that a good planning principle
15 would consider the hamlet and consider a mix of
16 uses. There could be less density in areas,
17 there could be senior housing provided in areas,
18 there could be commercial establishments
19 allowed. But people who might have grown up in
20 Jamesport, as an example, if they chose to leave
21 their permanent residence that they currently
22 have because they're getting up in years, they
23 don't want to cut the lawn, they don't want to
24 farm the farm that they may own. They want to go
25 to a senior community and don't want to leave the

1 town. They also may not want to leave Jamesport.
2 So the hamlet should have the opportunity for its
3 local citizens within the hamlet to go somewhere
4 in the hamlet for senior housing.

5 I thought it was a good idea to suggest
6 that. It's a good idea to everyone of the
7 hamlets. And we all know, we actually did have
8 hamlet studies in the various regions within the
9 town. If you eliminate the concept of having the
10 ability to at least apply and have it considered,
11 where will people now go who live in Jamesport
12 that want to move to a senior community? They
13 will have to leave their Jamesport hamlet, go to
14 other areas in the town, which typically are the
15 Middle Road area where you have some very nice,
16 almost sold out ventures along Middle Road.

17 But many people don't want to leave the
18 community of Jamesport. And so my point of
19 making the comment tonight when you consider
20 repealing and later adopting new legislation, is
21 to seriously consider why you wouldn't allow a
22 permit process to proceed, not necessarily as a
23 matter of right, but under a special permit
24 condition to consider after public hearing,
25 providing this opportunity in a particular

1 hamlet.

2 Thank you.

3 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank
4 you, Peter.

5 Yes, Angela.

6 MS. DeVITO: Angela DeVito,
7 South Jamesport. I think the premise of having
8 people stay in a community where they have spent
9 the majority of their life, is one that none of
10 us can argue against or would.

11 However, in looking at the concept that's
12 being proposed and in the push to develop or
13 allow for residential communities within this
14 hamlet concept in the Town of Riverhead, there's
15 the assumption that as all individuals, for
16 example, an individual such as myself, gets to
17 the point where I no longer wish to live in a
18 home or a house, I can't maintain it, that
19 there's going to be a residential retirement
20 house available for me in my hamlet. And that's
21 not true. They're going to be developed, they're
22 going to be sold.

23 If we look at the median income in the Town
24 of Riverhead, of our elderly population, the
25 majority of them cannot afford these retirement

1 community homes. I mean, I would have to ask
2 that, and obviously I'm not presenting a lot of
3 facts here, but how many of the people who occupy
4 our current retirement communities are former
5 town residents? How many of them have spent
6 their lives here and have paid taxes here? How
7 many of them are people from up island who have
8 sold their big homes and can come in and buy a
9 retirement home for \$380,000.00, \$450,000.00?
10 They are not -- this is not the concept of
11 retaining the people in your hamlet. This is
12 essentially giving them no place to go.

13 So, the idea of grandfathering in
14 basically just blows out of the water everything
15 you wanted to do with the Master Plan.

16 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Yes?

17 MS. SEATON: Hi. My name is
18 Linda Seaton. I have a business in Jamesport. My
19 business is a retail store. I keep a guest book
20 in my retail store for mailings to my customers
21 and my business grows -- in the year and a half
22 that I've been there, it's growing with the bases
23 that I am developing relationships with the
24 people in the neighborhood. I get repeat
25 business because of those relationships that are

1 developing.

2 In my guest book I have customers who have
3 told me personally the stories of the history of
4 the store I am in, which was "Cases" (phonetic)
5 at one point. And I get lots of historic stories
6 from these customers, and I am a little concerned
7 about at least six of these mature customers who
8 have decided to sell their property and leave New
9 York State altogether. They are realizing that
10 they can get a decent price for their house, and
11 the traffic is becoming too unbearable for them.
12 They have been here all of their lives, and I
13 think that Lakeview would probably not service
14 people like this at all.

15 So I am in complete disagreement based on
16 my factual evidence in my shop, that Mr. Danowski
17 doesn't know what he's talking about. Personally
18 I don't see that at all.

19 If we want to service people outside of
20 Jamesport and bring people in from other areas of
21 Long Island, that's what Lakeview will do. I
22 don't see it servicing anybody here on the East
23 end that loves the east end, who preserves the
24 East End and has a commitment to that
25 preservation.

1 As I said before, I don't live in
2 Jamesport, but I certainly believe in Jamesport
3 and all of the east end and I would like to see
4 it preserved for our children and our
5 grandchildren.

6 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank
7 you.

8 MS. SEATON: And if anyone would
9 like to see that guest book one day, I will make
10 it available.

11 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: I would
12 just like to point out for the record that the
13 text change that this hearing is designed to
14 elicit comments on is, I think, a one word change
15 by which, this retirement zone which will endure
16 after the Master Plan zoning is implemented,
17 would be in areas that are pre-mapped by the Town
18 of Riverhead, as opposed to being a floating zone
19 which could be on request implemented virtually
20 anywhere.

21 The Town considered doing this without
22 regard to any particular project. So the comment
23 on any particular project or any particular area
24 where this particular zoning might be
25 appropriate, should be really held until our

1 commentary on the zoning statute. But we're
2 really interested in comment on the concept of
3 whether we should have a floating zone here as is
4 existing in the current statute, or a mapped
5 zone, as is suggested by the change.

6 Go ahead, please.

7 MR. BARBATO: Bill Barbato, Manor
8 Lane in Jamesport. I have one point to make. I
9 think Mr. Danowski was asking the Board to
10 consider grandfathering an existing application.
11 Whatever that might be.

12 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: To that
13 extent that would be our point as well, because
14 his issue goes to where we might elect to map
15 this zone should we elect to make it a mapped
16 zone instead of a floating zone.

17 MR. BARBATO: Okay.

18 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: That's
19 really a subject of the hearing that might occur
20 in the future, not this evening. But I don't
21 want to infer with comment in public so, please
22 proceed.

23 MR. BARBATO: Can I tell you,
24 that's my main question, and I would like to at
25 least say that we thoroughly support the idea of

1 firming up the zones and not have it floating so
2 that people know where their future lies, and
3 they can decide to move into an area or continue
4 to live there without fear of having a zone
5 dropped on top of them.

6 Thank you.

7 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank you
8 very much.

9 Any other comment on this question?

10 (No response.)

11 Public hearing closed: 7:51 p.m.

12

13 Public hearing opened: 7:51 p.m.

14 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: I will
15 move at 7:51 to the next hearing, which is
16 consideration of a proposed local law to amend
17 Chapter 108 entitled "Zoning (Agriculture A
18 District)".

19 Chris, in regard to this hearing and the
20 one to follow, the amendment is the anticipated
21 deletion of the section in favor of the new
22 zoning which will be passed; is that correct?

23 MR. KENT: That's correct. I
24 believe that will be the last public hearing.

25 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: That's

1 true of this public hearing as well, the 7:30
2 public hearing?

3 MR. KENT: That's correct.

4 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: So the
5 amendment to repeal the district when we pass the
6 new zoning implementing the residential component
7 of the Master Plan.

8 Any comments on the 7:30 hearing, which has
9 just been opened at 7:51?

10 Yes, Peter?

11 MR. DANOWSKI: I do appreciate
12 the comment about lumping these hearings
13 together, so I can save you some time here. I
14 have submitted some letters in opposition for
15 various clients, and they are part of the record.
16 I would just make them part of the record by
17 reference here now to save words before us.

18 I have opposed the adoption portion of the
19 new legislation parts that are the residual
20 repeal section, where you will rescind and then
21 substitute the new legislation. You've already
22 held a public hearing on the adoption portion.

23 However, specifically, one particular
24 client, the Krudop (phonetic) family and the
25 Kaplan family with North Fork Preserve Co., and

1 the related southerly portion of the property
2 known as the North Fork Preserve, Inc., which is
3 the shooting preserve.

4 Mrs. Krudop wanted to speak here tonight.
5 She wanted an opportunity to speak with the Board
6 members. She is so emotionally upset by the fact
7 that the property is going to be rezoned, despite
8 the fact that as you know from my previous
9 letter, that I forwarded that a subdivision
10 application has been pending since 1998 with
11 regard to the northerly preserve property of 133
12 acres, that she asked me to speak in her place.
13 She may within the next week come down and visit
14 you individually, or members of her family.

15 As you may know, their property is in a
16 split zone of residency, which you are attempting
17 to eliminate, which would allow half acre zoning
18 with public water and by special permit, a
19 condominium plan of up to five units per acre at
20 single bedroom formulas by special permit. And a
21 one acre Ag. A zone, which you are also
22 rescinding or repealing by this public hearing
23 notice.

24 They would like to keep the existing
25 zoning. If you are not going to keep the existing

1 zoning, which was the subject of a subdivision
2 plan that's been sitting around since 1998, which
3 was the subject of a reduced density plan of, I
4 believe, something like 52 lots, where there's
5 been a thorough environmental review drafted,
6 environmental impact statement, then certainly
7 one thing I would ask you to pay attention to is
8 look at the idea of going from half acre zoning
9 to two acre zoning. That's quite a drastic
10 increase from Residence C to two acre zoning,
11 which is what you're planning on adopting.

12 Worse yet, the Master Plan mapping, and
13 you've adopted the Master Plan, put both
14 properties, the North Fork Preserve Co., and
15 North Fork Preserve Inc. in an RAV-80, which
16 meant a sending a receiving area. Then, it even
17 went by me until someone noticed it and told me,
18 you had a map at the zoning legislation hearings
19 which was contradictory to the Master Plan map,
20 which indicated that my client's development plan
21 area on the northerly parcel, 133 acres, was
22 going to be ana sending area. No mention of
23 receiving. Certainly inconsistent with the Master
24 Plan.

25 So you took residency Ag. A, half acre, an

1 acre, make it two acre and said you couldn't
2 build there, you couldn't receive there, you
3 could only send. That is absolutely wrong,
4 absolutely inconsistent with the Master Plan. And
5 think about it, on the other piece, on the
6 southerly portion, the North Fork Preserve, Inc.
7 property, here are people, and I think you heard
8 from some of them at the last legislative
9 hearing, who want to continue to preserve the
10 land and not develop. What did you put them
11 in -- a receiving zone, to receive rights to
12 develop.

13 And here's what Mr. Krudop over the years
14 has attempted to get the county and the Town to
15 buy some of the Preserve, Inc., the hunting
16 preserve property, and the people basically in
17 the county, and perhaps even in the Town said,

18 "Hey look, this is not active farm land, we're
19 not interested in buying".

20 So he attempted to preserve the hunting
21 club property got, a no thank you. Now you are
22 saying, put him in a receiving down there, but up
23 north, where he has a plan that's been fully
24 developed, been through an impact statement, been
25 stalled in the process because of the moratorium

1 rules, and you say to him "Send all your units
2 out".

3 So for the Krudop/Kaplan family, we oppose
4 the rescission.

5 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Peter,
6 with regard to the North Fork Preserve, we
7 received a number of letters and we're looking at
8 that unique piece. I've referred the letters to
9 Eric and to Rick, also to George and Barbara, who
10 are doing a great deal of hard work on the Code
11 Creation Committee

12 I would suggest to set up an appointment
13 with the Code Creation Committee and the Planning
14 Department sometime between now and June 1st. On
15 June 1st we will be passing six residential
16 units. But this is a unique piece.

17 ~~Also you mentioned a portion of it is going~~
18 from one half acre to two acre. That may be an
19 oversight, that they would want to look at. I am
20 aware of the sending and receiving district
21 issues. This is a unique piece and there may be
22 something that we're missing.

23 So if you could set up a meeting with
24 Barbara and George who are best informed, and
25 Rick and Eric. We'll do our best to try and to do

1 what's fair. We're trying to do our best up here,
2 they are really working hard -- at 7:00
3 tonight before I came down here, I was working on
4 that with George, Barbara, Rick and Eric.

5 I would suggest if anyone has a unique
6 issue with your piece of property, we would like
7 to hear about it as quickly as possible. And if
8 there are errors made, they will be corrected.

9 MR. DANOWSKI: I would like to
10 say in leaving the microphone, before I leave.
11 Mr. Patchal (phonetic) is here as well. He
12 certainly has an application with regard to his
13 waterfront parcel. He's let it be known that
14 certainly if his plan to develop that piece in a
15 nonresidential fashion, as has been submitted to
16 the Town, was to be considered, that he is more
17 than willing to discuss with the citizens of the
18 neighborhood the possibility of giving complete
19 beach access to the public and the people in
20 South Jamesport. If in fact your ultimate result
21 of rezoning the property puts this in a single
22 family residential zone, then obviously someone
23 is going to buy that property for a huge house,
24 and I don't think they'll want the public coming
25 down to go to their beach.

1 So I don't mean it in any other way than a
2 suggestion to have the Town Board and the
3 community get together and evaluate what you
4 really would like to see on this property that
5 still benefits my client, before you forestall
6 the ability to do that.

7 Lastly, and specific properties, you've
8 heard me deliver the comment and you've seen my
9 letter with regard to Long Island Tech
10 Development. This was a wooded nonagricultural
11 piece with a preliminary map approval with a
12 final map submitted, stuck in the moratorium
13 rules, and now you're going to make that parcel a
14 seventy thirty two acre plan.

15 And one of the interesting comments with
16 your new legislation is you'll allow development
17 to, perhaps in the Planning Board discretion, be
18 clustered at less than seventy percent, maybe
19 down to a maximum of fifty percent. But your
20 evaluation, that discretion is the quality of the
21 soils for agriculture. What do you do with a
22 completely wooded piece? Do you say you don't
23 have the ability to go down less than seventy,
24 because you can't prove to us the difference in
25 the agricultural soils. There are no agricultural

1 soils.

2 So I thought it was unfair to bring
3 someone along through zoning, to a preliminary
4 map approval process, deliver your final map and
5 then at the end of the day say, "Oh, by the way,
6 you can forget all of that". And that's why I
7 think my comment about grandfathering --
8 there are some people that may be in the hopper
9 for a month, but there may have been some in the
10 hopper for many, many years.

11 That's it. Thank you.

12 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank
13 you.

14 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: Regarding
15 your comment, Mr. Danowski, with respect to the
16 clear cutting or the fact that the parcel is
17 wooded and our clustering provision requires
18 seventy percent for ag. soil, it also provides
19 for any other natural features worthy of
20 protection. If you indicate the wooded area is
21 deemed worthy of protection, the Planning Board
22 would be required to cluster for preservation of
23 that natural resource as well as the soil. That's
24 in the cluster provision.

25 MR. DANOWSKI: I think you

1 missed the point. And the point is, will the
2 Planning Board have the ability on a completely
3 wooded parcel to rent fifty percent open space?

4 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: I don't
5 think I missed the point at all. I'm suggesting
6 to you that the Planning Board has at its
7 discretion the seventy-thirty for whatever reason
8 does not make sense, they can go down to no less
9 than fifty percent. But they have to make
10 specific comment as to why they are deviating
11 from that standard.

12 MR. DANOWSKI: We'll read the
13 words together.

14 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: I will be
15 happy to.

16 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank
17 you, Peter, for your comments.

18 This is the 7:30 hearing, Agriculture A
19 District, is there any comment about this
20 particular hearing?

21 (No response.)

22 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: If not, I
23 will close this hearing, it being 8:05 and open
24 the 7:35 hearing.

25 Public hearing closed: 8:05 p.m.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Public hearing opened: 8:05 p.m.

SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: The 7:35 hearing is the consideration of a local law to amend Chapter 108 entitled, "Redevelopment Community District". I will open this up for comment, being 8:05, on that particular district.

Again, this would be the deletion of that district in favor of what would be substituted at the next meeting of this Board. Any comments?

(No response.)

SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: No comment being offered by the public, I will close this hearing at 8:06.

Public hearing closed: 8:06 p.m.

Public hearing opened: 8:06 p.m.

SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: The last public hearing is the consideration of a local law to amend Chapter 108 entitled "Zoning Residence A, B, C and D. Districts". And again, they would be deleted in favor of those which we've had a public hearing on, I guess, 14 days ago -- 15 days ago, at the next meeting. Any comment on that?

1 (No response.)

2 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: If not, at
3 8:07, I will close the last public hearing.

4 Public hearing closed at 8:07

5

6 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: I will
7 move on to ask the public for comments on any one
8 of the resolutions that are before us this
9 evening. We will take comments now and proceed to
10 the resolutions and take general comments.

11 Sal, please.

12 MR. MASTROPOLO: Sal Mastropolo,
13 Calverton. The Community Development Agency,
14 Resolution number 8, and this also refers to the
15 regular Town Board meeting, Resolution number
16 400. Exactly what are you selling, sidewalk? It
17 wasn't clear.

18 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: What
19 number was it?

20 MR. MASTROPOLO: 400 on the
21 regular Town Board meeting resolutions and number
22 8 on the Community Development Agency.

23 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: This is a
24 piece of sidewalk, yes. The sidewalk is owned --
25 Chris, do you want to speak about this? It's

1 owned by the Town now, and will be conveyed to
2 the CDA and then NF Management will give us back
3 an easement over the same piece of sidewalk
4 sufficient for proper access, including handicap
5 access. They will then build, apparently a very
6 nice piece of property, facing the river
7 overhanging what was our sidewalk and using a few
8 feet of it. That's basically what's going to
9 happen. Ed knows a great deal about this project.

10 MR. MASTROPOLO: Because it
11 wasn't very clear in the book. I mean, I know
12 it's a strip like it ranges from, I think, nine
13 feet to twelve feet, and it's a hundred and
14 ninety something feet long?

15 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: It's
16 eighteen hundred square feet and the concept
17 which has already been through the ARB, is to
18 face the building towards the river, and it's
19 creating small retail spaces and a steam bar. And
20 it's done very tastefully.

21 MR. MASTROPOLO: Resolution
22 number 401 makes no mention of as to what is the
23 cost of the design stage. It says that there's
24 \$350,000.00 in grant money and the Town is going
25 to match three fifty, and you're giving out a

1 contract for the design of the public park. But
2 there's no mention as to what the cost of the
3 design stage is. Does anybody know that?

4 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: It's
5 about sixty or seventy. I don't remember the
6 exact amount. Roughly sixty or seventy thousand
7 all total areas designed.

8 MR. MASTROPOLO: Resolution
9 number 412, approves continued support to the
10 East End Transportation Council's "Seeds" study.
11 First of all, have they provided any reports?

12 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Yes, they
13 have provided some reports. There is a typo.
14 Where it says, "Be resolved that the Town of
15 Riverhead will continue support by providing
16 eighty-five hundred a year for the fiscal
17 year". "2003", it's supposed to say. We're
18 paying our share as a Town of their 2003 budget,
19 and we did a lot of discussion on this at work
20 session.

21 That budget has gone, over the last several
22 years, from thirty-five, to forty-five, to
23 seventy-five, and now it's eighty-five hundred
24 annually, our contribution. We are inclined to
25 get statical studies that they are completing and

1 carry them in through 2004 and then reevaluate,
2 because we would like to get the study finished
3 and the results made public.

4 MR. MASTROPOLO: Okay. So then
5 this resolution is approving last years expense,
6 not 2004 expense?

7 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: That's
8 correct, 2003 by design, yes, not by mistake.

9 MR. MASTROPOLO: Is this
10 specific detail relative to the Town of
11 Riverhead?

12 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Yes, this
13 is a Metro Transportation Authority. I forget
14 what the "Seeds" stand for -- Sustainable East
15 End Development Strategies. Yes, it predated me
16 on the Board, and we had a lengthy discussion at
17 work session as to whether we're getting bank for
18 the buck out of this. There is some question
19 about that, frankly. But since we let it go
20 forward in 2003, we have to pay the piper the
21 \$8500.00. We're also going to pay the piper the
22 same amount probably in 2004.

23 It's my understanding, if they report to
24 the East End Supervisors and the Village
25 Association, and they are about to release a good

1 deal of data that will be helpful to the planning
2 of all the towns in the East End for their
3 transportation needs. And then we'll have a
4 better angle on whether we wish to continue this
5 funding.

6 MR. MASTROPOLO: Well, could we
7 put a stake in the ground now that says we're not
8 funding anything in the future unless we see
9 something back in the form of a report?

10 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Yes. We
11 do get reports. And we have gotten reports. But I
12 don't want reports. I want them to finish, and
13 give us a final report. And I hope that they
14 will do that by 2004. If they don't, they're
15 going to have to convince the Board to sign on
16 again for 2005.

17 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: Mr.
18 Mastropolo, I will be happy to share any reports
19 that have been filed.

20 MR. MASTROPOLO: I know I have
21 been getting some stuff on the transportation
22 study, but I thought it was stuff that was
23 further up west. Maybe it wasn't Seeds, maybe it
24 was LITP.

25 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: That's Long

1 Island Transportation. This is Sustainable East
2 End, it's only the five East End towns.

3 MR. MASTROPOLO: Okay. 414,
4 that agreement with Tom Lossandro. Do we have an
5 anticipated annual cost?

6 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Yes. He
7 was going to do the work sessions at twenty an
8 hour. Our work sessions would generally run from
9 ten to four, because he doesn't do the executive
10 sessions. He has a format, ten to four, six
11 times twenty is a hundred and twenty. So each
12 work session probably cost around that. And he
13 then had to format, because you have to move it
14 from the small tape to the larger tape so he can
15 give it to Cablevision to play.

16 So we're going to be fine. It's going to be
17 a relatively modest amount. Our real problem is
18 that soon Cablevision is asking us to take over
19 not only the programing, you know, getting the
20 tapes prepared, but also the distribution of them
21 from Town Hall, because we should really be
22 running our own station. And that is going to
23 have to be budgeted for next year. I'm working on
24 that for the 2005 budget.

25 So we're going to actually have a budget

1 for the public and educational channel.

2 MR. MASTROPOLO: Did we pursue
3 any of the colleges to see if they would do this?
4 I think Suffolk County Community College has a
5 television and radio course. Have we pursued
6 seeing if we could get volunteers from there and
7 make it part of their curriculum?

8 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Dave
9 Kullen, who is working on this for us has moved
10 in that direction, because that's the direction
11 Southampton is also going in. They're going to
12 actually have their studio, which they budgeted
13 an extraordinary amount of money, far more than
14 we will have or even suggest. And they're going
15 to run it out of Southampton at the college's
16 studio. We are exploring the use of interns from
17 Suffolk Community, yes.

18 MR. MASTROPOLO: Okay. 419,
19 approve the site plan of William Dries. It says
20 Tanger Drive, but it doesn't say what's going in
21 and where it's going in. Is that one of the
22 restaurants that had been proposed?

23 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Yes, it's
24 the same spot and it's going to be more outlet
25 stores at that spot. Thirty-five feet of it.

1 MR. MASTROPOLO: 449, authorizes
2 the Supervisor to execute a license agreement
3 with 65 East Main Street Corporation Inc., and
4 talks about putting a propane tank underground.

5 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Yes.

6 MR. MASTROPOLO: One of my
7 concerns is the liability to the Town if the
8 tanks get damaged. The other thing is it seems
9 strange that we would be allowing private
10 enterprise to put their private property on Town
11 property.

12 Weren't the tanks addressed in the site
13 plan? Didn't he do a site plan for that site when
14 he put up the decks in the back etc., and where
15 were the tanks when the site plan was done? It
16 seems like now the deck is up, the restaurant is
17 ready to open now, he's looking to put his
18 propane tanks on public property. It seems
19 strange. I mean, what did we do a site plan for?

20 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: That's a
21 good question. That's the Boardwalk Restaurant,
22 Richie Cox, the owner is here. He just opened
23 last week. This situation is a temporary one. I
24 think the problem is that we're legalizing a
25 situation that existed and ultimately this is

1 going to be in effect for less than a year, this
2 license. And the thought is that the very small
3 area that the tanks are buried in will be sold
4 for a fair value, so that we will not have the
5 liabilities.

6 MR. MASTROPOLO: So, it's a
7 temporary thing only from a point of view of
8 we're going to change public property to private
9 property?

10 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Yes, a
11 very small amount of it. I don't think this is
12 ideal, but as I said, we have a situation that we
13 have to deal with, that the tanks are there. And
14 this is legalizing what's otherwise an illegal
15 situation.

16 MR. MASTROPOLO: I guess I'm left
17 with the question. Why do we do a site plan, and
18 how come we let something illegal happen?

19 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: I can't
20 answer that, because I wasn't here at the time
21 that this informal arrangement was arrive at. But
22 what we tried to do, this Board, is to legalize
23 an informal agreement. Ultimately, the property
24 will not be -- I'm not crazy about having
25 property with tanks on it. I agree with you.

1 MR. MASTROPOLO: Thank you.

2 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank
3 you.

4 MS. MOTT: Sandra Mott,
5 Riverhead. I wasn't here for the discussion of
6 the closure of, I guess it's the back area along
7 the Peconic.

8 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Yes.

9 MS. MOTT: I've been trying to
10 keep track on T.V. and in articles. Whatever
11 happened to the idea of closing Main Street?

12 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Making it
13 a pedestrian mall?

14 MS. MOTT: From a long, long
15 time ago.

16 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Yes, I
17 was going to say, I'm not sure it's come up
18 recently. I remember that from a long, long time
19 ago. I think even before my first tenure on the
20 Board in 1998. It hasn't raised its head. It's
21 been discussed and we're going to vote on it
22 tonight.

23 MS. MOTT: Would that mean
24 taking out the parking area? As I said, I
25 haven't really been closely following it.

1 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: This is a
2 diagram of it. It's basically part of behind --
3 this is the Eastern Arts grassy area in the back,
4 and we would be creating more of a park like
5 setting on river front with an access on both
6 sides just for EMS vehicles, because that point
7 came up from the Chief and the Fire Marshall. So
8 there will be full access for EMS vehicles, and
9 the rest of it would be used as additional green
10 space for the river front.

11 MS. MOTT: Okay, but not
12 anything to the west, strictly south of the East
13 End Art --

14 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: That one
15 little strip behind Eastern Arts. Actually, it
16 would make the Eastern Arts grounds continue down
17 to the river front.

18 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Sandra,
19 the other thing I want to mention, Barbara
20 reminded me, Route 25 is a state road. So, one of
21 the problems is if there's any closure of that
22 road, the State has the final say.

23 MS. MOTT: Okay. And it says
24 temporary. How long does that temporary mean?

25 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: That was

1 one of my concerns as to how long temporary would
2 be.

3 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: At the
4 bottom of the resolution is says "At the
5 discretion of the Board". It's tried for a period
6 of time and if it's apparent that it doesn't
7 work, we can simply, as a Town Board, remove it
8 in a matter of hours.

9 MS. MOTT: Now the reason for
10 doing it is what?

11 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Well, the
12 reason for doing it in my mind is to add green
13 space and make it a pedestrian tourist friendly
14 environment having the Town facing the river
15 rather than having the back of the Town facing
16 the river.

17 MS. MOTT: Okay, I was just
18 curious, because will any of us be able to drive
19 through the back area again?

20 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: The
21 shortcut? If this is passed, no.

22 MS. MOTT: I guess we have to go
23 west or north.

24 Thank you.

25 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank

1 you.

2 Yes, Tim.

3 TIM: With reference to
4 resolution 434, the temporary closing of the back
5 parking lot. I think it's a wonderful idea to try
6 on a temporary basis. There are a few things I
7 said at the last meeting, that I would like to
8 repeat again.

9 Julie Wesnofski (phonetic), who does an
10 awful lot of work back there, was very concerned
11 about the traffic, the speed of the traffic that
12 goes through that parking lot. This closure would
13 eliminate those concerns. If you were to go back
14 by Fire & Ice, which used to be the Little Guppy,
15 and my partner owned that, and spend any time
16 there at all, and watch how many children are
17 walking from the Aquarium, and come this close to
18 getting picked off by cars flying through there.
19 That alone should be reason enough to try this.

20 The best part of this whole concept is the
21 fact that it is done on a temporary basis, and if
22 within a matter of hours, it proves not to work,
23 can be removed.

24 Therefore, I strongly urge you to try it. I
25 think it's very important that we start trying to

1 do something downtown. But I do ask that with
2 trying this, that at the same time we put up
3 proper signage to direct people where we want
4 them to head. Certainly the people who come in
5 from out of town, where we want them to park, if
6 they're Aquarium goers.

7 I envision this closure to being an asset,
8 certainly adding green space, slowing down the
9 traffic back there, stopping the illegal use, if
10 you will, using it as a shortcut, because
11 technically that is illegal, cutting through a
12 parking lot. You know, if you go from Griffing
13 Avenue to Roanoake Avenue by going through the
14 municipal parking lot, that's technically
15 illegal.

16 The most important thing is we add a lot of
17 safety to the amount of visitors that we have
18 coming into the area. We then have an opportunity
19 to direct them to park possibly behind the
20 Woolworth Store, and then be walking along Main
21 Street and actually get to see what it looks
22 like.

23 And with a little bit of hope here we can
24 start working on downtown and create something
25 that's worth looking at. That would be a nice

1 thing. But more importantly, the fact that it's
2 on a temporary basis, and it can be removed if it
3 doesn't work, I urge all of you to vote yes for
4 this and at least try it. It will be something
5 new, trying to do something for downtown.

6 Thank you.

7 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank
8 you.

9 Yes, Angela.

10 MS. DeVITO: On the same
11 resolution, 434. There have been comments both
12 for and against this being done, whether it
13 should be done on a temporary basis or whatever.

14 One of my concerns is that in talking about
15 if it works, it may be something that will be
16 looked at as a bit more permanent, if it doesn't
17 work, remove it. How will that be evaluated? How
18 will the success of this measure, either be
19 quantified or qualified? And has thought been
20 given to what measures we will put in place to be
21 able to do this? Merely the absence of cars is
22 not going to do that, because obviously they're
23 not going to be allowed to be back there. I mean,
24 do we have incident reports of injuries back
25 there, speeding violations?

1 You know, are we looking at increase in
2 local businesses, asking them to participate in
3 the number of people who are now beginning their
4 establishments and utilize their services, take
5 advantage of what they have? The number of people
6 that we see on any given day during the weekdays
7 versus the weekends down at the riverfront area?
8 And not just for special events, just being down
9 there in that area? How exactly will you do
10 this, because this seems to, you know, -- the
11 outcome of this seems to pivot on being able to
12 establish the success or non-success of this
13 measure. And I haven't heard a lot of how you are
14 going to evaluate that.

15 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: One of the
16 businesses, the largest business, the Aquarium,
17 does exit poles. And Mr. Bisset (phonetic) is
18 going to include in his exit pole input from
19 citizens that go to the Aquarium.

20 And also we have to believe that the
21 Business Improvement District is going to be very
22 vocal whether it works or it doesn't work. What
23 the criteria is for its success? I think that's
24 going to be difficult to establish an exact
25 criteria. Some people will never be happy and

1 others will. Anything you do is never going to
2 make everyone happy.

3 MS. DeVITO: Well, it's not so
4 much of making everybody happy, Ed. It's more of
5 the success as an area in terms of how this has
6 been promoted, in terms of bringing downtown
7 Riverhead a step further to the vision that a lot
8 of us would like to have as an active vibrant
9 center for our town. And perhaps what is being
10 done at the Aquarium as that aspect of their exit
11 survey, could be extended to other businesses. I
12 mean, some form of -- I guess it's the scientist
13 in me that says you have to somehow measure this.
14 I need a ruler to look at it.

15 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: If we
16 look at other towns that have done it, for
17 example, Greenport. Greenport has added green
18 space and removed parking lots and roads on the
19 riverfront, and it's been successful there.

20 Other places have done similar things to
21 enhance their riverfront, and they've proved to
22 be successful. But Greenport is the closest
23 measure.

24 MS. DeVITO: Well, my next thing
25 would be is to suggest perhaps it's worth

1 reaching out to Dave Coppel (phonetic) and ask
2 him, you know, "How did you arrive at this? How
3 did you evaluate it?" Obviously Greenport and
4 those areas have been, with the introduction of
5 more green, there had been a tremendous revival
6 down there. Did they really feel the need to do
7 something formal or not? I mean, was it just
8 enough to see it or what? So that's the other
9 aspect that I feel is really missing for getting
10 a balanced picture, therefore, in the future
11 making a decision about what to do based on this
12 proposal.

13 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Can I
14 just respond? You are making a valid point, but
15 I think it's just anything and everything we do
16 in town, we can study it to death. We can
17 actually implement this for just about zero
18 dollars, try it for a short period and simply see
19 if it works.

20 MS. DeVITO: I'm not saying not
21 to implement it. I'm saying that while we're
22 doing it, while it's going on, we evaluate it.
23 So it's not really doing the study before we get
24 to the point of doing anything, we're doing it
25 simultaneously, so that we have something

1 ongoing, which there's been a lot of local
2 support for. And there is also something that we
3 can say we did it, it succeeded, we did it, it
4 was a bust.

5 That's all, Ed. Thank you.

6 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Thank
7 you.

8 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Rolf.

9 MR. KOESLING: Rolf Koesling,
10 Wading River. On the same thing, 434. I just want
11 to add to it. If there are any members of the
12 Board who are hesitant about voting in favor of
13 this I just want to say, nothing ventured,
14 nothing gained.

15 Thank you.

16 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank
17 you.

18 Are there any other comments about the
19 resolutions we're about to review?

20 (No response.)

21 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: If not,
22 we will do that, and then take general comment.

23 MR. KENT: There appears to be
24 duplications of resolutions in the packet.
25 Resolution 439 and Resolution 444 are the same

**CONTINUATION OF
TOWN OF RIVERHEAD
MINUTES OF
TOWN BOARD MEETING
MAY 18, 2004**

1 resolutions.

2 MS. GRATTAN: Yes, they are.

3 MR. KENT: Resolution 440 and
4 445 are the same resolution. And Resolution 441
5 and 446 are the same resolutions.

6 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Give us
7 that again.

8 MR. KENT: You want to withdraw
9 444, 445 an 446.

10 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Very
11 good. I will withdraw them when I reach them. I
12 was thinking we'll start with the Town Board
13 meeting, and then go on to the CDA, because we
14 have to pass number 400 before we pass one of the
15 resolutions of the CDA.

16 We will commence with Resolution 400, and
17 then we'll come back to the CDA resolutions at
18 the end.

19 Resolution 400 authorizes the Supervisor to
20 execute a deed, which we have to pass that first,
21 correct Chris?

22 MR. KENT: Correct.

23 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Someone,
24 namely, George, could you move that?

25 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: Authorizes

1 the Supervisor to execute a deed to property
2 along Peconic Avenue to the Town of Riverhead
3 Community Development Agency. So moved.

4 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Second
5 the motion.

6 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
7 seconded. Vote, please.

8 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
9 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

10 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
11 adopted. Resolution number 401, Councilwoman
12 Sanders.

13 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS:
14 Authorizes the Supervisor to execute a contract
15 for the design and engineering of Public
16 Recreational Facility at the Calverton Enterprise
17 Park. So moved.

18 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: And
19 seconded.

20 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
21 seconded. Vote, please.

22 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
23 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

24 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
25 adopted. Resolution number 402, Councilwoman

1 Blass.

2 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: Authorizes
3 release of bid security for fuel dispensing
4 system upgrade. So moved.

5 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Second
6 the motion.

7 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
8 seconded. Vote, please.

9 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes, Blass,
10 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

11 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
12 adopted. Resolution number 403, Councilwoman
13 Sanders.

14 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: The
15 adoption for the Hartill water extension project
16 budget. So moved.

17 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: And
18 seconded.

19 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
20 seconded. Vote, please.

21 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
22 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

23 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
24 adopted. Resolution number 404, Councilman
25 Densieski.

1 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Mountain
2 Brook Homes water improvement project budget
3 adoption. So moved.

4 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: And
5 seconded.

6 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
7 seconded. Vote, please.

8 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
9 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

10 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
11 adopted. Resolution number 405, Councilwoman
12 Sanders.

13 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: Budget
14 adoption for the Hounds Gate water improvement
15 project. So moved.

16 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: And
17 seconded.

18 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
19 seconded. Vote, please

20 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
21 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

22 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
23 adopted. Resolution number 406, Councilman
24 Bartunek.

25 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: Authorizes

1 the Town Clerk to publish and post a help wanted
2 ad for a maintenance mechanic 11. So moved.

3 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: And
4 seconded.

5 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
6 seconded. Vote, please.

7 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
8 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

9 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
10 adopted. Resolution number 407, Councilman
11 Densieski.

12 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Middle
13 Road Pump Station budget adjustment. So moved.

14 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: And
15 seconded.

16 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
17 seconded. Vote, please.

18 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
19 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

20 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
21 adopted. Resolution number 408, Councilwoman
22 Blass.

23 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: Budget
24 adjustment in connection with the North Fork
25 Resorts Phase I. So moved.

1 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: And
2 seconded.

3 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
4 seconded. Vote, please.

5 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
6 yes; Densieski, yes, Cardinale, yes.

7 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
8 adopted. Resolution 409, Councilman Bartunek.

9 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: Aquebogue
10 Golf Course Resort water extension budget
11 adjustment. So moved.

12 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Second
13 the motion.

14 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
15 seconded. Vote, please.

16 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
17 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

18 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
19 adopted. Resolution 410, Councilwoman Blass.

20 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: Resolution
21 410 and 411. So moved.

22 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: Seconded.

23 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
24 seconded for a vote on 410 and 411.

25 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,

1 yes;

2 Densieski, usually I think there's too many
3 people in the accounting department. I would like
4 to see some interns get some work and learn. So
5 I'll vote yes;

6 Cardinale, yes.

7 MS. GRATTAN: Resolutions 410
8 and 411 are adopted. Resolution 412, Councilman
9 Densieski.

10 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Approves
11 continued support to the East End Transportation
12 Council's "Seeds" study. So moved.

13 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: And
14 seconded.

15 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
16 seconded. Vote, please.

17 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
18 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

19 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
20 adopted. Resolution number 413, Councilwoman
21 Blass.

22 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: Authorizes
23 the attendance of two public safety dispatchers
24 to attend a domestic violence call handling
25 seminar. So moved.

1 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Second
2 the motion.

3 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
4 seconded. Vote, please.

5 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
6 yes; Densieski, yes, Cardinale, yes.

7 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
8 adopted. Resolution number 414, Councilman
9 Bartunek.

10 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: Authorizes
11 the Supervisor to enter into an agreement with
12 Tom Lassandro. So moved.

13 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: And
14 seconded.

15 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
16 seconded. Vote, please.

17 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
18 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

19 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
20 adopted. Resolution number 415, Councilman
21 Densieski.

22 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Town
23 Board special program fund budget adjustment. So
24 moved.

25 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: And

1 seconded.

2 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
3 seconded. A discussion.

4 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: I believe
5 this is not supposed to come out of the
6 appropriated funds. Do you want to make that
7 change?

8 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Yes.
9 Jack, on this particular appropriated fund,
10 twenty thousand to video production service.
11 That's a different fund. We're supposed to amend
12 that to Town Board Special Program Fund. We
13 talked about this in work session. Let's clarify
14 it as the appropriated balance of the Town Board
15 Special Program Fund.

16 With that amendment, it's been moved and
17 seconded. Please vote.

18 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
19 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

20 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
21 adopted. Resolution number 416, Councilman
22 Bartunek.

23 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: Authorized
24 to publish advertisement for rebid of water
25 meters. So moved.

1 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: And
2 seconded.

3 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
4 seconded. Vote, please.

5 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
6 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

7 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
8 adopted. Resolution number 417, Councilwoman
9 Blass.

10 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: Ratifies
11 the attendance of one police officer to the
12 advanced track of the National Youth Court
13 Center's implementing and enhancing Youth Court
14 Programs Training Seminar. So moved.

15 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Second
16 the motion.

17 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
18 seconded. Vote, please.

19 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
20 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

21 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
22 adopted. Resolution number 418, Councilman
23 Bartunek.

24 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: Authorizes
25 attendance of Assessor at seminar. So moved.

1 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: And
2 seconded.

3 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
4 seconded. Vote, please.

5 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
6 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

7 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
8 adopted. 419, Councilwoman Sanders.

9 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: Approves
10 site plan of William Dries. So moved.

11 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: And
12 seconded.

13 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
14 seconded. Vote, please.

15 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
16 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

17 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
18 adopted. 420, Councilman Bartunek.

19 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: Approves
20 amended site plan of Twomey, Latham, Shea &
21 Kelly. So moved.

22 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Second
23 the motion.

24 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
25 seconded. Vote, please.

1 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
2 yes, Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

3 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
4 adopted. 421, Councilman Bartunek.

5 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: Appoints a
6 beach attendant/concession stand operator I to
7 the Riverhead Recreation Department. So moved.

8 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: And
9 seconded.

10 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
11 seconded. Vote, please.

12 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
13 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

14 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
15 adopted. 422, Councilwoman Blass.

16 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: Authorizes
17 attendance to parents of Meghan's Law "Apple of
18 my Eye Seminar". So moved.

19 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Second
20 the motion.

21 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
22 seconded. Vote, please.

23 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
24 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

25 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is

1 adopted. Resolution 423, Councilwoman Sanders.

2 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: Would you
3 like me to take them in a group?

4 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Sure.
5 We're going to move 423 to 426, together for a
6 vote. Summer program leaders to the Recreation
7 Department.

8 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: These
9 resolutions appoint various employees to the
10 Recreation Department for the summer program. So
11 moved.

12 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: And
13 seconded.

14 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
15 seconded. Vote, please.

16 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
17 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

18 MS. GRATTAN: The resolutions
19 are adopted. Resolution 427, Councilwoman
20 Sanders.

21 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: Appoints
22 a park attendant III to the Riverhead Recreation
23 Department. So moved.

24 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Second
25 the motion.

1 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
2 seconded. Vote, please.

3 The Vote: Bartunek, abstain; Sanders, yes; Blass,
4 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

5 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
6 adopted. Resolution number 428, Councilwoman
7 Blass.

8 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: I will do
9 428, 429 and 430 if there is no objection.

10 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Fine.
11 We'll move together 428 through 430.

12 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: Appoint
13 various recreation employees and other
14 individuals. So moved.

15 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: Seconded.

16 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
17 seconded. Vote, please.

18 The Vote: Bartunek; yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
19 yes; Denieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

20 MS. GRATTAN: The resolutions
21 are adopted. 431, Councilwoman Blass.

22 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: This awards
23 a bid to the Riverhead Scavenger Waste District
24 Grit. So moved.

25 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Second

1 the motion.

2 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
3 seconded. Vote, please.

4 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
5 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

6 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
7 adopted. 432, Councilman Bartunek.

8 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: Approves
9 the sewer connection at 65 East Main Street
10 Corporation. So moved.

11 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Second the
12 motion.

13 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
14 seconded. Vote, please.

15 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
16 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

17 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
18 adopted. Number 433, is this going to be done by
19 proclamation?

20 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: It's
21 going to be done by vote.

22 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: I'll move
23 it. Offers support to New York State Legislature
24 for an increase in the limitations placed upon
25 the purchase of certain highway equipment. So

1 moved.

2 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: I'll
3 second it.

4 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
5 seconded. Vote, please.

6 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
7 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

8 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
9 adopted. Resolution number 434, Councilman
10 Densieski.

11 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI:
12 Implements temporary closure of through traffic
13 for the purpose of creating a walkable
14 tourist-friendly riverfront. So moved.

15 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: And
16 seconded.

17 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
18 seconded. Vote, please.

19 The Vote: Bartunek, I'm going to have to vote
20 against this. I have a lot of reservations that
21 haven't been addressed. A lot of concern for
22 public safety. And there a lot of other issues
23 that I would like to have considered before I
24 were to approve this. So I'm going to vote no;
25 Sanders, I'm relying on the information

1 that was provided at the public hearing from many
2 of the residents and property owners, and written
3 reports that were also provided from the Chief of
4 Police, the Fire District Chairman, the Fire
5 District Chief. I wouldn't feel comfortable
6 ignoring their opposition and their concerns
7 regarding this particular project. These people
8 are highly trained professionals and I do support
9 their opinions. And I have other things to say,
10 but I can't talk. I vote no;

11 Blass, no;

12 Densieski, yes. I have a prepared
13 statement, but I do want to re-focus this map, to
14 show -- because we did get correspondence from
15 the Chief of Police and the Fire Marshall in
16 reference to not being able to get EMS vehicles
17 from one lot to the other. So we did that and in
18 my mind alleviated that problem.

19 If Main Street is to make it economically,
20 we have to be different than Tanger and Route 58.
21 It has to be profitable for business to come to
22 Town. The Town's not responsible for bringing
23 business to downtown, but we are most definitely
24 responsible for creating a business and
25 tourist-friendly environment.

1 Now that the police and fire concerns have
2 been addressed, there really is no logical reason
3 not to try it. I've heard well-delivered
4 speeches on concentrating on downtown,
5 revitalizing it. But actions speak louder than
6 words.

7 Personally for me, it's very discouraging
8 to have people that never seem to have any
9 solutions to problems constantly criticizing
10 people who do have solutions. Did you hear any
11 alternative plans or suggestions as to an
12 alternative to this plan? No, neither did I.

13 We have to set up downtown for success. I
14 want the glass to be half full, not half empty.
15 Today we have the choice of trying something on a
16 temporary basis, with virtually no expense to the
17 taxpayer. The chance to try something different
18 without a \$40,000.00 feasibility study, you know,
19 paralysis by analysis and bureaucratic red tape
20 etc., etc., to simply try something to see if it
21 works.

22 I think it will work. The taxpaying Parking
23 District would like to try it. The taxpaying Bid
24 Board would like to try it. If it doesn't work,
25 what did we lose?

1 I don't think you could be mad at people
2 for trying. But you certainly can and should
3 object to doing nothing. Stagnation is bad. Empty
4 buildings, cracked sidewalks, drug dealers and a
5 lack of any vision will not save downtown. People
6 will save downtown. And today we have a chance to
7 do just that, put people downtown on the
8 riverfront, in a downtown that will really face
9 the river. Yes, we the locals will lose the
10 shortcut, and a minute or two of our precious
11 time may be lost, but the benefit to our
12 downtown, to our community, to our people, and
13 mostly to our future, may have a dramatic
14 positive effect to our downtown.

15 Can you imagine if the late Walt Disney
16 came to Riverhead and attempted to share his type
17 vision downtown? If the Town can't agree to try a
18 riverfront improvement project for no money, on a
19 temporary basis, it's sending a sad message about
20 our vision of our leadership.

21 We're not asking the Town Board to build
22 the Golden Gate Bridge, the Empire State
23 Building, or to put a man on the moon. Just a
24 simple temporary road closure to see if it might
25 possibly be a good thing. What do we have to lose

1 if it doesn't work? Isn't trying something
2 better than trying nothing? Remember most of the
3 services, gazebos, trees, watering systems etc.,
4 are being donated to the Town.

5 What do we say to the businesses that have
6 waited for years for this so called
7 revitalization to begin? I say the revitalization
8 starts today, starting with this votes and future
9 votes to really revitalize downtown. Let's try
10 harder. Let's try to dig outside the box.

11 And finally, on this no cost, tourist and
12 pedestrian friendly temporary idea, I vote yes.

13 Cardinale, I listened in work session and
14 I've enjoyed the debates well stated by everyone.
15 I appreciate their opinions and I share them.
16 There are circumstances under which I support
17 this. I will abstain.

18 MS. GRATTAN: Resolution number
19 435, Councilman Densieski.

20 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Creates
21 Riverhead Town Police for municipally owned
22 taxpayer funded signage. So moved.

23 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: And
24 seconded.

25 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and

1 seconded. Vote, please.

2 The Vote: Bartunek, yes;

3 Sanders, I think I made my position very
4 clear, but for the record I would like to read a
5 portion of the minutes at a meeting that created
6 all of this hoopla about signage. These minutes
7 are from a meeting from the Roanoke Civic
8 Association regarding a meeting that was held at
9 Landing Civic Association, that I have referred
10 to on several occasions when I talk about this
11 particular subject. And the minutes were to the
12 members of the Roanoke Landing Civic Association
13 regarding a meeting that was held at the
14 Riverhead Library on October 1st for. Not to bore
15 you with all of this, I'm going to try to
16 paraphrase.

17 In attendance were several representatives
18 from the Town Board, the Police Department, the
19 Building Department, Town Engineer and
20 approximately 40 residents of the Rolling Woods
21 area.

22 At the meeting the residents asked Town
23 representatives to do several things in order to
24 alleviate some of the problems they were having
25 at a particular site. And in that list of things,

1 like repainting the lines in the beach parking
2 lot, and putting additional garbage receptacles
3 in the parking lot, and making sure the
4 receptacles were emptied, and redoing the signs
5 of the beach regulations in English and Spanish
6 and adding more "No Littering" signs also in
7 English and Spanish, and adding additional
8 lighting, increasing police patrol, particularly
9 in the summer months, and adding an attendant.

10 The representatives agreed to all of the
11 above with the exception of the lighting, which
12 they would have to look into.

13 And again, this was in attempt -- a
14 problem like I think most of us attempt to do
15 here on this Town Board regardless of whether it
16 is a beach problem or a littering problem, or a
17 flooding problem, we try to address those
18 problems. This was an attempt to try to address
19 that particular issue of that area where it has
20 been identified there is a large percentage of
21 Hispanic residents, beach goers, taxpayers. A
22 group of people that utilize it, and the
23 residents felt that maybe this would help resolve
24 this particular problem.

25 I reached out to Sister Margaret, who is an

1 advocate. I'm sure many of you know her. She's
2 an advocate for the Hispanic population in the
3 Town. And I said, "Am I off-base, am I offending
4 anyone, is this something that maybe we should
5 not be doing"? And as a matter of fact she said,
6 "No, it's a welcoming thing for the Town to do".
7 And it's a transitional, it's helped our Hispanic
8 population's transition into living in the
9 community in which they work and their children
10 go to school and things like that.

11 She was very appreciative and the people
12 that she spoke to were also very appreciative of
13 what the basis of this whole topic is about. And
14 there is certain language in the resolution that
15 I cannot accept. So because of all of those
16 thing, I vote no.

17 Blass, no;

18 Densieski, I know I was long winded on the
19 last one, but I have to read some of this
20 resolution.

21 "Whereas, the Riverhead Town Board decided
22 at a work session to authorize the creation of
23 municipal signage with text in both English and
24 Spanish on the same sign to address the
25 increasing needs of the Hispanic population

1 within the Town.

2 Whereas, it may be discriminatory to have
3 signage with only one foreign language,

4 And whereas, members of the community have
5 expressed serious objections to signs being
6 erected in only one foreign language at
7 taxpayer's expense.

8 And whereas, not all foreign languages
9 spoken by residents and tourists of the Town of
10 Riverhead will be represented on said signs.

11 Now therefore, be it resolved that all
12 municipal signage will be in either English only
13 or used international symbols or both."

14 I'm happy that Councilwoman Sanders is an
15 advocate for the Hispanic community. That's
16 admirable. But I'm an advocate for the entire
17 community. And if 40 people of the Civic
18 Association tell me to jump off a bridge, I'm not
19 going to do it, because it's wrong.

20 This does not help the Hispanic community.
21 You're never going to get a job working for the
22 Town, or you're never going to advance in our
23 society unless you can speak English.

24 I do want to thank all the residents that
25 called me, e-mailed me or stopped me on the

1 street -- more than any other issue since I've
2 been on the Board, to say please don't let this
3 happen to our Town.

4 This country has always been a melting pot,
5 but the common denominator has always been the
6 language. And I'm going to happily and proudly
7 vote yes to support this resolution;

8 Cardinale, I think this and the last vote
9 reflects what I'm happy to see on the Board,
10 which is reasons and discussions on issues of
11 controversy. They incidentally are not
12 necessarily, particularly this one, those issues
13 that I think we have no control over and most
14 need to reflect upon, and the focus should be
15 more on bigger issues like zoning.

16 But my thoughts aside, it's great to see the
17 Board operate and annunciate their views. I
18 believe that there are a lot reasons for doing
19 what the women on the Board suggest. But I
20 believe it's not necessary to do that in view of
21 the possibilities of using symbols and not
22 starting out on a slippery slope of placing
23 foreign languages on the signs of the Town.
24 Accordingly, I'm going to join with the two "yes"
25 votes, and vote yes.

1 This does not mean the boys against the
2 girls, I promise!

3 MS. GRATTAN: We have three yes
4 and two no. The resolution is adopted. 436
5 Councilwoman Blass.

6 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: This
7 resolution authorizes Town Clerk to advertise for
8 bids for Baiting Hollow Farms, extension 78 of
9 the Riverhead Water District. So moved.

10 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: And
11 seconded.

12 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
13 seconded. Vote, please.

14 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
15 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

16 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
17 adopted. 437, Councilman Densieski.

18 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Awards
19 the bid for the Riverhead Water District NF Golf
20 Resorts, Phase I. So moved.

21 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: And
22 seconded.

23 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
24 seconded. Vote, please.

25 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,

1 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

2 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
3 adopted. Number 438, Councilwoman Sanders.

4 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: Awards
5 bid to the Riverhead Water District Aquebogue
6 Gold Resorts, Phase I. So moved.

7 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Second
8 the motion.

9 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
10 seconded. Vote, please.

11 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
12 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

13 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
14 adopted. 439, Councilman Bartunek.

15 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: Awards the
16 bid to Riverhead Water District Birchwood at
17 Wading River, Sections 3 and 4. So moved.

18 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: And
19 seconded.

20 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
21 seconded. Vote, please.

22 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
23 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

24 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
25 adopted. 440, Councilwoman Sanders.

1 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: Order
2 establishing the lateral water main for the
3 Millbrook Gables Development, lateral water main
4 Riverhead Water District. So moved.

5 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: And
6 seconded.

7 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
8 seconded. Vote, please.

9 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes, Blass,
10 yes; Densieski, yes; Carinale, yes.

11 MR. GRATTAN: The resolution is
12 adopted. Number 441, Councilman Densieski.

13 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Awards
14 the bid to Riverhead Water District for the
15 Millbrook Gables Development, Sigal Avenue
16 extension, Long Island Housing Partnership. So
17 moved.

18 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: And
19 seconded.

20 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
21 seconded. Vote, please.

22 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
23 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

24 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
25 adopted. 442, Councilwoman Blass.

1 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: The
2 resolution is for an order calling public
3 hearing, extension 77 to the Riverhead Water
4 District, Riverhead Charter School. So moved.

5 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: And
6 seconded.

7 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
8 seconded. Vote, please.

9 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
10 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

11 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
12 adopted. Number 443, Councilman Densieski.

13 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI:
14 Termination of Water District Easement Lot #5,
15 Warsaw Park, Riverhead Water District. So moved.

16 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: And
17 seconded.

18 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
19 seconded. Vote, please.

20 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass;
21 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

22 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
23 adopted. And now we have 444, 445, and 446 to be
24 withdrawn?

25 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Motion to

1 withdraw 444, 445 and 446. So moved.

2 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: And
3 seconded.

4 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
5 seconded. Vote, please.

6 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
7 yes; Densieski, yes, Cardinale, yes.

8 MS. GRATTAN: Those resolutions
9 are withdrawn. Resolution number 447,
10 Councilwoman Blass.

11 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: Ratifies
12 the Town of Riverhead Police Department's
13 participation in a Donate-a-Phone Program. So
14 moved.

15 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: And
16 seconded.

17 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
18 seconded. Vote, please.

19 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
20 yes; Densieski, yes; Carinale, yes.

21 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
22 adopted. Number 448, Councilman Bartunek.

23 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: This
24 resolution amends Resolution #386, authorization
25 to publish advertisement for 2004-2005 snack

1 vendor service. So moved.

2 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Second the
3 motion.

4 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
5 seconded. Vote, please.

6 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
7 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

8 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
9 adopted. Resolution number 449, Councilman
10 Bartunek.

11 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: Authorizes
12 the Supervisor to execute a license agreement
13 with 65 East Main Street Corporation, Inc. So
14 moved.

15 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: And
16 seconded.

17 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
18 seconded. Vote, please.

19 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
20 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

21 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
22 adopted. Resolution number 450 to pay bills.

23 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Anybody to
24 second it, please.

25 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: Yes,

1 seconded.

2 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Seconded
3 by Blass. Vote, please.

4 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
5 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

6 MS. GRATTAN: The resolution is
7 adopted to pay bills.

8 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: That
9 closes the resolutions of the Town Board.

10 We would like to open the meeting of the
11 Community Development Agency. Resolution number
12 7, Councilwoman Blass would you move that --
13 Andrea, I didn't realize you were here. I'm
14 sorry. Would you call them out, Andrea.

15 ANDREA: Do I have an offer of
16 the resolution?

17 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: This
18 resolution adopts rules and procedures for
19 designation of sponsor process pursuant to
20 Article 15 of the General Municipal Law. So
21 moved.

22 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: And
23 seconded.

24 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
25 seconded. Please vote.

1 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
2 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

3 ANDREA: The resolution is
4 adopted. Resolution 8 authorizes the Chairman to
5 execute a contract with North Fork Management,
6 Inc., pursuant to prior resolutions this evening.

7 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: I move
8 resolution number 8 of the CDA. So moved.

9 COUNCILMAN BARTUNEK: And
10 seconded.

11 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Moved and
12 seconded. That's NF Management, Inc. Vote,
13 please.

14 The Vote: Bartunek, yes; Sanders, yes; Blass,
15 yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes.

16 ANDREA: The resolution is
17 adopted.

18 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: That's
19 the last one?

20 ANDREA: Yes.

21 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Okay.
22 Then let's close the meeting of the CDA. Thank
23 you, Andrea.

24 I would like to take any general comments
25 the public wishes to offer, and then I'd like to

1 go home.

2 Yes, Bill Barbato.

3 MR. BARBATO: Thank you. Bill
4 Barbato, Manor Lane in Jamesport. I'm here again
5 to talk about Lakeview at Jamesport. You're
6 probably tired of it. I know I am and my
7 neighbors are. But now we're here again because
8 there's been a motion that's been petitioned
9 placed upon Town Board for a rezoning and special
10 permit to allow a condominium development and a
11 high density commercial.

12 Why are we here? The community doesn't want
13 it. The Master Plan and the current zoning calls
14 for agricultural protection, low density
15 residential. Lakeview isn't even close. So we
16 have to be here, because the applicant persists
17 with their rezoning and special permit
18 application.

19 So I'm here tonight to present the Town
20 Board with a Section 265 protest, signed by
21 owners of more than twenty percent of the land
22 immediately adjacent to and within one hundred
23 feet of the property that's being proposed for
24 rezoning. The signers that are included on this
25 protest also represent more than twenty percent

1 of the land directly opposite the street frontage
2 of the property in question. Either one of those
3 would pass the criteria in State Law, Section
4 265, which would raise the approval vote
5 necessary from the Town Board from a simple
6 majority, to a vote of at least three quarters. I
7 have a copy for the Town Board, and one for the
8 Town Clerk (handing).

9 Included in that package is a copy of the
10 State Law Section 265, and a map showing the
11 property where the owners have signed the protest
12 letter. The properties are highlighted in pink.

13 I would also like to take the opportunity
14 to submit some additional petitions signed by
15 Riverhead residents in opposition to the Lakeview
16 at Jamesport proposal. Here's copies for the
17 Town Board and the Town Clerk (handing).

18 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Yes.

19 MR. KENT: Mr. Barbato, could
20 you just make sure you get a copy of that to the
21 Town Attorney's office, including the map and the
22 petition?

23 MR. BARBATO: Yes, I will do
24 that tomorrow. Thank you.

25 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank

1 you, very much.

2 Sandra?

3 MS. MOTT: Hello again. Sandra
4 Mott, of Riverhead. I'm just back to ask about
5 the status of the animal shelter plan. I started
6 using the word "sanctuary" for shelter, because I
7 think it's probably more reflective of what I had
8 intended.

9 I had a meeting, my mom attended, with Ed,
10 toward the end of February. So, I'm hopeful that
11 maybe you made good headway since then. I made a
12 few calls --

13 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Just to
14 bring you up to date, I did meet with the
15 architect yesterday who is working on the animal
16 shelter. And I presented the Town Board with the
17 draft policy several weeks ago. So I'm sure it's
18 going to be up for discussion.

19 MS. MOTT: And I will be advised
20 when that work session will be?

21 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Yes, if
22 you would like to be. When Ed places it on, if he
23 could contact you.

24 MS. MOTT: Yes. That would be
25 great.

1 A second subject following along is, I
2 picked up this literature on lost a pet, found a
3 pet, that www.petline.org. puts out, and I was
4 wondering maybe if one of the people in the Town,
5 company, agencies, individuals would maybe want
6 to sponsor this site of losing and finding a pet
7 and maybe putting it in a local newspaper so that
8 people will know how to put the ad in.

9 In the past few weeks several cats have
10 come to my attention. One caught up in the tree
11 for over nine hours until it was rescued. And
12 then it was brought to my attention several other
13 people wanted to place their pet cats, because
14 they have to work four jobs to maintain their
15 homes. So when people are talking about the
16 economy and affordable housing, even the "less
17 expensive" senior housing is not really
18 affordable when somebody tells me they have to
19 work four jobs and then they start crying because
20 they can't stay with their cat as much as they
21 want to.

22 Also, I was wondering of this plan that
23 you're having. I don't go along with the one on
24 Young's Avenue, but I'm not going to go down that
25 path right now. We'll talk.

1 Wildlife refuge situation where there are
2 resource centers, Hampton Bays associated with
3 Star or some of the other organizations, because
4 I also found an injured Woodpecker. It's been a
5 very wildlife domestic animal week or so for me.
6 But we can talk during our private meeting and
7 bring it to work session.

8 I just want to make a comment, because
9 I've been watching it on T.V., about the docking
10 fees that you were discussing, how much and how
11 to do it, and that you didn't want personnel, and
12 it should be free.

13 I just came back from vacation, and one of
14 the most exclusive areas in Florida, Sanabel and
15 Captiva Island, near Fort Meyers. You're talking
16 about really the top line, you could taste money
17 in the air. They have little machines, parking
18 machines, and it has in big block letters "Park
19 your car, come put your money in, get your ticket
20 and put in how much time". And it had various
21 time frames, an hour, two hours and so on,
22 depending on how much time you spent at the
23 beach. I'm mean, we're talking exclusive
24 neighborhoods here.

25 And we can't figure out a way to charge

1 fees for people who park their big boats? Because
2 if they can afford big boats, they certainly can
3 afford a few bucks for parking.

4 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: There
5 will be fees collected for boats that wish to tie
6 up for more than a day. If they wish to spend
7 the evening, they will be charged a fee. What is
8 the fee? It really is quite modest.

9 COUNCILWOMAN SANDERS: \$25.00 a
10 day, \$150 per week, and \$500 for the month.

11 MS. MOTT: Maybe I should buy a
12 boat. I could dock it up there for a month.

13 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: It's
14 actually a great deal. But at least it's a lot
15 better than having nothing coming in.

16 MS. MOTT: I just want to let
17 you know that there are options other than
18 individual. I mean, periodically they go around
19 and they make sure that these stickers are on,
20 and I believe you have your lady or gentleman go
21 and check the cars to make sure they are not over
22 parking in the spaces.

23 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: In
24 deference to Ed, if they want to come in and shop
25 in Town, it's free, just tie up and enjoy

1 yourself. But if you want to spend overnight,
2 it's \$25.00 a night, \$150.00 for the week.

3 MS. MOTT: Okay. Well, that's
4 good.

5 This is old news I'm sure to all about that
6 farm lot for \$13,000.00 an acre. And then I read
7 who was able to win these. At \$13,000.00 an
8 acre, I wish I had known that that was the price.

9 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: You
10 couldn't build on there, Sandra, it was strictly
11 for farmland.

12 MS. MOTT: That's okay. I can
13 grow lavender too and get goats. You know, I
14 understand that. But the thing of it is, you
15 know, that's very cheap. And I hope that some of
16 these rich and well-connected individuals can
17 come forward when there is a facility, hopefully
18 a new animal shelter, and use their facilities.
19 \$13,000.00 an acre, maybe we can do some of these
20 projects and so on, on funds. And I believe
21 that's Mr. Tuccio, Mr. Masard (phonetic) and
22 several other people whose names escape me at the
23 moment.

24 And finally, I'm glad to read that you are
25 putting up a vacancy sign at Calverton for a lot

1 of people to know.

2 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Yes.

3 MS. MOTT: I said that five
4 years ago.

5 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: We should
6 have listened to you then.

7 MS. MOTT: Yeah, you could have
8 saved a lot of money. I think that's a fabulous
9 idea.

10 As to downtown rehab, I drove yesterday
11 through Bay Shore, through Patchogue to Riverhead
12 along Montauk Highway, where all these towns
13 invested millions of dollars. There was nobody
14 there. And they had the same issues that you had
15 in regard to vacant units and so forth.

16 I don't have the answers. I just want you
17 to know that you are not in it alone. And they
18 have completely remodeled, all the way down
19 Montauk Highway. And they have the ferries that
20 go right to Fire Island, so you would think the
21 people would be more inclined to go.

22 And finally, do you think you could
23 accommodate getting more trains out of Riverhead
24 and into Riverhead without having to go to
25 Ronkonkoma? I mean it's absolutely ridiculous.

1 I'll tell you, for my trip, rather than
2 driving to JFK, I took the train at 6:08 in the
3 morning. It was the most spectacular views. I
4 mean, there was some rubbish along the way, but
5 it was spectacular views. A different orientation
6 towards Riverhead. If you want tourist to come
7 here, then you need to develop that. You need to
8 get a hold of Dolan or whoever is running the
9 show over there now, to get more trains in and
10 out. Two during the day and two at night are
11 absolutely absurd.

12 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: We did
13 write to Dolan, Sandra, about a year ago.

14 MS. MOTT: And I know what they
15 said, because they probably said the same thing.
16 "Well, we don't have enough people". Well,
17 guess what, it's catch 22. You don't have enough
18 people because you don't have enough trains. But
19 there were lines up there at 6:08 in the
20 morning.

21 And I really want you to know that it's a
22 really beautiful view, and if you want to push
23 Riverhead, that's the way to do it. And also
24 maybe signage on the train, you know how they
25 have the advertisement areas? Let people know

1 what's here, if you get more people on the train,
2 what their going to see at the other end. The
3 potential here -- I mean, if you travel anywhere
4 you can see what's here. I know some people don't
5 visit elsewhere, but you have to make the
6 comparison.

7 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank
8 you.

9 COUNCILWOMAN BLASS: If I may.
10 The earlier the discussion about the Seeds
11 Program, it is particular to transportation. And
12 this idea of increasing train traffic to the East
13 End is an integral part of their recommendations.
14 They are working with the MTA and all of the
15 various agencies about specific transportation
16 needs on the East End of Long Island. They
17 recognize the needs of the North Fork.

18 MS. MOTT: Because you not only
19 have people here, that may not use it often, but
20 people moving from the city who are used to using
21 mass transit. So you have a situation here that
22 can really be utilized and I really encourage
23 that.

24 Thank you very much for listening.
25 So, should I call you for an appointment,

1 Ed?

2 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: I'd
3 probably wait two weeks and then call.

4 MS. MOTT: Okay. Thank you.

5 Can I just give you something, Phil
6 (handing). Don't take offense.

7 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank
8 you.

9 Next?

10 MR. SCHUMEJDA: Isn't it great
11 to be an American? A little guy like me can come
12 here and sit before a Board that judges what goes
13 on in your community. It's great.

14 My name is Doug Schumejda. I've grown up
15 here, been here all my life. I've watched
16 Riverhead come, go, whatever. I went to school
17 with Pete Danowski. We graduated together.
18 That's why I shake his hand all the time. But
19 anyway, this has to do with the Lakeview project
20 in Jamesport.

21 I went to the Town Clerk's office today.
22 The first time I got a chance to look at this
23 site plan. When I opened it up, I first saw one
24 map, it was an older map that showed 31 houses on
25 this piece of property, which I'm not against

1 that. Then I looked at another S-2, and it had
2 about the same. And then we dig out this great
3 big old map, which they can't copy. I looked at
4 that, and they should've had a seat there. I
5 almost sat down on the floor.

6 I urge everyone in Jamesport, Riverhead,
7 anywhere in this Town -- see most people don't
8 realize the impact of their neighborhood, because
9 they may live down the street, it may be three
10 blocks away, whatever. But they don't realize the
11 impact, until it's done. And if you don't
12 sometimes look into it, you can't blame the Town
13 Board. You have to blame yourself for not getting
14 involved in controlling something like this. It's
15 our fault. I should be going to these meetings.
16 I know thousands of people in Riverhead -- or
17 they know me -- whatever. But you should get
18 involved, and go to meetings.

19 But I urge everyone to look at every site
20 plan they can just to know what you're going up
21 against or what you're going to get. And also,
22 make sure there's a couple of seats there because
23 whoever from Jamesport that does go to this, is
24 going to sit down.

25 I also looked at a preliminary

1 environmental impact statement. It is a lot of
2 paper. But I don't think it has a lot of
3 substance. I think it has a lot of rhetoric. It
4 has a lot of this, that, whatever in it. But
5 there's no meat, there's like little deceit --
6 they're saying "This won't happen, the Fire
7 Department won't be overburdened, the roads will
8 take it". They say, "The overall community will
9 be better because of this". That's what they're
10 saying. I mean, it's really great for them to say
11 "Hey, you're community is going to be better,
12 we're going to double your population". All
13 right? And then they say "It's for the elderly
14 people". Jamesport elderly -- beans.

15 In reality, the value of those properties
16 is going to be higher than anyone can afford in
17 that area. Say you move from a house that's a
18 three hundred fifty, four hundred thousand dollar
19 house, you're going to a four hundred thousand
20 dollar condominium. People here aren't going to
21 buy these things. This is outside. If you take
22 who buys these things, it's going to people from
23 the City. They come out and buy them. They don't
24 have any idea of what your community is. They
25 buy them as a resort. They come out, and I'm not

1 knocking them, they have a right to do that. But
2 they come out, they change the votes, they change
3 fire votes, school votes, zoning votes,
4 everything. They change the whole community
5 because of this.

6 I listen to these projects. There's 166
7 units on 30 acres. Twenty foot setbacks from any
8 house. Anyone of you, I don't think anyone would
9 want that. 20 foot setback. Every property value
10 that sits within 20 feet of wherever they go in,
11 is going to be devalued. There's no doubt about
12 it. Nobody is going to buy a house for the value
13 that it's at now, with a condominium 30 feet
14 high, 20 feet on their border. That's impossible.

15 On a personal note, where my property sits,
16 it's a sewer system. Why don't we all have a dang
17 sewer system in our dang backyard. Wouldn't that
18 be great? A nice south wind comes up there once
19 in a while -- hey, let's have a picnic in our
20 backyard. That would be great. Let's invite over
21 the neighbors.

22 I love the area. I love the Town. Again, I
23 grew up here. I love Jamesport. I think we all
24 do. But now I know, if in the future I go and
25 sell my property, I'm not going to get the value

1 it's worth. Are my taxes going to go down? My
2 taxes don't go down. So what have I got? I've
3 got a piece of property less valuable, and more
4 taxes.

5 The Fire Department, the Police Department,
6 the extra lights that's going to have to be
7 added. I mean, these are thing that to me are
8 over and above and beyond what should be in a
9 development.

10 Minimum, the Master Plan should come in. If
11 before the Master Plan there was one acre
12 housing, or whatever, why not keep it the same?
13 Why should the last minute in two weeks they grab
14 you and bang, they say there you are, you owe me
15 this and I'm going to lose money because you
16 didn't do this for me. You didn't vote. I'm going
17 to sue you, because I have the right to make
18 millions and millions of dollars on the backs of
19 everybody else.

20 Do you think they care? And it's not a
21 personal thing. It is, but it isn't. Do you think
22 they really give a dang about you or me? You're
23 talking a fifty million dollar project --
24 fifty million dollars. We don't mean nothing.
25 When they walk away, they don't care. They don't

1 care about the roads, and stuff like that that
2 the Town has to take care of. They don't care
3 about the water into the system that may flood
4 when they take extra water into the ground and it
5 comes up. All the stores in Jamesport have
6 floods and water problems.

7 So anyway, I'm rambling on. I urge -- I
8 know it's not up for a vote yet, but I urge you
9 to really look at it. Go over the environmental
10 impact statement as closely as possible, and I
11 urge you -- I'm not against the Master Plan, I'm
12 not against development. I mean, it would be
13 great if they said "Hey, look it, why don't we
14 preserve the area?" That would be wonderful, but
15 if you don't, at least stick to the Master Plan.
16 Right now you have preserved land all around it.
17 You bought the Sapolus (phonetic) property, you
18 bought out Pienski's (phonetic) property next
19 door, you have the 13 acres or 14 acres here. You
20 have the orchard you bought. This is in the
21 middle of everything. Look at it. You probably
22 have, but lay it out and look at it. And say to
23 yourself, "It's not in my backyard, it's in
24 everyone's backyard".

25 Anyway, thank you.

1 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank you
2 very much.

3 MS. SEATON: Linda Seaton. Do
4 you remember how that farmer was quivering at the
5 last meeting with a passion? That's how I feel
6 right with the passion on the subject of
7 Lakeview. There are solutions. But the people
8 which this government is and by, the government
9 is not for developers and not by developers.
10 It's for the people and by the people. If it were
11 up to me, you'd have to live in the community at
12 least five years before you could develop any
13 site in a community.

14 We do not need this project, and I think I
15 speak on behalf of Jamesport Civic when I say
16 that I believe that these developers are coming
17 in with a big plan, so that when they continue
18 the conversation, we'll accept a compromise.
19 Which is not acceptable either. We want to keep
20 the character of Jamesport and the East End. We
21 don't want to turn it into an East Hampton
22 either. We want to keep it in a rural character.
23 That's why people come to Jamesport and Mattituck
24 and Lausal and Orient, and everywhere else on the
25 North Fork. Keep the character. We don't need

1 housing for people who have plenty of means to
2 retire here on the East End. There are existing
3 houses for those people, within their retirement
4 budget.

5 Like I said before, my customers who are
6 leaving Long Island and New York, are leaving
7 because of what they're afraid is happening to
8 the North Fork. Otherwise they would not be
9 leaving. They are not the people who would want
10 to live in a place like Lakeview. They want to
11 keep what they have and preserve it. So I urge
12 you to please, as everyone else has said before
13 me, really examine everything here. We're a
14 fighting few, but we will not let this happen.
15 We'll be at every meeting, and if we have to
16 incorporate into a village and get it done real
17 fast, we'll do that too. Please consider what the
18 people want.

19 What we need in Jamesport is a crosswalk
20 before somebody dies in front of our shop. That's
21 what we need. I would like to look into that
22 matter, because people are really life-threatened
23 in front of our shop.

24 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: We should
25 look into that. It's a State highway,

1 incidentally, but I will look into it and see who
2 we can should contact from the State. It's not a
3 county road.

4 MS. SEATON: I've been told
5 because we already have one where the bus stops,
6 that we may not be able to get a second. But the
7 traffic -- I mean, people jaywalk and cross in
8 front of the deli, and that's where it's
9 needed. And that's where we'll save lives.

10 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: They
11 jaywalk in front of the delicatessen? That's
12 probably why they won't put it in because they
13 feel they will be encouraging walking in the
14 middle of the road.

15 MS. SEATON: But most villages
16 have pedestrian crossing signs that say "You must
17 stop for pedestrians". And that would enforce
18 the speed limit, which reads 35 miles per hour.
19 But I want to tell you, the speed limit is not
20 being enforced because people are driving 55
21 miles per hour in front of that deli, and they're
22 passing on the right and having accidents with
23 cars that are parked on the right. This is almost
24 a weekly occurrence.

25 I want to mention something about

1 Resolution 435, if I may.

2 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Sure.

3 MS. SEATON: Regarding signage.

4 Somebody mentioned that we need to maintain the
5 English language because it's an English language
6 country, America. Well, by population, it's
7 becoming a bilingual country. And certainly on
8 the East End. I mean, between Ireland and
9 Latino -- Ireland, of course, speaks the English
10 language, so we don't have to have signs. But I
11 think we are a hospitable nation, and I think
12 that we should be embracing the population and
13 encouraging the signage. I think it's only going
14 to bring good, because our American speaking
15 children will now learn Spanish as well. What bad
16 could come from that? Kids pay road games in the
17 back seat of their car, so if they're looking at
18 the signs and learning as you're driving. I think
19 it's archaic to think otherwise. In Hong Kong you
20 see English and Chinese. And that's a
21 sophisticated place. I know we are as
22 sophisticated and embrace that idea.

23 That's my feeling on that. Thank you.

24 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank
25 you. Rolf?

1 MR. KOESLING: Rolf Koesling,
2 Wading River. All of a sudden this came up, this
3 signage issue. It seems strange that everyone is
4 worrying about a foreign language. On Cedar Road
5 and Locust Road and Hulse Landing, the road signs
6 have been missing for six months. You can't get
7 an English sign there.

8 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Yes, we
9 should work on the English ones first, your
10 right. What are the locations?

11 MR. KOESLING: Cedar Road,
12 Locust Road and Hulse Landing. They've been
13 missing for at least six months. From last
14 November I've been watching it. And the Town
15 trucks go by and nobody sees it.

16 Okay, the next thing. The reason you have
17 such a small crowd here, you should've seen the
18 school voting. It was packed. I got there my
19 regular time, 6:00, and then we usually go to the
20 Town meeting. One of the voting machines was
21 down, yes, but I've never seen so many people
22 there. You had to walk a mile. I got bad lungs,
23 I'm wearing a pacemaker and I had to shoo
24 (phonetic) to get there. And I had to wait on
25 line another 20 minutes. I think it was a

1 deliberate attempt by the school district to keep
2 the senior citizens from voting.

3 Okay. The last thing. This has happened
4 quite a number of times. Your tax receiver, I
5 mail him a check. I mail a check on Friday,
6 April 2nd. My statement came in a couple of days
7 ago for the month. That check hasn't been cashed.
8 Now I notice the same pattern goes on like with
9 real estate taxes.

10 I spent a little time working in a bank and
11 believe me, when a check came in, every check
12 went out and they wanted to get their money.
13 You're losing money. Every check should be cashed
14 and should be in the bank, and you should be
15 getting interest on it.

16 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: I
17 couldn't agree with you more.

18 MR. KOESLING: Three things --
19 okay, that's all.

20 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank you
21 very much, Rolf. That's Cedar, Locust and Hulse
22 Landing; right?

23 MR. KOESLING: Right.

24 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank
25 you.

1 MS. COHEN: My name is Thea
2 Cohen, from Riverhead. This is in regard to the
3 riverfront parking area. For those of you that
4 are feeling bad that it wasn't passed, that we're
5 not going to temporary close it, I feel that the
6 most successful times that we've had back there
7 are when we closed it for an event. And it
8 brought many, many people to the Town and they
9 patronized the businesses. And Riverhead was
10 getting a reputation for having great festivals,
11 craft fairs and agricultural fairs.

12 I feel that if we cannot close it on a
13 temporary basis for the summer season, that we
14 could do it intermittently for the spring, summer
15 and through the fall, and have more events going
16 on. Perhaps an antique festival.

17 I'm a real estate person in the area, and
18 I've been getting calls from antique people as
19 far as Pennsylvania that want to come down on a
20 temporary basis. There's a big antique dealer out
21 on the North Fork, as far as Laural that wants to
22 come to Riverhead. I also have a gallery owner
23 way out on the East End that would like to open
24 up a gallery here. I spoke to Shelly Gorden about
25 dividing up his stores and building a studio. So

1 there are people who want to -- there are the
2 great pioneers who came and opened up the pet
3 shop, the gourmet shop. I hope all of Riverhead
4 patronize these stores, because that's the only
5 way they're going to make it right now.

6 Otherwise we drive through Town, and the people
7 who haven't been here wonder what happened.

8 So, I think if we bring these festivals and
9 fairs, more them intermittently, even if it's
10 something every weekend, artists on the
11 boardwalk, people doing caricatures -- I don't
12 know. Whoever has been to Amsterdam and Europe,
13 you go to the parks there and they have mimes out
14 in the parks and shops all around. And San
15 Antonio, the Riverwalk. Somehow you need
16 something to kick start the tourism here and the
17 more people we bring on different events, the
18 more likely they'll come back and patronize the
19 shops.

20 Now as far as local people, the more
21 housing that we get downtown, the more
22 apartments, you know, studio apartments -- if we
23 could bring a family park inside the riverside
24 area for mothers with children, with swings and
25 even a sprinkler system -- you know. I grew up

1 in Queens and there's a lot of these parks
2 around, and they're full of people. And you know,
3 well, way back when they were playing chess and
4 things like that. There's a need for that.
5 That's going to bring the local people, women,
6 sitting down by the park area to go into the
7 shops. We can close the back area, but if we
8 don't have anything going on at the riverfront,
9 it's just futile.

10 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: The woman
11 sitting right behind you, Andrea, from the CDA,
12 has been asking to bring two million dollars to
13 the riverfront, which is being repaired now, bike
14 paths and improvements at the riverfront, and one
15 million to Grangable (phonetic), where we could
16 have just those things that you are speaking of.
17 So opportunities to get to the river and enjoy it
18 will be improving.

19 MS. COHEN: I think the family
20 park for the locals and patronizing the area with
21 mothers with children is a very good idea.

22 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: A very
23 good idea. Thank you for sharing your ideas with
24 us.

25 MS. COHEN: Thank you.

1 MR. SCHUMEJDA: Bernie

2 Schumejda. Again, concerning the Lakeview
3 properties, fiasco, whatever you want to call it.

4 I had a chance to look at the environmental
5 impact statement today at Town Hall. Of course,
6 it's just rhetoric. There's a few things that
7 they did not address, because of course, they
8 wouldn't. One is the discharge of thousands of
9 gallons of water brought in on Riverhead Water
10 District, put into the ground, they going to
11 flood basements down on the Main Street
12 buildings.

13 The other thing they didn't consider is
14 light pollution in the rural and or agricultural
15 area. A facility like that is going to be lit all
16 night long. Totally out of context with the
17 area.

18 Another thing that they failed to address
19 or even look at was possible toxic farm chemicals
20 in several places, since these are farms since
21 whenever. But there are toxic containers on the
22 property.

23 The other thing nobody seems to know is
24 that there is a 3,000 year old Native American
25 burial site there. I have paperwork on it, but I

1 don't have a copy of it. If you are interested in
2 it, I can give you copies of all I have, which
3 was afforded to me in 1987.

4 So if and when there's any vote or
5 consideration on that property, I hope you
6 consider those items.

7 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: We will.
8 I might also add that that project is unique in
9 the sense that it's inconsistent with the current
10 zoning and the Master Plan zoning which will be
11 implemented shortly. The Town Board is discussing
12 this and one of the possibilities is to use
13 discretion to decline to consider a zone change
14 that would be necessary for the type of intent
15 development that you describe.

16 So as soon as that decision is made and
17 communication with the applicant is made, that
18 too will be in the file and open to the public's
19 review. But the residential component would
20 require a zone change, and the Master Plan zoning
21 which is to be passed in this coming session, two
22 weeks from now. And the commercial zoning
23 contemplated by the Master Plan is inconsistent
24 with the project that's proposed. I don't want to
25 say anything more, but I think that indicate that

1 it's going to be a difficult road to press that
2 project forward on behalf of the applicant.

3 Timmy, you wanted to speak?

4 TIMMY: Timmy, a restaurant
5 owner, downtown Riverhead. I'm a little
6 disappointed that trying to close the riverfront
7 didn't pass. But, it doesn't surprise me. This
8 is the fifth administration that I've come before
9 since I purchased the restaurant ten years
10 ago -- eleven years ago. And some of the same
11 problems downtown still exist. I'm up here
12 tonight to ask if any of them are going to be
13 considered by this administration.

14 One, sidewalks. The condition of the
15 sidewalks in downtown Riverhead are a disgrace.

16 It's been talked about year after year after
17 year. In one administration there was a hundred
18 thousand dollar bond taken out to try to do some
19 repairs, which none of it was ever done downtown.

20 This Town Board -- first off, I don't mean
21 for this to be smart, but does anybody on this
22 Board, with the exception of you, Eddie, who I
23 see all the time, go downtown and take a serious
24 look of what's going on? Because I want to tell
25 you what's going on downtown. The sidewalks are

1 a disgrace, the roads are filthy, the grass
2 growing and the weeds in the red brick area are
3 horrible. To drive through our Main Street,
4 everybody on this Board should be ashamed of
5 themselves for allowing it to continue. The drug
6 dealers, the prostitutes, panhandlers. You've
7 got to get a grip on what's really going on in
8 the Town you're supposed to be taking care of.

9 I spend every single night behind my
10 restaurant walking customers out and waitstaff,
11 so they don't get pinned up against their car
12 when the panhandlers try to get money out of
13 them. Do you think I want to run a business like
14 that?

15 I pulled along the riverfront last night
16 and caught Richie's wife closing up their
17 restaurant. We walked around the parking lot
18 there and picked up syringes that were laying all
19 over the parking lot.

20 You've really got to wake up and take a
21 serious look at the problems downtown. And during
22 your campaign, you promised to take a serious
23 look at downtown, and you haven't as of yet, that
24 I've seen. It's time.

25 The garbage project for getting a

1 commercial garbage district started. Where are
2 we at with that? Because, again, the dumpsters
3 all throughout the parking lots right now are a
4 disgrace. That's got to be addressed.

5 Aside from that, a couple of things that
6 I've also been waiting for. We have a problem in
7 this Town when it comes to getting a music permit
8 or a tavern license. The process isn't
9 acceptable. You use a form for a special permit
10 where to get a music permit, you're allowed to
11 have a three piece band in your restaurant, you
12 have to have 15 copies of your survey, and 15
13 copies of this and more copies of that -- it is
14 ridiculous. The fee of \$500.00 is ridiculous.
15 When I got mine, eight or nine years ago, it was
16 \$25.00.

17 The purpose of this, from the way I see it
18 is, if you issue a permit for somebody to be
19 allowed to do something, and they don't follow
20 the rules, you can take their right away. Why
21 does it have to be \$500.00? Why can't it be
22 \$25.00? The building I occupy pays \$24,800.00 a
23 year in property taxes. Why do I have to give
24 you another \$500.00 for you to give me a little
25 permit? All it is used for is so you can take my

1 right away if I abuse it. That's all it's used
2 for.

3 Outside dining, I've been asking for that
4 to be addressed for several years.

5 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Dawn's
6 working on that. We'll have it probably at the
7 next work session.

8 TIMMY: I'm glad to hear that,
9 because it's been a long time coming. You want to
10 revitalize downtown. You want to make it a
11 tourist destination. The mom and pop operations
12 are over. Tanger, Route 58, that's taken that
13 away Main Street. We have the Aquarium, which
14 has been good. We have the Dinosaur Museum, which
15 is coming soon, which I think is going to be
16 great. We have things like the East Enders Coffee
17 Shop, I think is a real asset to downtown
18 Riverhead, which they're allowed to have music
19 with no permit, because they don't sell alcohol.

20 I think it's great. We should be doing
21 these things. In Patchogue all summer long on
22 Friday nights they have music on every single
23 street corner. It's a great thing.

24 If you want to be a tourist destination
25 town, you've got to start acting like it. The

1 first thing that needs to be done is, this Town
2 Board take on the responsibility of making it a
3 welcoming environment. It's not your
4 responsibility to get business downtown, but I do
5 believe it's your responsibility to make it
6 inviting for businesses to want to come downtown.
7 And it's not.

8 I really think you need to start spending
9 some time on Main Street. Joe Janowski (phonetic)
10 was famous, you always found him like this
11 (indicating) on a parking meter watching what's
12 going on. All the time. He paid attention to
13 what was going on on Main Street. And this Town
14 Board, and I will exclude Eddie from this,
15 because I see Eddie downtown, him and I walk the
16 streets over and over again looking at different
17 projects, but the rest of you, please, start
18 paying more attention to downtown. It's the heart
19 of our town and it's suffering badly.

20 Thank you.

21 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank
22 you.

23 MR. LINDSTRUM: Hal Lindstrum,
24 (phonetic) Riverhead. I have to agree totally
25 with Tim as far as the downtown area. It is a

1 major concern of the community, and it is a
2 disgrace, when you go down there and see what's
3 actually going on down there. I've been here for
4 ten years, and I have not seen any improvement in
5 the downtown area. In fact, it's declined
6 considerably from the time that I moved here,
7 probably before that.

8 I think the Board has to go back to the
9 drawing board and really take a look at the
10 downtown area, and start some kind of a program
11 or have a vision of what downtown Riverhead
12 should be. It should be like Greenport. I mean, I
13 go to Greenport and I feel ashamed to say that
14 I'm from Riverhead. It shouldn't be that way.
15 And I know everybody up there wants it to be
16 better for Riverhead as much as the people do. I
17 mean, I'd love to go down there at night with my
18 wife. But the conditions down there are not
19 inviting to me or to anybody right now.

20 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: I assume
21 that you are talking about increased police
22 presence? Is there anything else that you are
23 suggesting specifically?

24 MR. LINDSTRUM: Well, the
25 closed stores.

1 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Well, we
2 cant' do much about the closed stores, except to
3 encourage the landlords, as we have been, to rent
4 them. You certainly have a right to safety on
5 Main Street if you elect to go there.

6 MR. LINDSTRUM: Okay. Change the
7 architectural code so that they represent a
8 beautiful town like Greenport. When you walk
9 downtown there -- I don't know, there's
10 something --

11 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Actually,
12 Greenport was a pretty big mess, in my
13 recollection, and has come a long way.
14 Furthermore, I think Greenport architecturally is
15 far below Riverhead, if you look at the buildings
16 in Riverhead and you look at the buildings in
17 Greenport. Mostly what makes Greenport is the
18 park and the Greenport harbor. But the buildings
19 are not architecturally better.

20 MR. LINDSTRUM: But you have
21 people coming down there at all hours, during the
22 day and during the evening. They are not afraid
23 to go downtown. I agree with Tim where there are
24 panhandlers, there are drug dealers. I mean, I've
25 encountered that.

1 Like I said, go back to the drawing board
2 and really take a look at the downtown area and
3 see what we can do down there. It is a disgrace.

4 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Our
5 Master Plan addresses some solutions, and I'm all
6 ears for resolutions. The problems, I agree, we
7 have them.

8 MR. LINDSTRUM: I think it
9 should be a tourist destination. We have most of
10 the retail on 58.

11 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: That's
12 true, Hal. It should be, but it's only going to
13 be when tourists attractions and tourist
14 specialty shops show up there. What we have to do
15 is give, what Tim was saying, an inviting
16 atmosphere to come to. So that's really what
17 we're trying to do, what we hope the Master Plan
18 will do.

19 MR. LINDSTRUM: The real reason I
20 came down here tonight was to say that we, as a
21 community, thought it would be nice to designate
22 the south corner of Reilly Avenue and Edwards
23 Avenue as Steve Haizlip Memorial Park.

24 Steve Haizlip was a role model and a
25 spokesman for the community. He also put his life

1 on the line when he hit the beaches in Normandy,
2 in World War II to fight for freedom.

3 He was instrumental in the beautification
4 project on the corner of Reilly and Edwards
5 Avenue, and you would often find him downtown
6 working by himself. Occasionally I gave him a
7 hand. He was a true gentleman and I hope that
8 this corner will be designated.

9 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Is that
10 the corner where the memorial is?

11 MR. LINDSTRUM: It's right
12 across on the other side there. He worked on the
13 beautification project there through Calverton
14 Civic Association. We spoke about it and thought
15 it would be a really nice gesture, because he was
16 a great community member. We did buy a stone and
17 a plaque that could be placed there.

18 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: And the
19 area that you would put it, is that Town
20 property?

21 MR. LINDSTRUM: Yes, it is Town
22 property.

23 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: I knew
24 Steve, and I respected him. I think it's a very
25 nice gesture, yes. What can the Town do?

1 MR. LINDSTRUM: We would just
2 like it to be designated Steve Haizlip Memorial
3 Park, and then we'll just put the stone there.

4 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Do you
5 mean like in the form of a resolution?

6 MR. LINDSTRUM: Yes, in front of
7 the beautification project he worked on.

8 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Okay.
9 Thank you.

10 MR. LINDSTRUM: Thank you.

11 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Any other
12 comments from the public?

13 Yes, Richie?

14 MR. COX: Richie Cox. On a
15 positive note, as far the back parking lot, we
16 have been working as a bid to do a drive-in
17 movie, car shows, boat shows and to correct Mr.
18 Music, it's not 15 copies of the survey, it's 17
19 copies of the survey. I've been working on that
20 for nine months now. So as far as making it a
21 little more friendly, for people trying to open
22 businesses -- trying to get a guy with a car on
23 my deck is nine months and seventeen copies of
24 the survey, like I'm building something.

25 And there are hypodermic needles and other

1 things I don't want to mention, that my wife saw
2 last night. But on a positive note, we are
3 working on getting things down there.

4 And I'm sorry to see that Ed's idea of
5 closing the road didn't go for even giving it a
6 shot. That's it.

7 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank
8 you.

9 COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Richie,
10 congratulations and good luck on your business
11 downtown.

12 MR. COX: Thank you.

13 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Anyone
14 else? Yes, Bob.

15 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: It's been a
16 long evening. I think you guys were hoping to get
17 out a little earlier. I was half tempted to get
18 up when you were discussing resolutions, for the
19 resolution that wasn't. Last Thursday at work
20 session, we were pretty much left with the
21 impression that we would have a resolution on
22 Hartill. It wasn't on, and I'm here to ascertain
23 so I can pretty much advise my client, why it
24 wasn't on. Because to be honest with you, at this
25 point in time, I don't know the reason why.

1 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: I believe
2 your client was spoken to by Dawn at length this
3 afternoon. Dawn Thomas, the Town Attorney. We are
4 still waiting on a legal memo from her in regard
5 to the site plan. The Board can't vote without it
6 and we're still waiting for it.

7 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: Okay. So it's
8 a question of whether it complies with zoning or
9 it does not comply?

10 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: The issue
11 in front of us is, you've given us the last
12 version of the site plan, and as you know, that
13 particular site plan shows housing, four units
14 facing sideways with parking in the front. And
15 because of the constraints of the site, there
16 doesn't seem to be any other alternative but to
17 face that way if you're going to have four units
18 on that, which is only about 7,000 square feet.
19 So, what we need to find out from Dawn, is
20 whether that is a valid type plan concern or not.
21 And I want to make sure before we go ahead and
22 vote that the Board has that information, because
23 it may or may not be a matter of our discretion.

24 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: Okay.

25 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: I'm going

1 to push her, because I would really like to get
2 it voted on by the next session.

3 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: I was left
4 with the impression, I did speak with Jill today,
5 that it would be a topic theoretically for
6 Thursday's work session?

7 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Yes. And
8 if we get her to get the memo to us, and we'll
9 get you a copy of it. I'll be glad to give you a
10 copy. Barbara and I have discussed it, and the
11 rest of the members of the Board, and we need
12 some guidance and it would be unfair to everybody
13 to vote without it.

14 If I have it by next Thursday, I will let
15 you know. And if I don't, I will certainly have
16 it by the following work session. I will get you
17 a copy of it so you can comment on it. You may
18 agree or disagree with her conclusions.

19 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: Very good.

20 Thank you.

21 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank you,
22 Bob.

23 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: So assuming
24 it gets on this Thursday, next Thursday we can
25 anticipate --

1 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: I expect
2 June 1st to vote on it. You should get it ideally
3 this Thursday, but if not, the following work
4 session, which is Thursday of next week.

5 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: Thank you.

6 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Tim?

7 TIM: I promise, the last time.

8 Mr. Supervisor, we do not need a Master
9 Plan to sweep the streets and replace the
10 sidewalks that are broken. That we could start
11 doing right now.

12 MR. SUPERVISOR: Right.

13 TIM: Movie theater, anything
14 happening there at this point?

15 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Yes. The
16 theater is for sale. The asking price is
17 \$700,000.00, confirmed by three broker's
18 estimates, as real estate value. If a person is
19 interested in using it in a manner that we prefer
20 to use it as a theater or some type of cineplex,
21 we would consider concession on the price. We
22 will be discussing that Thursday as well. Are you
23 talking about targeted zoning?

24 TIM: No. I just would like to
25 remind you of a comment that you made to years

1 ago as a councilman.

2 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Yes.

3 TIM: Absolute auction.

4 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Yes.

5 TIM: At some point I hope this
6 Board moves forward and do something with it. I
7 bought my restaurant 11 yeas ago because the
8 theater was opening.

9 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: That's
10 right. Ten years ago they bought it. It has to be
11 a piece of the resurrection of downtown and
12 whatever use is chosen by the person who buys it,
13 they've got to get back on the rolls of working
14 in some capacity to help out the downtown area.
15 It's been ten years pending, we can't do any
16 worse than that.

17 TIM: Last topic, and I promise
18 I will go away. Parking for the courts. We have
19 an enormous problem right now with parking.
20 There's parking lots within the vicinity of the
21 courthouse, one right behind my restaurant. 9:30
22 in the morning packed. No room for employees, no
23 room for customers. It hurts my restaurant, it
24 hurts the pub next door, it hurts the Chinese
25 Restaurant, it hurts the barber shop on Main

1 Street. The parking lot is already a problem and
2 it's filled with court jurors or employees or
3 whatever that can't find parking behind the
4 courthouse.

5 Railroad Avenue, the Town has acquired
6 quite a bit of land trying to address this
7 problem with the new courts coming. What's
8 happening with the concept of a parking garage?
9 And the reason I bring that up is the following:
10 Reading the Cash-in Report, I understand that if
11 we spend one million dollars on property, which I
12 believe we've spent a little over that, and we
13 transform it into paved parking lots, it's going
14 to be ugly and it's going to cost the taxpayers
15 at some point roughly six million dollars. The
16 Cash-in Report says 5.7.

17 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: For what?

18 TIM: To purchase the property, to
19 pave it, curb it, light it.

20 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: That's
21 not accurate.

22 TIM: I'm telling you what I
23 read in the report. I've got it in the pick-up.

24 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: It will
25 cost a million dollars to pave it, but it will

1 cost two and half to put a parking garage. And
2 when you put a parking garage up, you have
3 maintenance and crime problems you were talking
4 about earlier.

5 TIM: Didn't we have somebody
6 that made a presentation at a work session that
7 nobody wants to put up a parking garage, also
8 some nice retail space?

9 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: We don't
10 have that offer. We have a request for proposals
11 that are written and are sending out. You asked
12 if there was any interest. The negative is that
13 we have to provide 600 free parking spaces to
14 court personnel during the period of nine to five
15 weekdays. Within that constraint, it will be
16 difficult for an RFP to get a good response. But
17 we're putting them out anyway.

18 TIM: It's been alluded to that
19 the Town has to provide 600 free parking spots.
20 But there's been no way, shape or form, if I'm
21 correct, where that's been in writing. Ever.

22 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Let's put
23 it this way, if you want the courts to come
24 there, by agreement -- you have a resolution,
25 incidentally, back in 2000 confirming that, that

1 you were going to provide parking. It may not
2 have been the best deal in the world, but it was
3 real necessary to make for the courts to put the
4 extra eight or nine parts there.

5 But, yeah, we're going to provide free
6 parking as they do in Islip. And that's realty.
7 Within those constraints, if a parking garage
8 could make money in the evenings, and on the
9 weekends and all the holidays, that would be
10 great. Or if a carry on commercial part would be
11 sufficient to cover the rest that would be great
12 too.

13 We, at the request of Ed, have prepared an
14 RFP to see if there's any hope for that to occur.
15 I would be pleased if we had the option. I just
16 don't see the option at the moment.

17 TIM: As a taxpayer, the courts
18 coming into Riverhead certainly benefits the
19 business that I have downtown. It certainly
20 benefits the restaurant. There's no question
21 about that. But I would like to know what the
22 expense is going to be to bring the courts to
23 Riverhead. What is this parking truly going to
24 cost us, there's two million, five million, six
25 million, ten million. What is the number? Because

1 at some point, maybe it's not worth it.

2 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: You're
3 right. You are absolutely right. We're pretty far
4 down that road at this point. One of the reasons
5 is that a grant will pay for eight hundred and
6 fifty thousand of the million dollars of
7 surfacing. That's the good news. The bad news is
8 that same grant could have paid for your
9 sidewalks. It's a tough world, you have to chose
10 what you want to spend your money on. But I'm
11 telling you, those courts aren't going to come
12 unless we give them parking.

13 TIM: Well, I'd like to know
14 what the feeling of this Board is between
15 spending five or six million dollars on parking
16 for the courts, which is certainly a good thing.

17 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: The money
18 we paid for the property, which was a million
19 plus. We're looking at the Golden property and
20 other property. The Golden property will cost us
21 less than a million. Then you have to surface
22 that. And by doing those two alone, you have
23 probably about 450 spots.

24 TIM: And the cost of?

25 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: The cost

1 of a million, a million -- about three million.

2 TIM: What would it cost to
3 revitalize the theater?

4 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: To
5 revitalize the theater, about four million, from
6 what I recall, of bonds.

7 TIM: Where is the money better
8 spent? I don't know the answer to that.

9 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: I don't
10 know either. We can talk about that.

11 Thank you for your comments.

12 MS. COHEN: Thea Cohen. Another
13 idea for an event would be an "Oldies for
14 Goldies", a two day festival with car show, dance
15 marathon.

16 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: That's a
17 great idea. Ed does a lot of work with the
18 downtown, the Parking District Committee. Any
19 time that we can get an event down there, we are
20 more than willing to close the back area. And I
21 think that we would encourage any kind of event
22 like the ones you discussed. And we certainly
23 support Ed in that. Like I said, in certain
24 circumstance I might support a permanent solution
25 to that problem.

1 MS. COHEN: I have a question.
2 Is the Town Board offering any incentive to the
3 property owners in the downtown area to down size
4 their stores to put up smaller shops, because the
5 rent is too high for a lot of businesses in the
6 area? What incentives are we offering the
7 landlord?

8 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: We're
9 aware of the problem. I have spoken to Shelly
10 Gorden and other landlords. The incentives,
11 honestly, are going to have to come from the
12 economic marketplace. In other words, if he's so
13 stupid that he's going to leave his big store
14 empty rather than partition it, he's going to
15 lose money, because anybody in their right mind
16 in that area is screaming for small space. And I
17 said it just that way to him, personally. And he
18 knows that's how we all feel.

19 MS. COHEN: Okay. Thank you.

20 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank
21 you. Angela?

22 MS. DeVITO: A question on the
23 Ethics Committee, where are they with the Town
24 Policy?

25 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: The

1 Ethnics Committee is reviewing an ethnics draft
2 code. They've made the suggestion, the Town Board
3 will be taking it up immediately after the June
4 1st passage of the zoning code. I would fully
5 expect a public hearing at either the second
6 meeting in June, or more likely the first meeting
7 in July and then passage in July, we hope.

8 MS. DeVITO: My last comment
9 deals with the recent article about concern for
10 diversity in Town Hall with employees in the main
11 office. I have a suggestion. I read with interest
12 the comments on how Civil Service works and
13 there's always the potential to appoint people
14 provisionally while you're waiting for the county
15 to issue an examination.

16 That's one way of doing that. But again, to
17 actively get into -- for the Town to participate
18 in conjunction with Suffolk County in the work
19 force development and recruitment starting right
20 here with our high school. There is a program in
21 most every public high school in New York State,
22 called School to Work and a person who is a
23 School to Work coordinator. In Riverhead High
24 School it's Connie Gavinski (phonetic). And what
25 they do is, they place Town people. They

1 seek such that you have interns to learn about
2 jobs. And one way a young person learns about
3 jobs in the government, they would not be
4 eligible for Civil Service examination until they
5 turn 18 and graduate from high school. But to
6 learn about that is to participate in that sort
7 of programs at establishments with the Town. And
8 also try to utilize -- however you go about
9 advertising or recruiting for summer jobs,
10 introducing the high school population to the
11 public sector of the local town government as an
12 employment sector to consider. And not just for
13 blue collar jobs or lower level office jobs, but
14 things that take college degrees, associated
15 degrees. So they can be planning as they go along
16 and they will have the experience.

17 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: I know
18 Connie Gavensik well, that's a very good
19 suggestion to have the kids come in as summer
20 employees, and get used to being part of the
21 Employer Municipal Corporation.

22 I also talked to Jack about the concept of
23 the diversity plan. And the discussion we had at
24 the work session which was recorded in the News
25 Review article, I spoke to Jack, he said he would

1 have on my desk a -- something I haven't had in
2 the past and which we are supposed to have, which
3 is a plan, literally, an affirmative action plan.

4 I want to point out that the point is well
5 taken that the article made. Although we do not
6 have a budgeted amount for the affirmative action
7 plan to be implemented by an affirmative action
8 officer, a diversity officer, that doesn't mean
9 that we can't find the money to do it on a
10 part-time or a full-time basis, and I intend to
11 do that. It has been a priority of me and shared
12 by this Board that we really must make Riverhead
13 look more like the community it serves. And we
14 can't afford to simply say it wasn't budgeted.

15 We have a 2005 budget coming up. We could
16 budget it. I'm going to urge that it be budgeted.
17 I will get the plan out of Jack. And we've got to
18 do a better job as is being done in Southampton,
19 in making this Town's employee base more diverse.

20 MS. DeVITO: One of the things
21 you're looking at in a part-time position is not
22 an individual taking a part-time position unless
23 there is something to supplement. A general
24 household income is not generally one that could
25 sustain. I would suggest that perhaps you would

1 partner, let's say with the Town of Southold, to
2 create a split position in which it is a
3 part-time position for us and a part-time
4 position for them, but the person that's hired
5 benefits from having a full-time income. And
6 coordinate the benefit package. And between the
7 two towns it's one way of being able to meet with
8 your vision of what you'd like and at the same
9 time provide an employment opportunity and create
10 a position that would really allow an individual
11 to work out, and earn a living that they can
12 sustain.

13 SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: And most
14 of the problems are similar that that person
15 would encounter.

16 Thank you for your suggestions, they're
17 good ones.

18 MR. SCHUMEJDA: Doug Schumejda
19 again. I do turn on the T.V. I do watch you
20 guys. Not all the time. But I've got to tell you,
21 this Board works hard, all of you. You have
22 disagreements and everything else. I think
23 everyone should be aware that you do work hard.
24 It's not an easy job. And I want to thank you
25 all.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

SUPERVISOR CARDINALE: Thank
you. One of my most pleasant surprises is how
hard this Board works, and how well they work
together, despite the occasional disagreements.

COUNCILMAN DENSIESKI: Motion to
adjourn. So moved.

* * * * *

*Barbara Weston
Town Clerk*