

9/19/2006 minutes

Minutes of a Regular Town Board Meeting held by the Town Board of the Town of Riverhead at the Wading River Congregational Church, North Wading River Road, Wading River, New York on Tuesday, September 19, 2006, at 2:00 p.m.

Present:

Philip Cardinale,	Supervisor
Edward Densieski,	Councilman
George Bartunek,	Councilman
Barbara Blass,	Councilwoman
John Dunleavy,	Councilman

Also Present:

Barbara Grattan,	Town Clerk
Dawn Thomas, Esq.,	Town Attorney

Supervisor Cardinale called the meeting to order.

Supervisor Cardinale: "Could you help us-- "

(At this time the Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)

Supervisor Cardinale: "Okay, it's great to be back in Wading River and we have a couple of hearing this evening but we have to start with the approval of the minutes of the September 6th and the August 31st board meeting. Could we have a motion to accept those minutes?"

Councilman Densieski: "So moved."

Councilman Bartunek: "Seconded."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, the minutes are approved."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Could we have the Reports, Barbara, please?"

REPORTS:

Building Department	Monthly report for August, 2006 - total collected was \$170,965.75
---------------------	---

Police Department	Monthly report for July, 2006
-------------------	-------------------------------

9/19/2006 minutes

Vince Taldone

Capital and expense budgets
FY 2006/2007 bus shelters

Barbara Grattan: "That concludes Reports."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Applications, please."

APPLICATIONS:

Special events

Riverhead Foundation for
Marine Research & Preservation
Oct. 22, 2006 - 5K run for the
Ridley

Melissa Gibbons - Oct, 14,
2006 wedding at Hallockville

St. John the Evangelist RC
Church - December 9, 2006 -
craft fair

Fireworks permit Vail-Leavitt Music
Hall -12/31/06 Grangebel Park

Parade permit Bike-a-Thon-Multiple
Sclerosis - 10/1/06

Barbara Grattan: "That concludes Applications."

Supervisor Cardinale: "And Correspondence, please."

CORRESPONDENCE:

Kim Darrow

Letter of resignation as a
member of the town of
Riverhead Conservation
Advisory Committee

Barbara Grattan: "That concludes Correspondence."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Thank you. Any announcements or
committee reports from the board members?"

Councilman Bartunek: "Anybody else? I have a couple of
announcements from some of the committees of the town. The Anti Bias
task force is holding a program on next Monday, the 25th, at the
public library from 6:30 to 8:00. They are presenting somebody whose
grandfather was a member of the Ku Klux Klan. Refreshments to follow.

9/19/2006 minutes

The Anti-Litter committee is having their fall cleanup- town wide cleanup, on October 21st at 9:00. If anybody is interested in helping out, they'll be meeting at the Elks Lodge at 9:00, October 21st. Thank you."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Thank you, George. Okay, it being 7:10, we have hearings set to begin at 7:05."

Public hearing opened: 7:05 p.m.

Supervisor Cardinale: "We can start that hearing which is scheduled for 7:05, consideration of a proposed local law to amend Chapter 101 of the code of Vehicle and Traffic. And if you've got it handy, Dawn, maybe you can summarize what you're doing here."

Dawn Thomas: "The proposed law will extend the no parking zone on Longview Drive on both sides of the road parallel- on the portion of the road that's parallel to the town park and it will remove the parking prohibition on Longview Drive that's currently on both sides from the northerly intersection of Park Road 200 feet easterly."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Okay. Is there anyone who would like to comment upon that proposed change in the law? Okay, if there's no comment, I'll leave it open as I generally do for 10 days for written comment in case somebody wants to comment on a belated thought to Friday- let's see, today is the 19th, 20, 21, it would be the 29th of September. It's 7:10, I'll declare that hearing closed."

Public hearing closed: 7:10 p.m.
Left open for written comment for 10
days to September 29, 2006

Supervisor Cardinale: "And move to open the next hearing and I'd ask that Mr. Wines, Richard Wines, if you're here, would you come on up? These next two hearings I'm told are actually a first. They are joint hearings of two of the town boards, the town board also sitting with the Landmark Commissions Board, Landmark Preservation Commission, to consider two proposed historic districts.

We have just passed a historic district as well a revision of the law affecting same, I guess it was within the last two or three months, and that was in the downtown area. And now we're considering South Jamesport and Jamesport.

Some have suggested Wading River as probably the most distant place we could consider this so- and I appreciate the fact that they think we're that scheming because we have difficulty-- as Barbara was joking with me a moment ago. We have difficulty making sure that we

9/19/2006 minutes

actually get it right for publication on the right time and right place. But because of that, we're going to hold this hearing open to give people enough opportunity to speak tonight and also two weeks from tonight at the usual place, Town Hall, before we close this hearing.

But we've got to open it before we close it. So it's declared open, it's 7:12."

Public hearing opened: 7:12 p.m.

Supervisor Cardinale: "And you want to introduce it perhaps, Richard."

Richard Wines: "Sure. This is the first time (inaudible) hearing with the town board and the Landmarks Preservation Commission which is what's called for under the new— this is what's called for under the new landmarks code.

First of all, the Landmarks Preservation Commission in addition to myself, in the second row sitting here is Gary Jackamin (phonetic), next to him, Nancy Gilbert, Vince Taldone (phonetic), and next to me, Peter Lukas. And in the front row, Stephanie Bail. And we'll be happy to answer any questions that anyone may have in the course of this hearing.

And I do want to join Phil in apologizing that this hearing is here in Wading River. It's not too convenient for those of us from Jamesport. But perhaps this discussion may benefit our neighbors here in Wading River who I understand are considering a historic district in this area and have arranged to have a preliminary meeting at this location next Thursday at 7:30. Do I have that right, Stephanie? Thanks.

The purpose of this hearing is for members of the Landmarks Preservation Commission and the town board to listen to comments from the public and to answer questions. Based on what we hear tonight, the commission will prepare a recommendation for the town board and then the town board will vote on that proposal.

But I thought first it might be useful to review the process that got us to this point. Basically it's been a four year effort, pushed along by members of the Jamesport community at every step and supported by this commission.

We've attempted throughout this process to solicit and listen to input from the community. We really started down the road toward proposals for historic district in Jamesport about four years ago with a note from Ray Saltini who was at that point president of the Jamesport/South Jamesport Civic Association.

9/19/2006 minutes

Then in the summer of 2003, there was the first organizational meeting held in a backyard in South Jamesport. About 20 people were there; they had lots of questions, but they were unanimous in their desire to move forward.

In October of 2003, we had our second organizational meeting in one of the lovely restored houses on S. Jamesport Avenue. And at that meeting we decided to prepare a list of frequently asked questions and make other steps to move forward.

Over the next year, I met personally with a number of people in the community and also with the Aquebogue/Jamesport/Laurel Business Association. They were enthusiastic. After all, historic districts are good for business.

Then working with Diane McCabe, we started mapping where this district might be. We used the survey of Historic Resources in Riverhead prepared way back in 1977 by the Society for the Preservation of Long Island Antiquities and we worked with the Planning Department and located on the map are all of those historic structures. And then we used that map.

We had an exploratory meeting at the Capt. Jedediah Hawkins House thanks to the hospitality of Jeff Hallock and at that point that house was still very much under construction. Those of you who were there may remember. That was well advertised in the papers and posters in the community and promoted by the Civic Association. We had 40 or 50 people there and, again, everyone was unanimous in their desire to move forward a couple of historic districts.

In May of 2005, we had another meeting, had volunteers that raised their hand at that meeting and this was held at the (inaudible) Schoolhouse. We had about 20 or 25 people there and we started drawing lines on the map as to where the boundaries ought to be.

Then that summer, we sent out letters, informal letters, to people who lived within the boundaries of that district to get their comments. And then in March of this year we had a public forum at the community center. This was sponsored by the Jamesport/S. Jamesport Civic Association and it was well advertised in the papers and by posters in the community and again we had a healthy turnout and again everyone was unanimous and supportive of moving forward with the creation of these historic districts.

Meanwhile those of us who were on the Commission were walking the streets of Jamesport inventorying the structures and inviting community representatives to our open meeting. Throughout this process, we got a lot of very good coverage from the local papers—thank you, John, and others.

9/19/2006 minutes

And finally as a result of all this, in July of this year, the Landmarks Preservation Commission passed a resolution requesting the town board set this hearing and to notify property owners in Jamesport about these proposed districts.

And I'm pleased as a result of this process, we have two proposed districts, the Jamesport Hamlet district which contains about 38 historic structures, the oldest of which is the Jamesport Church dating back to 1731, really where Riverhead town began. And some of the oldest— the oldest cemetery in the town and a bunch of 18th century and early 19th century houses.

And then the second district is South Jamesport Historic district which has 78 historic structures. That's over two-thirds of the buildings within the boundaries that we suggested to consider historic. It includes basically the whole area that James Tuttle laid out in the 1830's. It's his would be whaling (inaudible) and which then developed and became a center of commerce and eventually recreation and hotels and has just a wonderful collection of a lot of the original buildings from the whaling era as well as a lot of buildings from the resort area and wonderful bungalows (inaudible).

So with that, I'd like to open the floor for comments from the public and say thank you again for (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Thank you, Richard.

It being 7:19, I'm going to declare open the second hearing."

Public hearing opened: 7:19 p.m.

Supervisor Cardinale: "The first actually on the agenda was the South Jamesport Historic District. The second is the Jamesport Hamlet Historic District. There are maps here attached to the notices in case anybody wants to look at it. It is available up here while you're commenting.

And I would like maybe before we take the initial comment, if you would, Richard, indicate— and I can look at these maps and I'm perfectly happy because my house (inaudible), so it doesn't really affect me. But those people who are within the district in any fashion whether commercial piece or residential piece, would you tell us what substantively the effects would be of an historic district declared covering their property?"

Richard Wines: "Sure. I'd be happy to do that, Phil, and if any other members of the Commission would like to step in, please feel free to. But let's start with the commercial side because one of the reasons we got very strong support from the AJL, the local business

9/19/2006 minutes

organization, is the historic districts tend to be very good for business.

People like coming to shop in historic districts. The same for homeowners. One thing that's happened with historic districts wherever they've been created around the country is they tend to enhance property values. They also help for both the businesses and the residential areas— they help create a sense of place, they help encourage people to restore, maintain, enhance their properties.

Naturally this all comes with some regulations although in Riverhead I have to say, compared to districts like I live in once on Beacon Hill in Boston, the regulations are pretty light. There will be no additional applications or anything like that but if you do live in a historic district, you do own property in a historic district and want to change your structure in some way that would otherwise require a building permit, such as putting an addition on let's say, making major structural changes, then the exterior appearance, as only the parts visible from a public street, would be subject to review by the Landmarks Preservation Commission as part of the building application review process. So I think that's the main (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "(inaudible) so if anyone is interested in picking up a copy of it (inaudible). Well, I guess we'll begin with any comments the public would like to make about either the S. Jamesport proposed historic district or the Jamesport Hamlet proposed historic district. Come on up."

Nick Calabria: "Nick Calabria, Main Road, 1739 Main Road, Jamesport. I see 48 parcels up on the Jamesport section. You mentioned 38 of them as being historic. What's your criteria?"

Richard Wines: "The district includes as you know both the stretch along the Main Road and it also goes down S. Jamesport Avenue as far as the Hawkins house and in that— in that area there are 38 historic houses— 36 I believe, and I believe that's almost all of the primary structures in that area. There are some that are not."

Generally these are buildings that could fall under the Department of Interior criteria. If they're commercially owned, they could qualify for the (inaudible) tax credit. And that means that they have to be at least 50 years old and/or have significant architectural merit."

Nick Calabria: "Okay. So you're saying basically anything 50 years or older is historical in this town?"

Richard Wines: "Could qualify as historic. Yes."

9/19/2006 minutes

Nick Calabria: "Okay, so now in other words, three-quarters of Nassau County would be historic homes according to your criteria."

Richard Wines: "The criteria is a double criteria. It has to be both that age and have— I can give the full definition— but it's either architectural and/or historic significance in the sense that if the style isn't important, perhaps something important happened there."

Nick Calabria: "Have you— this is the first— actually the first I heard of this was the letter sent out on August 24th. Of all the meetings and everybody that you've talked to, us on the Main Road, the general consensus up there, nobody's talked to us, nobody knows anything about. And most of us I feel are not in favor of additional restrictions on our property."

If this goes through, I'm assuming that you're going to make a special district for this area. Are we going to be taxed according to that district?"

Richard Wines: "An historic district has no implications on taxes. There is no special tax or anything like that."

Nick Calabria: "So what's our gain as far as the average taxpayer in that area who has a house that's 50 or 60 years old, that's marginally historical?"

Richard Wines: "As I said, what tends to happen in a historic district, is property values go up for everyone, whether you live in a historic house or not."

Nick Calabria: "(inaudible) anytime we run into a historic area, it's a nightmare. Because basically we have more things to go through, permits, more applications, more processes we go through, and we find also very hard to get people that want to go into these areas because of all the additional requirements being placed upon them. And you're going to have to find a special breed of cat that wants to come into these areas, that want to spend the money and want to put up with the maintenance on these old buildings and (inaudible) the deep pockets to do this stuff."

And I think that in Riverhead today with a lack of housing for young couples and lower income people, we're just putting another wall up to drive younger Riverhead people out when we put a district in with additional restrictions and limit the people that can go in.

If the taxes do go up, if the property values do go up now because of this district which it would be nice but it's also going to mean increased taxes to the homeowners in this area.

9/19/2006 minutes

And basically you want to drag more people into the area, all you have to do is come down there on a Sunday afternoon and sit between Laurel Post Office and the traffic light, wait two hours to try to get past that traffic light.

And I think that, you know, before we deal with dragging more people, we should be dealing with the infrastructure in the town so then we can start moving people around in this town rather than bringing more people in to it.

I think that's more a problem for us on Main Road than worrying about whether we're marginally historic. So, and everybody that I talked to on the east end of town, basically I haven't heard anybody that favors— in favor of this. I would say Manor Lane (inaudible). That's where I am."

Richard Wines: "Thanks for your comment and we'll take it under consideration."

Ray Saltini: "Hi. My name is Ray Saltini and I want to thank many of my neighbors for coming out and once again Wading River for hosting us.

I know from past experience that the Wading River folks get almost as annoyed at having us here as we do at having to drive here. Not because they don't love their neighbors, but because they've got so many issue of their own that they want to hear.

But I think one important note is and I understand the hearing is located at this spot because of the timing of the public notice. I think that's important to know. And I do commend the board for wanting to keep the hearings open so that there's ample opportunity for any others to comment.

I also want to acknowledge the issues presented by the last speaker even though I think they concern mostly the Jamesport Hamlet area as opposed to S. Jamesport. If he had the opportunity and for one reason or another he and his neighbors have not, I think he'd find that the historic district actually helps to alleviate some of these issues that he spoke about and in no way (inaudible).

The historic district will help alleviate some of those issues. There are some that it will have no effect on whatsoever and one of those is traffic. What I would hate to see is a really good idea, I think, and many of my neighbors think for an area that has— that gets blamed for some problems that we all share in Riverhead. We don't just have traffic by the traffic light in Laurel. We have it by the traffic circle in Riverhead and everywhere else in Riverhead.

9/19/2006 minutes

So I would ask that we try to separate some of these issues and consider the proposed districts on their own merit. If you look at these Q & A, they were done— these FAQ's, they were done very thoughtfully and none of them cannot be substantiated. So when it is written in the FAQ that the taxes won't go up, we can substantiate that and we can reference other historic districts where taxes have not been affected by this.

I want a historic district because I believe that it creates a sense of place that is in effect already there. Every one that I've encountered in S. Jamesport has stayed or moved to S. Jamesport because the historic character of it is important to them.

I believe that creating a historic district in S. Jamesport helps us preserve some of the things that are important to us and that we moved to the area for and stayed in the area for.

In Riverhead, there are some folks who will say that we don't have a strict enough law; that maybe we should prevent people from changing their property too much. I don't believe that that's the case. I wouldn't want a law like that. I wouldn't be in favor of a proposal or a district that made it more difficult to do what we need to do.

It's usually not what we want to do but what we need to do for our family because we need to put an extension on our house because our children can't afford to purchase their own home. So I think the historic district will help us value the things that we value. Will not penalize us in any way.

I've been a part of the process that's tried to be as inclusive as we could possibly get it without a budget and I would encourage to tell the folks that have not been able to come (inaudible), to come to the hearing, express their doubt and concern but also if you have not been a part of the process to date, to keep an open mind and to listen to some of the responses that come out of this process.

I have a lot of other things to say but I don't want to take up too much time. So thank you very much."

Jill Kajewski: "My name is Jill Kajewski. I live on Main Road in Jamesport and my house is part of the historic district. I received my first notification in August. I hadn't heard about it before.

I don't really know too much about the district other than it's kind of cool when you drive into one, it's a nice feeling, and really, you know, I'm not opposed to the idea. For me (inaudible)— I called Councilwoman Blass a couple of weeks ago, I had a question that had to

9/19/2006 minutes

do with my property. She forwarded my inquiry to Richard Wines and I never heard back from him.

So there's two points that I need to make and number one, the thing that kind of concerns me about a historic district is that decision making is being taken out of my hands and it seems put into the hands of somebody who is not an elected official. And I just had my first experience with that person who is not an elected official and that would be the person that hasn't called me back yet. So that concerns me. That definitely concerns me.

So I'll just move right on to my inquiry. I'm right in the middle of putting together a deal to put solar panels on my house and I certainly can appreciate that in an historic district, solar panels would be kind of odd I suppose and so I just would like to know if, in fact, this, you know, my area becomes a historic district, will my ability to put solar panels on my house in any way, my decision making ability, be taken away? Will I be able to put the panels up? I don't know who I'm addressing the question to but I need the answer."

Richard Wines: "First of all, let me apologize for not getting back to you. I thought I called-- "

Jill Kajewski: "So you are Richard Wines. Okay."

Richard Wines: "-- I thought I had called everybody who had put in inquiries, but we were away and I may have missed you and I really do try very hard to do that, so I apologize. I'd be happy to talk in more detail later (inaudible). Any other member want to address her question?"

And basically our guidelines are if it's an historic building in the historic district and if it's (inaudible)-- "

Jill Kajewski: "Which it does."

Richard Wines: "Then it would come up for review and the criteria would be does it basically substantially alter the character of the building. And you may be better to answer that than-- "

Jill Kajewski: "Probably. Probably."

Richard Wines: "Then how visible is it from the street?"

Jill Kajewski: "My house is south facing, that's where the sun is so everybody can walk by and be like wow, look at this nice family who is trying to do their part in energy conservation."

9/19/2006 minutes

Richard Wines: "Again, without seeing exactly the design, it's hard to give you a specific answer but those are the criteria that we're required to use."

Jill Kajewski: "Okay, then may I turn to my town government and ask you guys so before this historic district is put into place, if I go and get my panels tomorrow, this is a non-issue. Correct?"

Supervisor Cardinale: "Right. (Inaudible)."

Jill Kajewski: "If my panels are in, my panels are in. So how soon would this district- "

Supervisor Cardinale: (inaudible)

Jill Kajewski: "Oh, yeah, the building permits and all that. So who does that?"

Councilwoman Blass: "Those are good for energy credits (inaudible)."

Jill Kajewski: "So- "

Supervisor Cardinale: "I want to make another point. First of all, the specific question is if a building permit is issued and you begin the construction (inaudible) the building permit issue, you're okay."

Jill Kajewski: "Okay."

Supervisor Cardinale: "You've got to get your building permit issued and commence construction, the more the better. You'd be protected, you'd be grandfathered in."

Jill Kajewski: "Okay."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Secondly, correct me if I'm wrong, Richard, but the commission that will review this which is the Landmark Commission, they'll be contributing houses that would be historic houses or the historic structures, and then non-contributing structures?"

Richard Wines: "Correct."

Supervisor Cardinale: "And contributing- so not all the buildings within the district are going to be historic buildings for starters. Some will be contributing historic, some will be non-contributing historic. Is there a difference in that criteria that you could exemplify?"

9/19/2006 minutes

Jill Kajewski: "My house is 103 years old."

Richard Wines: "I guess the question is maybe more than 50 years old but is it architecturally interesting and are the changes irreversible? I mean it's a series of questions like that. Does it impair the historic quality of the structure if indeed the structure has historic quality. If it doesn't have historic quality and it's 200 years old, we probably aren't going to care about it. It could be a 200 year old building that's lost all its historic interest."

Supervisor Cardinale: "The second thing I want to point out is if you were to make a decision (inaudible) and hard working commission, the Landmarks Commission has been existence for a while, were to make a review that you can't have your solar panels. Ultimately that's an advisory position, is it not under the law (inaudible)."

Richard Wines: "It is and this good team to my left here would be the ultimate arbiter- "

Jill Kajewski: "You would be the appeals process?"

Supervisor Cardinale: "You would have- yeah, that's right, we would have accountability of elected officials if we started haphazardly supporting craziness from the Landmark Commission. So you do have that protection."

Councilman Densieski: "Oh, boy."

Supervisor Cardinale: "I- but I think it's a good question because they are very helpful, the Landmark Commission group. They've been serving for many years and they're not going to get crazy. And if they did, the then sitting town board would serve as your (inaudible). You can't do this and if you do do this you won't be (inaudible) to do this next year. If enough of that happened, you can imagine you'd get a response from your elected officials so you don't have to worry as much about your initial concerns (inaudible), about dealing with unelected officials."

Jill Kajewski: "Okay."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Ultimately (inaudible)."

Jill Kajewski: "Would you be my advocate or would you be their advocate in the appeals process?"

Supervisor Cardinale: "It would depend on the circumstances like any judgment. However, I think the S. Jamesport District is a more homogenous, more defined area than the other, so I'm particularly

9/19/2006 minutes

interested in how the historic district will effect the business community.

It's not unlike however the same issues we had in downtown Riverhead. This is the second set of hearings we've had on historic districts within a commercial and slash residential area.

So I'm generally supportive of historic districts as the board is as evidenced by the fact that they passed one, but I think the Jamesport Hamlet District which is I believe where you're "

Jill Kajewski: "Yes. On Main Road."

Supervisor Cardinale: "-- is more-- has certain unique issues associated with it that the S. Jamesport district does not."

Richard Wines: "And I would also like to invite if we don't get this done before the district might be created and the district does end up including you, and the way we like to work is to invite you to meet with us informally even before you do an application, show us what you want to do and we can talk about ways to make it work. We're trying to be cooperative."

Jill Kajewski: "Okay. (Inaudible)."

Richard Wines: "Thank you for your thoughts. And apologies for not getting back to you."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Okay. If there is any other comment, I'd be glad to take it now. I am going to keep this hearing open through at least October 3rd's meeting to give others an opportunity to speak up and Richard Wines will make himself available, I'm sure. Those questions (inaudible) and answers. Yes, sir."

Mike Kajewski: "Hi. I'm the man lucky enough to be married to Jill, Mike Kajewski, 1676 Main Road. I do have a question. Again, we were a little bit annoyed that it sounded like during this presentation that there was a lot of this notice and stuff. Maybe I haven't been reading the local paper and shame on me, I guess. But our only contact about it has been a letter we received and we really don't know anything about it.

Having had dealings in the town before, there used to be a lot of pro business stuff going on in Jamesport, a lot of pro business stuff that the residents don't necessarily agree with all the time.

So basically what I'm trying to find out, what was the real impetus behind this, what's the benefit to the businesses, and then what's the benefit to us being there other than well our property

9/19/2006 minutes

values may go up. And along that line, you guys said that taxes probably will go up with that also so (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "The— I know that the first— because I attended some of those earlier meetings, the first impetus for an historic district in the Jamesport area was in S. Jamesport. Correct?"

Richard Wines: "That's where we began."

Supervisor Cardinale: "And other than the letter that he alludes to, has there ever been any other notice in regards to the Jamesport Hamlet District about— that was sent out?"

Richard Wines: "We attempted before each of the community meetings to put up public notices in all places, delis, and stores and so forth, as well as put a notice in the newspaper and the Jamesport, S. Jamesport Civic Association to announce it. So we did what we could and I apologize (inaudible)."

Mike Kajewski: "I'm not looking for an apology or anything. I guess what I'm really looking for is an open door somewhere where, you know, we can make contact and actually sit down and talk to somebody about it, I guess you."

Richard Wines: "You're certainly always welcome to call me. I'm listed in the phone book."

Mike Kajewski: "Okay, will do. Thank you very much."

Supervisor Cardinale: "You can come to lunch. Yes, sir."

Albin Zaweski: "Albin Zaweski, Main Road in Jamesport. How will this affect farming?"

Richard Wines: "Not at all."

Albin Zaweski: "Not at all?"

Richard Wines: "Not that I know of at any rate."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Well, first of all, there is no farmland in it."

(Inaudible)

Supervisor Cardinale: "A little bit of a frontage, yeah. (Inaudible)."

Albin Zaweski: "My house number? (Inaudible)."

9/19/2006 minutes

Supervisor Cardinale: "How far back does this go, Richard, off of Main Road?"

Richard Wines: "The lines of the district are about 300 feet back from the Main Road in most places or less."

Albin Zaweski: (Inaudible)

Supervisor Cardinale: "Three hundred feet."

Richard Wines: "Three hundred feet includes the houses that are along the Main Road on the south side and on the north side and that's it."

Albin Zaweski: (Inaudible)

Richard Wines: "Pardon?"

Albin Zaweski: "The school has a boundary- "

Richard Wines: "And then it goes down S. Jamesport Avenue- we have a map here- "

Supervisor Cardinale: "If you look at that, you'll see- that's the map and if you have a blow up- "

Richard Wines: "We do have a blow up. Yes, Gary has one right here."

Supervisor Cardinale: "You have a blow up? All right. Get that on TV. We want Jamesport. Okay. Put it up here. Okay. That's the Jamesport one?"

Albin Zaweski: "My only other thing is (inaudible), I don't know, it seems like I've been there going on 67 years and I never had a problem down there, nothing. But it seems like the older I get, the more problems we're coming up with, and I don't know where they're coming from. It just seems like we're getting too many people telling us what to do and how to do them. And I can't get used to that idea myself.

I think we did a good job down there the time that I've been alive. I don't know what this will do, whether it will be better or worse, I don't know. But I just think that too many people are getting their fingers in the pie here and (inaudible). Thank you."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Thank you."

Richard Wines: "Thank you."

9/19/2006 minutes

Pamela Boyle: "Pamela Boyle, Main Road, Jamesport. And I just have a question. Roads are always being widened, we all know that, and they never stop being widened. And I'm sure at some vague point in the future, someone will decide to widen Route 25. Will that be a protection for Jamesport, for it to be a historic district, to stop any future plans by anyone to widen 25?"

Richard Wines: "Certainly throughout the country residents of historic districts have been able to use that designation to protect their communities. Protect them-- especially to protect their communities and anything that might involve federal funding for instance-- future road widening presumably would. I can't tell you whether it would or not but it's a tool that's often used by people that live in historic communities to protect their quality of life."

Pamela Boyle: "Thank you."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Is there any other comment? We'd be pleased to take it. Yes, sir."

Jim Romanski: "Jim Romanski, 123 S. Jamesport Ave. We live in a historic house and we just moved in there and both Richard and Ray have mentioned that this is a (inaudible), but I think once you have an historic district, it's also a source of pride. And I think with this pride, it also helps to protect what we have out here."

It's been ongoing now that you've been supporting protection of land and the farm community. I think this will only add to it and help it and both can work hand in hand and we can use this as a useful tool to protect what we have and make it even better. Thank you."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Any other comment? If there is no further comment this evening, I'll keep this open to the next board meeting which will be at the town hall at 7:00 p.m., Barbara, 7:00 p.m., October 3rd. And we also will take written comments during that period if anyone (inaudible)."

I also refer you once again to the most commonly asked questions and their answers and Richard Wines will make himself available and the rest of the committee members I'm sure. Do you have a meeting coming up?"

Richard Wines: "We do have a meeting coming up. In fact Peter was just reminding me that anyone who would like to attend our next meeting, it's 4:00 Monday, at town hall, and we'd be delighted to hear your comments. The idea of having this hearing after all is to get everybody's input and do the best job we can."

9/19/2006 minutes

Supervisor Cardinale: "That was September 25th at 4:00 at town hall. Yes?"

(Inaudible comment from Sid Bail)

Supervisor Cardinale: "Thank you. That being the 7:10 hearing on the S. Jamesport proposed district and the 7:15 on the Jamesport proposed district, I will note the adjournment of the hearing at ten of eight."

Public hearings adjourned: 7:50 p.m.

Left open until October 3, 2006

Public hearing opened: 7:50 p.m.

Supervisor Cardinale: "I have the next hearing on the agenda which is the hearing scheduled for 7:20 for consideration of a local law to amend Chapter 108 entitled Zoning of the town code, XLIV, Planned Recreational Park PRP District. Town attorney, Dawn, would you summarize this proposed change or Chris, I know you (inaudible) as well. It's not a particularly complicated change. If you would like to address it? Or if you are shy, I'll address it. Why don't you just read it in anyway, so then we'll take comment once it's read in."

The changes are to the Planned Recreational Park District which was passed incidentally in 1999 and it addresses the accessory use within the golf course sub district and would you read the language, please that is being amended as permitting accessory use."

Dawn Thomas: "Do you want me to read the whole thing because I have to put it in context?"

Supervisor Cardinale: "I have it underlined; I don't know if you do. I'm looking at golf course accessory uses. The language that is being changed is permitting as I read it and correct me if I'm wrong, single family dwelling units either attached or unattached, restricted to provide living accommodations for persons at or over the age of 55 years and only in conjunction with the regulation size 18 hole golf course with the maximum of three dwelling units per hole for a total of 54 homes per golf course."

In addition the new language permits single family dwelling units either unattached or attached, restricted to provide living accommodations for persons at or over age 55 and only in conjunction with the dedication of open space or lands encumbered by conservation easements upon lands contiguous to lands within the newly created golf

9/19/2006 minutes

course sub district at a development yield of one dwelling unit per one acre of dedicated open space or encumbered land.

All dedicated open space or encumbered land shall be made part of the open space sub district in accordance with Section 108-244.

Those are the changes, clarification that it's over 55 only on the golf course housing and the addition of the permitted housing in conjunction with the dedication of open space within a golf course sub district at a development yield of one unit per one acre of dedicated open space.

Can I have some comment, please, from the public? Yes, Sal."

Sal Mastropolo: "Sal Mastropolo, Calverton. I don't know that I totally agree with this, but if you're going to do it, it should be at least one house per two acres since you have two acre zoning in the town of Riverhead. I mean that would be a lot more easy to swallow.

In essence what you're saying is if a guy wants to develop a golf course and he wants to dedicate 100 acres to open space, you're going to allow him to build 100 more houses."

Supervisor Cardinale: "That would appear to be what we're saying, but because this particular district is so complex, Chris, would you- the net result of this as I understand it is to permit as many as 450 to 500 units on how many acres, Chris? Now he can go over that with you- "

Sal Mastropolo: "Okay. But how many acres does a golf course take?"

Supervisor Cardinale: "One hundred and fifty, minimum."

Sal Mastropolo: "Okay. So you're saying on a 700 acre parcel, they're going to put over 500 houses on 500 acres?"

Supervisor Cardinale: "No, no, less- they would put 54 on the golf course as they've always been able to do, over 55 houses, and then they would have the opportunity so long as they put aside, I think it's 280 acres of open space to have as many as 454 on the balance of the acreage."

Sal Mastropolo: "How did you get that? If you put aside 280 acres, you said one house for every acre that you put aside as open space."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Unless Chris can explain it but the effect is not one home per acre. No, it's less. It's 464 homes on 750 acres. Chris, you want to come up and indicate how the statute

9/19/2006 minutes

reads? We're changing one paragraph of a lengthy statute, that's why it's difficult to make it clear. Go ahead."

Chris Kent: "Okay. Maybe I should start with a little background. The concept of the change is this is the western portion of the property. The area that's remaining Planned Recreational Park which is approximately 755 acres on the western portion of the property, the property that's bordering on the pine barrens core which has been recognized as the more environmentally sensitive portion of the property, is not the industrial property. This is only the remaining recreational property.

The concept is this. We're trying to encourage conservation of open space and in order to encourage conservation of open space, we would allow a residential unit to be constructed as accessory to golf, one unit for every one acre dedicated to open space.

And the way it would work on this end of the property is this. In that it's 755 acres, that-- the zoning of that property requires you to designate certain sub districts. I'm not trying to be too technical. Zoning allows six sub districts in that area. So if you wanted to take advantage of this zoning amendment, you have to be designating certain acreage to golf course use. The minimum acreage required for a golf course is 140 acres.

So in that area, if you designated 140 acres to golf course, you would have remaining approximately 600 acres. You could designate probably as much as 450 acres for open space but you are still going to need some areas to develop your housing. So if you set aside 450 acres in open space, you could build 450 units plus the 54 units you would be allowed to build for the golf course, as accessory to the golf course, so you could get a maximum of approximately 504 units.

The reason I think the zoning works is because what we're trying to create on that side of the property is a conservation development concept so that in conjunction with the pine barrens core which is approximately 300 acres and the town park which is going to as much as 93 to 125 acres, we could be left with a nice open space area of approximately 400 acres. We would have then just one golf course and residential accessory housing to the golf course.

The proposed zoning amendment also requires that all of this housing be restricted to people over the age of 55 so that would create a situation-- we have many of those communities already in the town, Saddle Lakes, Sunken Ponds, Riverhead Landing, Silver Village. Those communities-- the idea is that they won't create any children attending our school district so there won't be any major tax impact to provide educational services for these children.

The impact it may have is the need for senior services such as ambulance services, rec centers and the like. And what we built into

9/19/2006 minutes

the negotiation in dealing with the one party who's interested in utilizing this zoning, they would be required for the further cost of constructing an ambulance barn, they would be paying the cost of constructing a recreational center.

So I think the concept of the zoning works. It will create a situation where we have a nice conservation development. It will have 300 acres developed and we'll have over 400 acres preserved in conjunction with the 300 acres in the pine barrens core, and of the 100 in the park, you would have over 700 acres dedicated parkland or conservation and about 300 acres of golf. That's the thinking behind the zoning amendment. And if anybody has any question (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Thank you. Sal, I didn't want to interrupt you but go ahead."

Sal Mastropolo: "Okay. So it sounds like you're going to cluster them and they're going to probably be on one-third acre. Okay. Which I guess is all right. I guess the only concern I would have is I hope the town board takes this into consideration when they set the price on the 755 acres. Because now you're talking about 500 houses, okay, and that land is worth a fortune if somebody is going to put 500 houses on that property. Don't give it away."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yes, sir, and then, Larry, you want to follow this gentleman."

Robert Hall: "Robert Hall from Calverton. Just to inject a note of levity. I don't believe that no children can be generated out of an over 55 community. (Inaudible) and Gloria Vanderbilt. This gets very technical, all of these acreage and numbers.

All I think that I understand is that you're going to allow almost 500 houses on the same acreage that the previous zoning allowed 108 houses. I think that's what it says."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Two hundred sixteen. If you consider 755 acres and you could probably put four golf courses- "

Robert Hall: "But the total number of houses, Mr. Cardinale."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Fifty-four per golf course."

Robert Hall: "The total number of houses are 464 as compared to 108 before- "

(Unidentified) "No, as compared to 216."

Robert Hall: "Well it's still a lot more. Mr. Kent just commented on the builder will build a rec center. Big deal. He would

9/19/2006 minutes

for that many houses. (Inaudible) He's going to build an ambulance center. Who's going to pay for the ambulance? Taxpayers? Who's going to be the people that volunteer for these ambulances? In a 55 and older community, nobody's going to volunteer for that.

So anyway I didn't want to say too much about that. I just want to say that if this goes forward, I hope— and the person that— the organization that appears is going to do the building is (inaudible) Homes. I hope that the town board review the problems that the Southampton town board had with this same organization.

If I may just recap this, from my experience and I researched the Southampton Press. Many people may remember the old Long Island dragway over in Westhampton. It had 66 acres and it was zoned— in the pine barrens, was zoned one home for five acres. It was a nuisance, a major nuisance over there, so they did a PD or PPD, whatever they call it. They changed it, (inaudible) the senior housing, wanted to build 189 houses, senior housing units going from 250 to 350. Okay? Everybody screamed about this because without the zone change, only 12 houses could be built on that area. So he agreed to sell 19 of the condos for less than \$180,00 per unit rather than the market price of \$350,000. Okay.

Sometime between 2002 and 2005 the property changed hands and now (inaudible) owns it. He advertises affordable units at prices of \$350,000. Now the town board has a lot of egg on their face. What are they going to do? People are yelling at them. So (inaudible) tried to negotiate out of this by saying okay, town board, we'll give you several millions of dollars, the value of those 19 homes at \$180,000 and you put that affordable housing— remember, this is not affordable housing for low incomes. This is middle income housing. You find the land— someplace to build this; someplace else to build it. There's no place else to build it. He wants out of it.

So, okay, it sounds very good, but if he sells these units at a market price, he gives the town some millions of dollars, he sells them at market price which by '05 is up to \$500,000 each, he's got many million dollars over what he paid the town.

They finally reached an agreement and in February of '06, the 19 houses are going to be sold at between \$142,000 and \$145,000. The other housing units are now at \$600,000. So I don't trust this (inaudible). He keeps like this to try to wiggle out of it and there's many, many pages in the Southampton Press how the Southampton board had to wiggle to get this thing done the way it was contractually agreed upon. Thank you."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Larry, come on up. I want to make a point here that will help the hearing move along, too. The zone change here for that particular 755 acres, I think-- I know I and I think most members of the board would not-- I don't think this should

9/19/2006 minutes

be considered in the context of the (inaudible) contract. If it's a good idea, it's a good idea. Period. Because the (inaudible) deal may or may not move forward. We do not know what's happening or what will happen with that deal.

The concept as outlined by Chris Kent was simply a 755 acre on the recreational side of the property, about half of the recreational zone, to be developed adjacent to the pine barrens core which would result in basically 1,055 acre, with the 100 acre park, 1,155 acre area, would it be sensible to have that as a conservation subdivision area, where we have as many as 464 homes, ultimately a hotel conference center, and the balance open space which would be 700 acres.

It may or may not. But I do not want to consider this in the context of the (inaudible) deal because the (inaudible) deal could evaporate as quickly as the Wilpon deal.

It's good for the town to do this or it isn't. The hearing is changing language in the zoning, it's not about (inaudible).

Go head, Larry."

Larry Oxman: "Larry Oxman and I am going to talk about the (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "I was afraid- but remember- "

Larry Oxman: "It has to do with the context of the zoning. (Inaudible) has made an offer to the town to purchase the property. Does that offer- the way they would like to purchase it is what they would like to build. Does the current zoning conform to their proposal?"

Supervisor Cardinale: "The current zoning would only accommodate 140 divided by 755. You put five golf courses- you could put 216 or if you really tried, you could put 270- 140 acres for 54 homes is what the zoning (inaudible)."

Larry Oxman: "So the answer is no."

Supervisor Cardinale: "That's correct."

Larry Oxman: "Okay. With the change of zoning, with (inaudible) same offer be allowed to be built? Or not allowed- conform to the change in zoning?"

Supervisor Cardinale: "This offer would be more consistent with their proposal."

9/19/2006 minutes

Larry Oxman: "So in other words, the way that they've made their offer, right now it doesn't conform to zoning. The change that's being proposed would make it conform to zoning."

Supervisor Cardinale: "But in that same context if it's a bad zoning change, nobody on this board should make it. You have to look at the zoning change, not the deal. The deal could be gone tomorrow. That was my point."

Larry Oxman: "All right. They could absolutely pull off Long Island (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "And the market for housing is not as strong as it was- "

Larry Oxman: "That's right. But the point being that the change happens to be consistent with their offer. Just coincidental."

Anyhow, since when did Calverton be looked at through preservation of open space? That was not the issue. The issue was this town was given- I don't live in this town but I pay my taxes through a commercial property than most people probably- 20 people put together. I live in Southampton.

Riverhead was given the gift of the Calverton property to provide jobs, to provide economic-- employment, stability. Open space- you've got your open space. When the deal was cut, you had the airport, you have the core area, the areas around. Since when has all of a sudden this become an open space issue."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Correct. Since I got here in 1998, I looked at the report that was done before that in '96, preliminary to getting the property, the three objectives of that was to establish a firm tax base (inaudible) with the Grumman piece. Establish a tax base, create jobs, and protect the environment."

So the protection of the environment was the core of one of the three things that we're supposed to be doing out there. On the west side of the property- I'm sorry, on the east side of the property, there was going to be as you all know, a 1500 acre park to generate jobs and to generate tax base.

On the west side of the property, there was either going to be recreational development with a stadium and sports parks, or there was going to be this proposal.

So one of the objectives in addition was to protect the environment while creating jobs and establishing the tax base. That was (inaudible) in the report."

9/19/2006 minutes

Larry Oxman: "To protect the environment I believe (inaudible) the density was purposely set for a very low density, somewhere around 15%, coverage I should say.

There were also provisions that in each particular zone where there was a golf district or other districts, they had to set aside open space, so you seem to be varying or proposing to varying from what already took into consideration tremendous amounts of protections of the environment.

Last but not least, I grew up in Nassau County. I'm a newcomer to the east end. I've only lived out here on a year round basis for 25 years. I think that towns like the town of Hempstead would give their front teeth and more if they could have a 500 acre piece of property that they never- that in their wisdom, they put aside and they didn't develop or they developed part of it (inaudible).

The other thing is that I'm not familiar with any type of a housing project with the exception of Robert Moses when he created some of the roads that was town down at a later date. However, you have reuse of commercial property all the time. I grew up not too far from Roosevelt Raceway. It was a good use for many, many years, a recreation use. Today it's something else.

Chances are in 25 years or 50 years it will probably be something else because commercial properties or should I say non-residential properties get reused as things change. It doesn't happen with residential neighborhoods. Historic districts are created; other things are created. It doesn't change from a residential use. If you give it up for residential use, it's forever gone. And it would be a shame.

So this board is entrusted with a tremendous decision and where you want to go will dictate not only for the town of Riverhead but the entire east end. I hope you take a very serious look at this before you (inaudible). Houses can be built anywhere in Riverhead, not anywhere, but they can go into farmland preservation areas if they so choose. You can't put it in an industrial park or a recreational use in the middle of our farm belt but you can built houses and you can build a golf course.

Thank you very much."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Thank you, Larry. Yes, Bill."

Bill Belmonte: "Bill Belmonte, Wading River. I just- a couple of questions on this issue. First of all, from standing here, the first is I've heard of it. It sounds like way too many houses to me. It does. I mean, you know, I know we're trying to get something in there, but 400 units whether it's 55 or older, it just- for some

9/19/2006 minutes

reason, my initial impact on it, is it doesn't seem to make sense. Maybe— examining the zoning maybe it will make sense and you know by talking about it and just open discussion maybe I could be convinced.

The other thing that I would like to ask just in the zoning 55 and over, is there a delineation between occupants or purchasers and are we going— people who purchase. Are we looking at grandparents who might inherit their grandchildren, that would affect the school district. Can they have their grandchildren living with them if there is a catastrophe. So we can't say— I mean I don't know if that's been actually looked at. Would there be restrictions on occupants of the house or just purchasers?"

Supervisor Cardinale: "There are occupant restrictions in all the active 55 communities. Frankly, the active 55's don't want to have the kids."

Bill Belmonte: "I know people don't want to have them— "

Supervisor Cardinale: "But the fact is there are covenants."

Bill Belmonte: "Yeah, okay."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Because otherwise it would break down."

Bill Belmonte: "Right. Sure. You'd break down fairly easily. And I know that the board and the town want something to happen to that property and that the pressure on developing homes for people and open space is real and the desire to do something with the Calverton property is certainly real but I would seriously examine whether 500 additional homes border lining that community with our infrastructure is a good idea."

Supervisor Cardinale: "I would pose this question which this community has got to address. I'm not married to residential but the traffic impact of this community as compared to stadiums, sports track and racetrack, is one of the things I find attractive about the possibility. So, in addition, if I just sell it for open space, you get a few million bucks. If you want to get a return from the property you are either going to do what you've got up there now which will be (inaudible), and if you're going to do that, you better get yourself a (inaudible) to the expressway, or you're going to have a use that does not have those kinds of traffic (inaudible).

But one of the things, the conservation code word that Chris was talking about is as much traffic as it is conservation. If you can help me out. That's why I like the public hearing. Could somebody tell me some good ideas. Come on up, Hal."

Hal Lindstrom: "Yes, I think the zone change is something that's needed and I think we're talking about the (inaudible) deal."

9/19/2006 minutes

It's 464 homes, conference center, a golf course. I think it's a win-win situation. We have like I say 91 million dollars going to the town coffers. We have the tax revenue from those seniors who don't have kids going to the schools. Some of the seniors pay more than some of the (inaudible), people that own houses in this town, so we're going to get that revenue plus the 91 million dollars and I feel there is very little environmental impact by having that there.

The second thing is that this community is going to be so far from the industrial area that it is not going to affect companies coming in here.

The other issue is that, you know, we do have this landfill issue which is eventually going to cost the taxpayers money. We don't know how much right now but it's going to impact our taxes in the future. With this 91 million dollars we may be able to offset the cost of this landfill issue. It's coming up. So I think it's a win-win situation. Thank you."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Thank you. Sid. Mrs. Grattan said she's going to flip the CD, I think. Okay, Sid."

Sid Bail: "All right. Thank you. Sid Bail, President of the Wading River Civic Association. The Wading River Civic does not have a formal position for houses at EPCAL. However, we have a longstanding position of supporting the comprehensive reuse plan. We support the plan's goals.

The supervisor just outlined a moment ago, job creation, generation of tax revenue, stabilization of local taxes (inaudible), and preservation of the quality of life (inaudible).

The reuse plan, besides being a blueprint for the future development, was designed with the flexibility to accommodate changes in the marketplace over time. We've had some changes where we've created some more industrial (inaudible). That's Councilman Densieski's idea and it's a good one.

(Inaudible) at the proposed change of zoning, some claim that the proposed change (inaudible) and (inaudible) zoning is kind of like beauty. It's in the eyes of the beholder because if it's in the public interest, that's usually considered to be legal and that's your difficult task up there as the town board.

Some (inaudible) to housing as not being included on (inaudible) of the EPCAL reuse plan. And that's true. It wasn't but then again we have this golf sub district where we have three units per hole, etc. (Inaudible). Some question the compatibility of housing and other uses (inaudible).

9/19/2006 minutes

I think this is a legitimate question. I think it was years ago (inaudible) that if you allow housing, then people would form civic associations. And, God forbid. But it is a valid concern. You know, (inaudible). I think if we even don't go along with this proposal (inaudible), it seems that people have to understand where they're living, who their neighbors are at EPCAL. So people shouldn't wake up upset in the morning when they see people jumping out of a plane, parachute (inaudible) at EPCAL. It would be an industrial use.

Maybe we have to have an eyes wide open (inaudible).

Some have characterized this as a quick fix and I do agree with Sal that if this is the way to go, it should command a very, very handsome price and although the Supervisor (inaudible), it's really important if the town goes in this direction, it's really important to go with someone who has an economic chops to make this thing happen as opposed to some of the things that happened in the past.

Job creation, yeah, I think jobs there could be job creation with something like that, particularly the building trade (inaudible).

Tax stabilization (inaudible). This might be some reason to consider this.

And another last point I want to make, there may be less impact on the quality of life of people outside of the fence. I'm talking about Calverton, Wading River and even some people to the west in places like Ridge and the Supervisor mentioned you're going to do some of the other uses in this recreation park area, you better have access— new access to the expressway. And I think it's going to be difficult, I really think it's going to be difficult.

And so hopefully the town board will consider all of the ramifications of the proposed change and reject the notion of reducing (inaudible).

Thank you very much."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Thank you. Yes. Come on up, Bill if you have a comment and, sir, please come up."

Bill Belmonte: "In the line with Sid and maybe in the line with your comment, if we're considering changing zoning and we're going to accommodate (inaudible), are we examining— okay we change the zoning, we can attract a different class of buyer. But what if we change the zoning and the deal doesn't happen? The zoning now is in place. Are we going to change it back to the other. Because are we going to attract somebody by having that? I think really that's the question.

So if the purpose of the change is to enhance the development aspect of the property, or the purpose of the change is to attract a

9/19/2006 minutes

specific buyer who's interested in it right now. And that's what I would ask (inaudible).

Supervisor Cardinale: "And thank you. And that was exactly—that was exactly why I said what I said earlier, that we have to look at this without reference to any pending or proposal. It either makes sense generically or it doesn't."

Chris Kent: "We're not changing any of the other (inaudible). This is only the golf course sub district that we're altering. So it leaves it wide open for any other proposal that might be consistent with any of the other zoning sub districts, (inaudible), any of those other ones that would still be on the books (inaudible).

This just modifies— the accessory use (inaudible."

Supervisor Cardinale: "What may also surprise many people, in addition, Chris, stay there just for a sec because I have a question. In addition to the west side— east side of the property where you have the runway, the Burman 500, our new 600, the incubator, that's 1350 in industrial, in addition to that you have this 755 we're talking about, what is left— what is the amount of acreage still left in recreational even if this transaction were accomplished?"

Chris Kent: "Approximately— a little less than 200 acres recreational zoning on the lower southeast corner of the property, but it's too small to accommodate this type of (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "And is there any— "

Chris Kent: "You wouldn't be able to do a golf course sub district (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "So there's an additional 200 acres of recreational left— "

Chris Kent: "Approximately 200."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Isn't there another piece that we're coming in from the Navy eventually at the south part of the property?"

Chris Kent: "That property— the properties that are coming in from the Navy are already zoned. Just because they're owned by the Navy doesn't mean we have zoning in place."

Supervisor Cardinale: "And that's part of that 200 you just mentioned?"

Chris Kent: "No. The parcel that's coming from the Navy (inaudible)."

9/19/2006 minutes

Supervisor Cardinale: "Okay."

Chris Kent: "It's west of the 1,000 foot runway."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Thank you."

Chris Kent: "We also have the 144 acre piece which is up in the northeast corner, but we've already zoned that- "

Supervisor Cardinale: "Office park, yeah. That's part of the 600. Yes, take the next comment, please. Yes, sir."

Stanley Krupski: "Stanley Krupski from Calverton, Route 25, Calverton. Could I get a direct answer (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yes. 464 houses- there would be approximately- 464 houses on 700 acres- 705 acres."

Stanley Krupski: "That's excluding the golf course?"

Supervisor Cardinale: "That's including the golf course."

Stanley Krupski: "Where are you going to (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Four hundred and sixty four houses on 700 acres- 705 acres would be the yield. Part of that 705 acres would be 140 or 50 acres golf course and the balance would be open space. They- I do not believe that they're going to contemplate or anybody would contemplate two acre lots for the balance. They'll probably as someone pointed out, cluster the homes in one area."

Stanley Krupski: "So in other words, people on the outside would have to build their houses on two acres (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yeah. Their zoning will be- they'll have a yield of 464 homes on 700 acres. That's a little better than a two acre yield. But even- a little better for them. I know how to add. It's a little better for them is what I meant. It's a little less than two acres. Okay? But even on two acres, most of the subdivisions that are being approved- in fact there was a cluster where you're building on 30 or 40% of the land, not on two a two acre parcel. So this is not uncommon to do this. But you are correct in saying that this is less restrictive, the proposed zoning, than two acre zoning."

Stanley Krupski: "I own three acres just outside of this area. I couldn't put two houses on it, I could only put one house."

Supervisor Cardinale: "It's two acres, that's correct."

9/19/2006 minutes

Stanley Krupski: "I have three acres."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yeah, then you put one- unless you get a variance, you can only put one house because it's two acre zoning. But there's other areas in town that you can build on one acre and there's other areas in town that you can build on a half acre."

Stanley Krupski: (Inaudible) "You still haven't answered my question. Exactly how much property (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Well, we don't know because we don't know the project that's going to be built there. I do know that you have to have 700 acres to build 464 homes so that would be- if you want to calculate it, it would be like an acre, 1.6 acre per home or 1.7. Can anybody- anybody got a calculator? Four sixty four into 705."

(Inaudible comment)

Supervisor Cardinale: "You could be attached- you could have attached condos or attached- you can have attached units."

Stanley Krupski: "I thought they were homes- houses."

Supervisor Cardinale: "You could have attached houses, you could have attached homeowner association buildings. They do that in the middle of farm fields incidentally, too. Did you ever take a look at the farm fields off Sound Avenue? What's the name of that, Highlands? That's two acre zoning and they've got them connected."

Stanley Krupski: "You're saying open space, an acre open space each house (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "No. We'd get their taxes."

Stanley Krupski: (Inaudible)

Supervisor Cardinale: "You will get- you would tax the parcel upon which the house is built. And I don't think we'd lose any taxes. Chris, you want to address that? You're not the Assessor, the Assessor isn't here. But the impact- the taxes would be considerable coming in from this project. You want to- if you would like to address that directly you might be able to do a better job."

Chris Kent: "A couple of things. One that I can relate, there was a project built (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yes."

9/19/2006 minutes

Chris Kent: "It was about 135 acres, not even 135, 128 acres (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Where County Executive Gaffney recently moved in as a matter of fact."

Chris Kent: "Youngs Avenue and Roanoke— Reeves Avenue— Reeves Avenue and Roanoke. So you can take a look (inaudible). As far as the taxes goes (inaudible). And the way it works is although your property is on a very small lot, you're being taxed on all the amenities of your homeowner's association. So just like they do in condominiums, you don't even own the land. You just have your unit just to the wall, you're taxed based on the development of your community. So if you live in a very nice condominium community, you're paying the taxes for the entire parcel."

So if you have a— well, let's be realistic. If you have a 60 acre condominium complex and there's 200 units which is kind of about the standard rate of units, (inaudible), you're paying for all of the open space. It's built into your tax base for each individual unit."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Thank you."

Chris Kent: (Inaudible)

Supervisor Cardinale: "I get it. Okay."

Chris Kent: "Just also— somebody said something about the condos in Southampton. I think he said it was 60 acres, 189 units. We're talking here 705 acres and (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Which I'm told is 1.65 per acre."

Chris Kent: "For instance, the Saddle Lakes, Sunken Ponds, those communities— "

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yeah, right. 1.65 acres per unit."

Chris Kent: "Sunken Ponds and Saddle Lakes combined have close to 400 units and it's built on about (inaudible) acres, just to give you some comparison."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Thank you."

Stanley Krupski: "One other thing (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Active adults, right."

Stanley Krupski: (Inaudible)

9/19/2006 minutes

Supervisor Cardinale: "It's in a different area. It's to the southwest- southeast side of the property. Yeah."

Stanley Krupski: (Inaudible)

Supervisor Cardinale: "Thank you."

Sal Mastropolo: "Phil, one last comment."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Sure."

Sal Mastropolo: "Can we make sure we exclude condos in that?"

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yes."

Sal Mastropolo: "And limit them to single family residence."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yes. That would be done by agreement with, yeah, (inaudible). Thank you. Yes."

(Inaudible)

Supervisor Cardinale: "You can't do it in the law. You can do it on the sale document."

Gordon Danby: "Gordon Danby (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Thank you. Somebody was recommending we land bank the land. We've been doing that by mistake so I think that sounds more attractive to me. I could turn my attention to other things such as the landfill and downtown."

Ed Purcell: "Ed Purcell, East Main Street. I don't know of any housing development, whether it's 55 or over or under that has been a positive tax base to any township, whether it's Foxwood- you know, unless you're going to have a casino along with it, something like that, I don't know of any that's positive. Nothing I've ever heard of. It's always been a negative tax- it costs more for the people of Riverhead."

Number two, no matter what you say, 55 and older, those people- you say it's going to be too far from the other area. I've heard people- I see people come up to the town board and it can be a mile and a half away, and they'll complain about this noise, that noise, this smell, that smell, and any housing development you put anywhere (inaudible). That's why I've heard on the radio the past few days when they were talking about the other proposal which was about \$15 million dollars (inaudible)-- "

Supervisor Cardinale: "Sports park, yeah."

9/19/2006 minutes

Ed Purcell: "Sports park, people would complain about the noise from the race track that may or may not (inaudible). And these people are nowhere near within the fence. So no matter what you put there, housing, they will complain."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Thank you. Okay. Then if there's another comment, I'll take it. Larry, did you have a comment? Anybody, please come forward."

Larry Oxman: "Larry Oxman. Chris Kent had mentioned (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Except Stoneleigh which is going in right between."

Larry Oxman: "That was done—"

Supervisor Cardinale: "That was done under the new zoning."

Larry Oxman: "It's not going to have that kind of density."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Well it's going to but it's going to use development rights."

Larry Oxman: "Preserving open space elsewhere."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Right."

Larry Oxman: "And I think if you read the current zoning with regard to golf courses, generally they're taken out of the equation, that you can't double dip so to speak (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "That was a good change made several years back."

Larry Oxman: "But that's what you're kind of referring to here (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "But yeah, but we're not changing that. That's in the law and has been since '99."

Larry Oxman: "I think that the— (inaudible). Yesterday there was a meeting of the AIA, I guess that's the American Institute of Architecture in Riverhead. I was very disappointed that nobody from the town board was there. It was a full day, the afternoon and then on into the evening."

If you're going to entertain this type of use, this is an old idea. This is an old way of (inaudible). There was a very esteemed guest lecturer at the meeting yesterday (inaudible). A working class

9/19/2006 minutes

community. They're doing it there. How they built on very small lots, walkability, they have commercial development, office development (inaudible). Housing that was meant for young children, young adults, to be able to afford (inaudible).

These are the new ideas that planners have. You want to change direction and start to talk about housing, then you really need direction from some national firms that can come in and give you a much more of a— kind of like a master plan on the entire property (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Okay. Is there any other comment? Mike, would you like to comment?"

Mike Spindler: "Mike Spindler, Calverton. I'm kind of confused about this whole thing, the zoning, the acreage, and all that. I'm also confused about some of the things I heard here tonight.

There are residential communities in the town of Southampton and East Hampton. There's no real industrial areas. There's no Calverton. There's not much of that in Southampton and their taxes in Southampton village— they just had a reassessment. The average taxes prior to the reassessment are really not that great. I mean they weren't that expensive, pretty leveled off. It wasn't really that expensive. (Inaudible)

A gentleman said to me he never saw an area (inaudible). The fact of the matter is a lot of the homes like the senior citizens and senior homes where they don't put kids in the school. Your taxes go up when kids are in school. (Inaudible)

Hire a couple more cops or doing a park here and there, you know, is not going to drive your taxes up. I don't believe.

The other thing that I observed here tonight, people were referring to the town of Hempstead, Nassau County. I've lived out here all my life. I'm not looking to Hempstead. I'm not looking anywhere that way for a new vision. I like this gentleman's idea of looking at Daniel's Island, communities like it.

I came here tonight thinking, oh, this (inaudible). I did like projects that have been proposed prior— Palm Beach Polo was one of the first proposals for this property. I went to (inaudible). If you are ever in the Palm Beach area, go to (inaudible), Florida. Five acre mini-ranches, high end homes. Those people— you're not going to make money off of (inaudible). You go to Palm Beach Polo, you're going to get a job— you're going to get a job in Southampton with traffic backed up five miles, trying to go to Southampton, still smokestacks out there.

9/19/2006 minutes

There's retirement homes and resort communities. I believe in (inaudible) approach to Calverton. It sounds bad, housing at EPCAL, housing at EPCAL. There's 1300 acres, the largest industrial park on Long Island."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Three times the size of the largest."

Mike Spindler: "Three times the size of the largest industrial park on Long Island. How much more do you have? I just don't understand it. I've been involved in this (inaudible). They were talking 108 houses for Palm Beach Polo, a drop in the bucket. (Inaudible). There are four stables, look like mansions. You would have had your Main Street by some (inaudible).

Anyway, let me read this letter here.

I am speaking here tonight in partial support (inaudible), for the age restricted accessory housing zone change being proposed for the planned recreation park subdistrict at EPCAL. Let me make it perfectly clear that I only support the restricted housing and would oppose any type of housing that would place any additional burden on our schools or school taxes.

In determining whether to support a particular proposal at EPCAL, I try to answer the following question. (Inaudible) I ask myself questions (inaudible).

To me, and I didn't hear anybody mention this tonight, as a veteran I can't believe it, to me, the most important question regarding the development at EPCAL— I heard mention a racetrack and noise— will a particular proposed zone change have an adverse effect on the service and activities conducted at the Calverton National Cemetery located directly across the street. Nobody even mentioned that. That cemetery is the largest national cemetery in the United States. Would you put a drag strip, a racetrack, an incinerator outside the gates of Arlington National Cemetery? It's a disgrace.

I believe additional land was recently purchased by the government to expand this facility. Being a veteran, this cemetery is sacred ground and it should be to all of us. No proposal, housing or otherwise, should have a negative impact on the activities there, be it traffic, noise or otherwise.

One would never create a nuisance of any kind in the vicinity of Arlington National Cemetery, Mr. Rushmore, Yosemite National Park or any sacred ground.

I respectfully ask that you now allow this to be done here.

9/19/2006 minutes

In my opinion, the age restricted senior housing does not negatively impact activities or overall atmosphere of Calverton National Cemetery.

The other question I ask. Will our residential tax bills go up or down as a result of this zoning being approved? Again, the zoning has to be looked at (inaudible). With an age restriction on the housing being proposed, the largest part of your bill, the school tax, will not increase as a result. I don't believe it will.

What effect will this zone change have on the rest of the site? And this is important. In my opinion, I believe this, a small component of high end residential exclusive housing at EPCAL, will have a positive or calming effect on the rest of the site, in that senior housing and open space golf and possibly equestrian facilities— I'd like to see that— would have a positive effect and not pose a threat to high tech businesses and modern progressive companies opportunities wishing to relocate to a stable environmentally friendly facility at Calverton.

A gentleman, Mr. Oxman, was referring I believe to something along those lines, more progressive (inaudible).

What about job creation? Stabilize the property and calm the waters in the PRP. The PRP is littered with things, you know, you put certain things out there. You're going to hear it here and then they're going to complaint about it. That's the way it is. These people have a daunting past, they've been dealing with it for years. They're trying to please everybody, they're not going to be able to do it. I can tell you, if you put some things out there like you what, good luck. It's going to calm the waters.

What about job creation? (Inaudible). Stabilize the property and calm the waters in the PRP district and I believe you'll get companies that will provide the types of high tech job opportunities we're hoping for in the office and light industrial district. It will be (inaudible) approach (inaudible).

What about compatibility with aviation at Calverton? It's very important also. I advocate use of that 10,000 foot runway properly. High tech jobs and mean high end companies. These high end companies often have corporate jets that could use the runway. I've always advocated private exclusive corporate jet use of that runway. Business jets arrive and depart the area quickly. That is good. I work in airports; I'm very familiar with that.

With the implementation of some simple airpark rules, nothing complicated, nothing (inaudible), I believe senior housing and high end jet aviation is very compatible. As a matter of fact, Palm Beach

9/19/2006 minutes

Polo I was told wanted to use that runway (inaudible). They have a means to use that runway.

What effect will this zone change have on area (inaudible)? Compared to large sports or entertainment complexes that often create what I call (inaudible), I believe senior housing will have very little impact on traffic. Also senior facilities often provide and we can encourage them to provide mass transit for the residents reducing traffic even further.

Is noise an issue? I'll be about two more minutes. Noise is a serious concern and should be prevented to the greatest extent possible with any proposal. Obviously age restricted housing does not generate noise and it's not an issue; it's not a problem.

What about quality of life and property values for those residing in close proximity to the area? I believe anything that will create noise, traffic, increased taxes, overpopulate our schools and or degrade our quality will lower property values (inaudible).

I cannot see how this zone change will lower property values of people surrounding the area.

But I guess the bottom line is there is more to this issue than I have time for tonight and I'm sure you want to hear. In closing, maybe the real question is for those who don't want any housing at EPCAL. What do they want to do there that no one could live there? What do you want to do there that 100 people can live there? That runway is 10,000 feet long. It's two miles. That facility (inaudible) is two miles from the light industrial park. People could work there, but they couldn't live there?

Isn't it widely known that there are already people living right across the street north of EPCAL off Kay Road and Wading River Road in Timber Park in homes that are worth hundreds of thousands of dollars? This is not (inaudible). And pay tens of thousands in taxes.

In reality, it's already done. Folks should stop playing semantics and causing controversy where there really is none. There's already housing at EPCAL. Just right outside the fence and right across the street."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Is there any further comment? Ed, I think you wanted to say a few words."

Councilman Densieski: "Yes, Phil. As I listened to the speakers, I made eight or nine points I'd like to read into the record."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Sure."

9/19/2006 minutes

Councilman Densieski: "In my opinion 500 houses is not part of an environmental conservation area, no matter how much land you set aside. Five hundred houses is not an accessory use. It's a main use, it is the main use. The proposal that is at EPCAL now for the rec center and the ambulance barn, that's going to be built by the developer and paid back by you, the taxpayers. Does anybody think that's a good idea?"

Right now you could have 216 houses are permitted with four golf courses. Do you know what four golf course would do to the east end of Long Island? It would put most of the golf courses out of business and then they also would be building homes. Does anybody think that's a good idea?"

Somebody brought up spot zoning. Is this spot zoning? I think the town board should ask itself is this spot zoning?"

Six. We don't need open space in this area. The taxpayers have already given the pine barrens 300 acres of property inside the fence. The rest of the property was for regional economic development.

As the Supervisor alluded to the HR&A reuse studies, housing is ill advised.

The Supervisor says that the three main issues, tax base, jobs, and protecting the environment. Does anybody think that 500 houses actually does that? I don't.

I'm concerned as one of the other speakers said, that we're having a fire sale at EPCAL to pay for the problems we created on Youngs Avenue landfill.

And my last point is I don't mind having an industrial park three times bigger and hopefully three times better than anybody else. I'm all for it. That's it."

Supervisor Cardinale: "John, did you have a comment you wanted to state?"

Councilman Dunleavy: "I just want— a couple comments. And like I said before, I don't know how I'm going to vote. I haven't seen any plans of what's going where. I just want to comment on some comments that were made.

A gentleman in the back said that nothing good comes out of 55 and over housing. You hear negatives about it. And I don't know that any negatives have been said about any 55 over houses in the town of Riverhead. Condominiums pay 40% of the residential housing taxes. They do not use all the services.

9/19/2006 minutes

He mentioned Foxwood and I live in Foxwood. We pay over \$1,000,000 in taxes a year combined with all the residents in there. We don't have one child going to our school district. The ambulance may come in there three or four times a month. The police department, they're in there with the ambulance. So we don't have much crime in our community. So I don't know how much of a tax base we're using compared to what we're putting into the community. For every residential house that's built that's not 55 and over, there's 2.5 children that enter the school district. So if you take 2.5 children with the average tax of \$10,000 per house and the average cost of a child going to school over \$9,000, your school district is already in the red as far as residential houses are concerned.

We're buying open space out here. Everybody wants open space. Open space takes tax dollars away from the municipality, the fire department, and everybody. You have to balance where this can bring more tax dollars into the town of Riverhead. If you put 454 houses in EPCAL at an average tax of \$8,000, you're going to bring in \$3,600,000 in new taxes that we are spending for open space, not counting the taxes you're going to get on the convention center and the golf course tax. That's just on residential homes in there that are 55 and over.

So you have to look— and when I ran for office, I didn't want housing at EPCAL. But when I'm in office, you have to look at where's the economics in this. Are we going to raise my taxes up more to pay for industry that we're going to have to wait 15-20 years to bring in to Calverton?

And, yes, there's going to be covenants on these houses with (inaudible) that everybody that sells a house they know that the industrial section is there and that we're going to have industry. Industrial section is back there now. We have— and I don't know if anybody knows this, we have the largest, in Nassau and Suffolk County, the largest indoor athletic field in EPCAL. That's in there, indoor athletic field. The largest in Nassau and Suffolk County.

So you have to look at the tax and you have to balance and you have to say where is our taxes going? We want open space but the fire departments are yelling at us that every time we buy open space we're taking money away from the fire district. We have to give the fire district back some money that we're taking away and so this is a balance.

So before anyone jumps on we don't want no housing, 55 and over are no good for our community, look at the tax base. We have to bring in taxes. And that's all I'm saying. I don't know how I'm going to vote. I haven't seen a drawing of this yet. But I mean you have to look at the full picture for the town of Riverhead and see where we can help the town of Riverhead. Thank you."

9/19/2006 minutes

Supervisor Cardinale: "Thank you."

(Inaudible comment)

Supervisor Cardinale: "Some of the .5 students were his kids. Yeah, was there a final comment somewhere?"

Hal Lindstrom: "Yeah, can I say one last thing? Can you hear me? The other thing is with this senior housing on the EPCAL property, it helps to keep out the nuisance projects, you know, like racetracks and all the other stuff. They won't want to come into that property. I think that was the case with the (inaudible) deal. I think they didn't want the (inaudible) deal to go through because of, you know, these other projects that want to come in here, the nuisance projects."

Supervisor Cardinale: "The Wilpon deal you're referring to?"

Hal Lindstrom: "Yeah, the Wilpon deal. But, you know, there was mention of this was a buyer sale. I remember when the Burman deal came up, you know, when Vinny was running, and said, you know, it was giving the EPCAL property away at \$17 million dollars, but as soon as the previous administration came in, they sold that land for the same amount, \$17 million dollars, not a penny more. So I don't buy what someone said it's a buyer sale."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Thank you. Any other comments? Okay, I'm going to leave this hearing open for written comments through September 29. I want to thank anyone who has participated. We need your help, we need your insights. And we'll do some thinking on what you've said and hopefully you'll write and tell us more."

Public hearing closed: 9:00 p.m.
Left open for written comment for
10 days to September 29, 2006

Public hearing opened: 9:03 p.m.

Supervisor Cardinale: "We have a final hearing which is again on one of my favorite topics for the consideration of a local law amending Chapter 58 entitled Dogs. Could you tell us what weighty matters this deals with?"

Dawn Thomas: "Yes. This is far less controversial- "

Supervisor Cardinale: "I'm pleased to hear that."

9/19/2006 minutes

Dawn Thomas: "This local law proposes amending the Chapter 58 of our town code entitled Dogs to increase the adoption fees from \$10 to \$50 and also to remove the \$15 charge for rabies vaccination."

Supervisor Cardinale: "So we're going to charge them fifty bucks to adopt a dog but we give them all the shots, right?"

Dawn Thomas: "That's correct."

Supervisor Cardinale: "So you get a healthy dog. Well, a shot up dog. Yes, go ahead. We don't guarantee health."

Connie Farr: "First of all, it's not \$10 (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "So it's going from 30 to 50. Oh, that's reasonable."

Connie Farr: "And just if anyone's interested (inaudible). They have to do rabies, they have to do (inaudible), and they do other tests (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "The dog is free, the shots are costly."

Connie Farr: "So I think it's a really good idea (inaudible)."

(Inaudible comment)

Connie Farr: "Oh, yeah, I think for a town shelter but also don't forget about that recreation area in Grummans. It would be a very nice animal facility (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Thank you. Any other comment- any comments in regard to what- the proposal for \$50 and all the shots takes this dog. Yes."

Councilman Dunleavy: "I do have one comment. This \$50 includes all the shots. It also includes and we were talking about this last night at the animal committee, a \$10.50 fee to put a chip in the dog so this way our animal shelter, if they get that dog back, can follow that dog wherever it goes and we're only going to put the chips in the dogs that are adopted. So this would include also that \$10.50 to put that identification chip in the dog so it could be tracked where it goes (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Can I ask you a question? Why- I thought I was getting rid of the dog and I give it back, why do I want to track it? I don't care where it goes. As long as it's out of my house, I'm a happy man. Oh, but I can find him. I mean maybe his owner can find him. I don't want to find him. Go ahead."

9/19/2006 minutes

Sal Mastropolo: "If you do the math, you're lowering the price."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Okay, how did that happen?"

Sal Mastropolo: "Well, \$10.50 for the chip; \$15.00 and change for the shots, right, and \$30 that you were getting, right, that's 57 and change."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Well, see, Ed asked the right question then, is it enough."

Sal Mastropolo: "Now you're going down to 50. It sounds like you should go up."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Could you explain that?"

Councilman Dunleavy: "We never put the chip in. What happens is it costs \$20 for the five shots, there's a four in one shot and a rabies shot. And \$10.50- to put the chip in and a \$5.00 licensing fee. So the town will be \$10.00 ahead."

Supervisor Cardinale: "This may be the only profit (inaudible) we have."

Sal Mastropolo: "What about the cost to run the shelter? What about the cost to run the shelter? There's overhead to running the shelter and the dog warden and stuff."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Have you seen our shelter?"

Sal Mastropolo: "That's part of the problem."

Councilman Dunleavy: "A \$50 adoption fee is not going to pay for running the shelter. That is a town function to take care of the animals, the strays that we have in the town. You can't just throw these dogs away."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Why don't they call the dog pound the pound, you know, because that's a big issue on your approach, shelter/pound, pound/shelter. What is- can someone tell my truly, why is do they call the pound a pound? Do they pound the dogs or something? Oh, they're impounded. I get it. Impounded. That means like they're under arrest."

Sal Mastropolo: "I guess my point is if you're going to make the change why don't you go at least up to a reasonable number like 60 or 65 and you'll still be cheaper than the surrounding towns. You're given them more value. You're giving them the chip and the shots."

9/19/2006 minutes

Supervisor Cardinale: "Let's consider this while we're considering more weighty matters. All right. Is there any other comment? If not, I'm going to leave this open until September 29th in case somebody is inclined to write a poem or something."

Public hearing closed: 9:05 p.m.
Left open for written comment for 10
days until September 29, 2006

Supervisor Cardinale: "We have now completed the hearings except, oh, no, we haven't. Actually there was a hearing continued from September 6th for the consideration of a local law amending Chapter 107 entitled Freshwater Wetlands. We're going to adjourn that to October 17th so it can catch up with a related law that will be hearing that night. So I want to put that on the record."

I can now move with your cooperation to the CDA resolutions 9 and 10 and then the town board resolutions which are 30 in number. Could you come up, Andrea, please."

Town Board meeting adjourned: 9:08 p.m.

Town Board meeting reconvened: 9:15 p.m.

Supervisor Cardinale: "Reconvene the meeting of the town board and ask Barbara to call for us the 30 resolutions beginning with Resolution #855."

Resolution #855

Councilman Densieski: "Approves the site plan of Browning Hotel Properties, Inc. So moved."

Councilman Dunleavy: "And seconded."

Supervisor Cardinale: "All right. Moved and seconded. But I wanted to note, Barbara, that there was an added #16 right after the 15 that is in the one that the board has. That reads, and I'll send it over to you, that no building permit shall issue prior to merger of the two lots. Because that had been not mentioned."

(Some inaudible discussion among the Board members)

Supervisor Cardinale: "Well, yeah, what they did is- yeah, put it in as 17 because she redrafted it and left it at 16. But put it in at 17, that no building permit shall issue prior to merger of the two lots. With that addition, can we have a vote? I'll send it down to you. There you go. Anything else you wanted to note?"

9/19/2006 minutes

Councilman Bartunek: "Rick, you want to add to- "

Supervisor Cardinale: "It's on 16 on that one."

Councilman Bartunek: "-- you want to add to (inaudible) that irrigation will be provided by a private well separate from the (inaudible). We didn't put that into the (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "That's correct. You remember we discussed that at the work session."

Councilman Bartunek: (Inaudible)

Rick Hanley: "We could either make it a condition or when Dawn gets the covenants, make sure that that's promised. It might be better that way."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Well we have the covenants that we're going to have recorded."

Rick Hanley: "You have conditions there?"

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yes."

Rich Hanley: "What we do now is we ask the applicant to provide us standard covenants that take all the conditions into account. So I think what we would do is have them covenant that they would do that."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Well why don't we put that in the conditions?"

Rick Hanley: "We could do both."

Supervisor Cardinale: "So it shows up in the covenant. All right, we'll add #18 or add onto #10 the language that George- what is that again?"

Councilman Bartunek: "Irrigation by private well."

Rick Hanley: "That all irrigation on site would be by private--"

Supervisor Cardinale: "Private well."

Councilwoman Blass: "I didn't see the reference to the fact that we are giving relief for the percentage of landscaping initially- "

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yeah, that's good point."

9/19/2006 minutes

Rick Hanley: "Well, it's on the plan. We have many (inaudible) granted on the plan. There's landscaping that was in the report as well as floor area so- "

Councilwoman Blass: "So we don't want to acknowledge that we're actually- "

Rick Hanley: "We have the authority through the TDR ordinance to relieve those."

Councilwoman Blass: "We don't want to acknowledge that in a resolution, saying it's (inaudible). Okay, I'm just raising it."

Supervisor Cardinale: "I think for reasons we've discussed and she's not aware of, it's a good idea to state that in the- as an addition, that variances are granted as per the plan because I found out this afternoon (inaudible)."

Rick Hanley: "Right. So you would like in every instance where we are importing development rights, we don't necessarily just insure that it's in conformance with the zoning use schedule, make some reference to the relief granted as well as each and every one and what percentage relief we're granting."

Supervisor Cardinale: "It's probably a work for you but it- "

Rick Hanley: "No, I'm not- "

Supervisor Cardinale: "-- but I think it would be a good plan to do in the future so that there's no doubt that the board knows that we're relaxing the- pursuant to the section of law we pass, (inaudible) development rights, that we'd relax these standards in this manner and that will avoid the problem you and I discussed today. I knew we did three but I didn't know we had done the four. Thank you.

So variances- what you should add there is variances granted as per site plan.

Oh, yes, I forgot, I'm very sorry. Why don't we break just for a second. Before we- generally we split the comments on the resolutions and then comments on the general comments. So I didn't take comments on the resolutions. If anyone wanted to comment, I wanted to give them the opportunity on the resolutions. Yes? Okay, finish this vote and then we'll give anybody the opportunity."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski."

9/19/2006 minutes

Councilman Densieski: "Yeah. I just want to thank Mr. Browning. This has been a long time coming. I've been to his other projects, they're absolutely beautiful. I welcome him to Riverhead because I know he's going to do a nice job. We're going to charge him a lot of money in taxes, appreciate that.

But this really has been a long process for you and I'm going to welcome you and I vote yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Cardinale."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yeah. I share that sentiment. I vote yes and I want to note for the public who may not be aware, this is on Route 58, just west of the expressway across from Tanger and will be a Hilton Garden Hotel and eventually with the use of transfer of development rights, Marriot Courtyard-- a Marriot Residence Inn. So we're looking forward to that for a total of 254 rooms.

Right. Is there anyone who would like to make a comment on any one of the resolutions that we've looked at and those that we're about to look at? Because I did neglect this. Thank you. If not, I'll continue and I'll open it up for general comment as soon as we finish the resolutions."

Resolution #856

Councilman Dunleavy: "Recreation fund budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilwoman Bartunek: "Seconded."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yes. Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #857

Councilman Bartunek: "General fund budget adjustment Resolution 857. So moved."

Councilwoman Blass: "Seconded."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #858

9/19/2006 minutes

Councilwoman Blass: "Budget adoption ratifying John Kujawski & Son development rights. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Bartunek, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #859

Councilman Densieski: "Town of Riverhead sewer district budget adjustment. So moved."

Councilman Bartunek: "And seconded."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski; yes; Cardinale, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #860

Councilman Bartunek: "Peconic Avenue pedestrian crossing project budget adoption. So moved."

Councilwoman Blass: "And seconded."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale."

Supervisor Cardinale: "I wanted to note that this Sunday for the first time in a long time I walked along the riverfront which is really very long now, it gets me my exercise, it's 3,000 feet. And then I crossed over into Grangebél, almost got killed.

And one of the problems we've always had is connection those two parks and this particular budget adoption will attempt to do that. It's a result of a Suffolk County grant for \$50,000 to try and construct a pedestrian crossing with a controlled light at that intersection. We can connect Grangebél which is a really nice park-- aside from the people you meet there- but it's a really nice park and if we can get it connected to parking on the other side, we might actually get it used.

So I'm going to vote yes and I'm please to receive that money from the county."

9/19/2006 minutes

Barbara Grattan: "The resolution is adopted."
Resolution #861

Councilwoman Blass: "Amends Resolution #820 approving the Chapter 90 application of the Peconic Bay Region of the AACA (Antique Car Show). So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, abstain; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #862

Councilman Densieski: "Discussion. Does that really say social security office or is it just a security office? So moved."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yeah, let's- "

Councilman Densieski: "I find it hard to believe that the social security office has moved to Maidstone."

Supervisor Cardinale: "It may be- I'm thinking- yeah, it's East Main Street Associates. It might be that it actually is. Anybody know?"

Councilman Dunleavy: "It's 518 East Main Street. So it has to be the social security office."

Supervisor Cardinale: "It is actually the social security-- fine. So it is correct."

Councilman Densieski: "Authorizes the release for a bond for Maidstone Development for the social security office. So moved."

Councilman Dunleavy: "And seconded."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #863

9/19/2006 minutes

Councilman Dunleavy: "Accepts 5% bond of Lee Browning Sr. - Browning Hotel Properties LLC. So moved."

Councilman Bartunek: "And seconded."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski."

Councilman Densieski: "See, we're already getting money out of them. Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.) "Cardinale, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #864

Councilman Bartunek: "Appoints member to the Industrial Development Agency. So moved."

Councilwoman Blass: "And seconded."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy."

Councilman Dunleavy: "Yes. And I want to welcome Bill Belmonte to this-- he's a very good person and they do a good job. So, welcome. Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Bartunek, yes; Blass."

Councilwoman Blass: "Yes, Bill, and thanks for (inaudible)."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Densieski."

Councilman Densieski: "I was going to vote for Bill but after I heard him talk at the public hearing, I want to nominate him for Chairman. Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Cardinale."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yes and welcome aboard, Bill. I'm sure you'll be a great addition to the board. And thank you for being willing."

Barbara Grattan: "The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #865

9/19/2006 minutes

Councilwoman Blass: "Ratifies the attendance of Karin Gluth at a GIS course. So moved."

Councilman Dunleavy "And seconded. I just want to say this course, she already took the course and she passed it so we're paying for the course."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Okay. Moved and seconded. That's a yes vote."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #866

Councilman Dunleavy: "Appoints a part time recreation leader to the Riverhead Recreation Department. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #867

Councilman Densieski: "Authorizes the Supervisor to execute an agreement setting the terms of employment with a department head. So moved."

Councilman Bartunek: "I'll second that and we want a change in the first Resolve there that we're going to remove the word attached."

Councilman Dunleavy: "It is attached, John."

Supervisor Cardinale: "We'll be discussing it as well Thursday."

Councilman Bartunek: "And seconded."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski."

Councilman Densieski: "Yes. As George points out, this wasn't really attached. We did receive it tonight. Supervisor— this is timely, we have to vote on it tonight. The Supervisor told me he's

9/19/2006 minutes

going to review it, it will be discussed on Thursday but we did not get to read the contract. I'll vote yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Cardinale."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yes. I vote yes and that's accurate, we just got it from the counsel so we'll look at it Thursday together."

Barbara Grattan: "The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #868

Councilman Bartunek: "Accepts a resignation of cashier, Lisa Darrow. So moved."

Councilman Dunleavy: "And seconded."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass."

Councilwoman Blass: "Yes. I'd like to thank Lisa (inaudible)."

Councilman Densieski: "Yeah, I agree with Barbara. Lisa was very nice and I wish her the best of luck. Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Cardinale."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yes. Thanks for her service."

Barbara Grattan: "The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #869

Councilman Densieski: "Appoints Kevin Macbee to the position of senior town investigator in the town attorney's office. So moved."

Councilman Dunleavy: "I'm going to second this with one amendment. Down where it says Now Therefore Be It Resolved, that the town board of the town of Riverhead hereby appoints Kevin Macbee provisionally to the position of senior town investigator. He has to take a civil service test and be put on the list. So moved."

Supervisor Cardinale: "So moved with that amendment. Vote please."

Councilman Densieski: "Seconded."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

9/19/2006 minutes

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski."

Councilman Densieski: "Yeah. I want to welcome Kevin. He's got 30 years of experience dealing with rental law. We really need his help. I'm going to vote yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Cardinale."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yeah. This is part of the safe housing initiative that I asked Ed and John to usher through and I appreciate their doing so. We have no a very experienced attorney; we have a very experienced investigator and I think we're going to get some clerical help as well. We've got the enforcement people all together under the town attorney's office (inaudible).

I think this is the final-- this-- I guess the only one we need is the clerical, right? And we'll have a real program under way which everyone wants to be successful. And I want to thank Ed and John for that, for their work on this. And I'll vote yes."

Barbara Grattan: "The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #870

Councilman Dunleavy: "Transfer of a maintenance mechanic III to the sewer department. So moved."

Councilwoman Blass: "And seconded."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #871

Councilwoman Blass: "Appoints maintenance mechanic II in the water department that being Michael Argenti. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #872

9/19/2006 minutes

Councilman Densieski: "Authorizes the CSEA president to attend delegates meeting. So moved."

Councilman Bartunek: "Phil, I have a question about the cost of this."

Supervisor Cardinale: "There's no cost except that we're losing Matt's services for the period that he's attending. But we're not paying for it."

Councilman Bartunek: "I'll second that."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Bartunek, yes; Dunleavy, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Barbara Grattan: "Okay, we've got a problem which was brought to our attention with this resolution here. The first paragraph Whereas, (inaudible)."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yeah, it's in Buffalo. Yeah. Oh, I'm sorry, I was wrong then. It's not Buffalo. I thought it was. Oh, that's who it is then."

Resolution #873

Councilman Bartunek: "Approves a request for leave of absence. So moved."

Councilwoman Blass: "And seconded."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. The reason for this is we had passed it for one month. He had to advise us 30 days before whether he's coming back so he said I just gave it you but I've got to advise you today. So we made it two months with a 30 days he's got to advise us. So moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #874

Councilwoman Blass: "Accepts the retirement of John Hansen. So moved."

Councilman Dunleavy: "And seconded."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy."

9/19/2006 minutes

Councilman Dunleavy: "Yes. I just wanted to say one thing. John or Jack Hansen, as everybody in the town knew him, has worked for the town for 30 years. He did numerous jobs for the town. Actually I always (inaudible) town managers, anything you needed to had to go to Jack to see if you— if you had a civil service position, if he had the money and it was okay to do it. So we're going to miss him. We have to break his department up into almost four other department heads just to do the job he was doing. So we're all going to miss him. Certainly I'm going to miss him because I've known him for 30 years. So moved. Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Bartunek."

Councilman Bartunek: "Yes. I want to acknowledge that Jack has been a tremendous asset to the town. He's (inaudible) and obviously will be from what John just said. I would like to personally thank Jack also."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Blass."

Councilwoman Blass: "Yes. I just want to read something very briefly (inaudible)."

It's really not possible to express the debt of gratitude we owe to you, Jack, for essentially dedicating your entire adult life to the town of Riverhead.

We didn't always understand everything you said or did or how you did it or even agree with you all the time, but even your critics acknowledge that your dedication and commitment to your work was second to none.

You are a true professional, the ultimate civil (inaudible), a well respected member of the community and a good friend. I wish you a peaceful, comfortable (inaudible)."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Densieski."

Councilman Densieski: "Yeah, I— Jack and I fought like cats and dogs but I tell you what, I agree with what these folks said and I don't know any employee anywhere that was as hard working and dedicated to the town of Riverhead as Jack. He was there many nights, 10:00, 11:00 at night, most nights as a matter of fact and on the weekend. So I wish him all the best. I vote yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Cardinale."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yes. Certainly everyone agrees with the sentiments expressed. Jack was— essentially was absolutely

9/19/2006 minutes

invaluable. He was professional, he was always there. He handled our financial, our human resources and our purchasing and in his spare time he ran the garage. We are going to have to replace him with at least three and possibly four people. He knew more— and I've known him since '98, he knew more than any supervisor that served during those periods about how the town really ran and he still does. And we're hoping that he'll continue to assist us as he has over the last few months as we managed to get by.

What Denise Civiletti said in the News Review was absolutely true. I wouldn't wish his leaving on any supervisor. I'm just glad that it happened in the third year and not the first year of my being supervisor. He's a great loss to the town and I wish I wish him very well in his future and I accept his retirement with great regret.

I vote yes."

Barbara Grattan: "That resolution is adopted."

Resolution #875

Councilman Dunleavy "Authorizes Supervisor to execute agreement for grant funds with the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #876

Councilman Densieski: "Authorization to publish advertisement for two kiosk interactive computer terminals. So moved."

Councilwoman Blass: "And seconded."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #877

Councilman Bartunek: "Authorizes town clerk to republish and report public notice for a local law to consider an amendment to Chapter 107 entitled Tidal and Freshwater Wetlands of the Riverhead town code.

9/19/2006 minutes

This is the ongoing saga of the 107. Will it ever end? So moved."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Eventually. Moved and-- "

Councilwoman Blass: "Seconded."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski."

Councilman Densieski: "Yeah. This is like Ground Hog Day. Yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Cardinale, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #878

Councilwoman Blass: "Authorizes town clerk to post and publish public notice for public hearing regarding requests for shift of operations into an Empire Zone. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #879

Councilman Densieski: "Authorizes town clerk to post and publish public notice for public hearing regarding local law to amend Chapter 108 entitled zoning of the Riverhead town code Zoning - Empire Zone. So moved."

Councilman Bartunek: "Seconded."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #880

Councilman Bartunek: "Resolution of support for the town of Riverhead Restore NY Communities Initiative application as a critical component of downtown redevelopment. So moved."

9/19/2006 minutes

Councilman Dunleavy: "And seconded."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #881

Councilman Dunleavy: "Awards bid for disposal of demolition and construction debris. So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek."

Councilman Bartunek: "Can I ask what was this (inaudible)?"

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yeah. This is the annual contract for C&D, not for the highway job but just generally. They were the best and only bid."

Councilman Bartunek: "Seconded. Yes."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote, yes."

The Vote (Cont'd.): "Blass; yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #882

Councilman Densieski: "Adopts a local law to repeal Section 108-56.1 of the Riverhead town code entitled Penalties for offenses against sign provisions. So moved."

Councilwoman Blass: "And seconded."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yes. And part of that I guess it's safe housing initiative, we're going to have an enforcement-- we're going to have a part time sign inspector, I'm told. So that will be a great change. To vote exclusively to make it happen. I vote yes."

Barbara Grattan: "The resolution is adopted."

9/19/2006 minutes

Resolution #883

Councilwoman Blass: "Adopts a local law amending Chapter 101 entitled Vehicles and Traffic of the Riverhead town code. This has to do with weight limits on Fresh Pond Road. So moved."

Councilman Bartunek: "I'll second that. I think it's Fresh Pond Avenue."

Supervisor Cardinale: "It says Road. Is it wrong? In its entirety, commencing from— okay, so we should make that Avenue. Okay with the amendment of Avenue, we are going to have a vote."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Resolution #884

Barbara Grattan: "Resolution 884 is to pay bills. Councilman Bartunek."

Councilman Bartunek: "So moved."

Councilman Densieski: "Second the motion."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Moved and seconded. Vote please."

The Vote: "Dunleavy, yes; Bartunek, yes; Blass, yes; Densieski, yes; Cardinale, yes. The resolution is adopted."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Okay. I believe that ends our resolutions. I'd like to take comment from anyone who would like to make a comment on anything within our purview. Mr. Purcell."

Ed Purcell: "Good evening. I don't mind keeping you guys (inaudible). Hey, I left my house at 6:30 this morning. Only half a day."

John, I'm not against 55 and over because in eight months, I will be 55. I'm not against 55 and older people in general.

Question. Two questions. You talked about Grangebél Park. Are there any time dates or when are we going to— when is something going to be done about the— I guess it was a road that went from Grangebél Park to the County Center."

Supervisor Cardinale: "Yeah."

Ed Purcell: "When is that going to be taken care of?"

9/19/2006 minutes

Supervisor Cardinale: "Very soon. Andrea is back there for CDA. The Grangebels— we have a million dollars to spend to complete that project. We've gotten through the Indian artifact thing which was delaying that actual point that you're talking about. The people can come over from the county center and have a place to cross."

Ed Purcell: "I didn't know if that was Riverhead town or if that was Southampton town."

Supervisor Cardinale: "That's part of our project because it's within the park. In addition we have other projects planned and there was a little delay and we're awaiting for an appraisal which would be a really exciting prospect if I could get the open space committee, which I have to talk George into to be used, that building that is at the base of Griffing Avenue, if we could open that park up there, spend the million dollars, amphitheater, cross through from the county, the pedestrian crosswalk— "

(At this point, the CD ended)

Phil Barbato - regarding noise ordinance (noise from an event held in Jamesport)

Larry Oxman

Meeting adjourned: 9:40 p.m.