

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

THE TOWN OF RIVERHEAD
TOWN BOARD MEETING

Meeting held at Riverhead Town Hall
200 Howell Avenue
Riverhead, New York
September 3, 2014
7:00 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Sean Walter,	Supervisor
John Dunleavy,	Councilman
George Gabrielsen,	Councilman
Jodi Giglio,	Councilwoman
James Wooten,	Councilman

ALSO PRESENT:

Diane M. Wilhelm,	Town Clerk
Robert Kozakiewicz,	Town Attorney

1 (Whereupon, the meeting was called to order
2 at 6:59 p.m.)

3 SUPERVISOR WALTER: All right. Well, it's
4 6:59. We're slightly early, but we're an
5 energetic group.

6 Mr. Amper, would you lead us in the Pledge
7 of Allegiance?

8 (Whereupon, all stood for the Pledge of
9 Allegiance.)

10 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Pastor Charles Byer
11 from Our Redeemer Lutheran is going to do our
12 invocation today. Would you like us to sit or
13 stand? Well, I guess everyone's going to stand.

14 PASTOR BYER: We pray. Heavenly Father, in
15 your word, you've revealed that at the right
16 time, Jesus died for the ungodly. At the right
17 time, that's who you are. At the right time, you
18 show up. It's not always when we want you to
19 necessarily, or the way we want you to, but
20 you're always there for us. And that's what we
21 ask tonight, that you be in this place; that your
22 love, your grace, mercy, your kindness, your
23 patience, whatever is necessary, be present in
24 this room.

25 We thank you for all the citizens that are

1 gathered here tonight, that show a concern and a
2 love for our community in which we live. We
3 thank you for the elected leaders that lead this
4 meeting and have to make so many important
5 decisions.

6 Father, we just pray for your wisdom, pray
7 for clarity. We pray for discernment in all the
8 good things that come from you. Thank you for
9 letting us be a part of this great nation and for
10 the freedom you have given us. We just thank you
11 for all that you have done for us, in Jesus'
12 name. Amen.

13 (Amen said in unison.)

14 SUPERVISOR WALTER: So now Bishop Wcela was
15 originally supposed to do it and now he's here.
16 Would you like to do an invocation, too, sir?

17 BISHOP WCELA: No, we're doing fine.

18 (Laughter)

19 SUPERVISOR WALTER: All right. So we have
20 a proclamation for -- September is National
21 Children's Cancer Awareness Month, so I'm going
22 to ask the Board to step down front. And, Ladies
23 and Gentlemen, if you could come up.

24 So you guys are from the Christina Renna
25 Foundation and you're dedicated to supporting

1 childhood cancer research, and furthering the
2 awareness of childhood cancer. I can't even --
3 who would like to speak on behalf of the
4 organization?

5 MR. RENNA: I would. I appreciate it. We
6 started this program -- my daughter passed away
7 in 2007, she was 16 years old, and we felt we
8 couldn't just let her memory go, so we started a
9 foundation and we're all about childhood cancer
10 awareness.

11 We're working with Cold Spring Harbor
12 Laboratory to start a research there for
13 Rhabdomyosarcoma, which is the cancer she had.
14 And we started an awareness program and we've
15 gone from -- we just came from Mineola, so we've
16 put bows from Nassau County all the way out to
17 Suffolk, and we do appreciate you guys being part
18 of that.

19 And we're also doing an adult shoelace
20 program, where we're distributing shoelaces to
21 all the sports teams in the high schools. And
22 we're asking the teams to wear them for the Fall,
23 so I brought everybody adult shoelaces. They
24 really stand out if you're going to wear them.

25 SUPERVISOR WALTER: I don't know. Can I

1 get them on my cowboy boots?

2 (Laughter)

3 MR. RENNA: I don't know if you can get
4 them on your cowboy boots, but I did bring these
5 for everybody here. And I do appreciate you guys
6 being part of the program. It does mean a lot.
7 And, actually, on the 20th, we're going to the
8 Whitehouse to meet with the President, so we're
9 quite excited about that.

10 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Well, on behalf of
11 myself and the Town Board, we do declare that
12 September is the month to recognize children's
13 cancer. So September in Riverhead is the
14 Children Cancer Awareness Month. And I am so
15 sorry for your loss.

16 MR. RENNA: Thank you.

17 SUPERVISOR WALTER: And may God be with you
18 and your family.

19 MR. RENNA: Thank you.

20 MRS. RENNA: Thank you.

21 MR. RENNA: We truly do appreciate you guys
22 for all of your efforts. Thank you for getting
23 the word out.

24 SUPERVISOR WALTER: That was it? Only one
25 of you guys wanted to speak?

1 MR. RENNA: Yes. This is my boy. Thank
2 you so much.

3 MRS. RENNA: Thank you so much.

4 SUPERVISOR WALTER: All right. Thank you
5 very much.

6 MRS. RENNA: Thank you.

7 SUPERVISOR WALTER: I appreciate it.

8 MR. RENNA: Thank you for taking the time.

9 SUPERVISOR WALTER: And I'm definitely
10 going to put those laces in my sneakers.

11 MR. RENNA: You better, because they stand
12 out.

13 SUPERVISOR WALTER: So when you see me
14 walking in the neighborhood wearing mine --

15 MRS. RENNA: Take a picture.

16 SUPERVISOR WALTER: -- you know, you'll see it.

17 MRS. RENNA: Take a picture, send it to us.

18 SUPERVISOR WALTER: All right. So, John.

19 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes. I make a motion
20 that we approve the minutes of the Town Board
21 meeting of August 19th, 2014. So moved.

22 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: And seconded.

23 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.
24 Vote, please.

25 (Roll call vote by Town Clerk)

1 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

2 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

3 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Abstain.

4 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

5 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

6 MS. WILHELM: The minutes are approved. Do
7 you want me to just continue with the --

8 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Absolutely.

9 MS. WILHELM: Okay. Under Correspondence,
10 there was a letter from Jeanmarie Costello
11 regarding the public hearing of August 19th with
12 regard to the stop sign at Lincoln Street and
13 Griffing Avenue.

14 A letter from Louisa Hargrave regarding
15 proposed redevelopment of EPCAL Property at
16 Calverton.

17 Marta Baumiller and Cliff Baldwin, letter
18 of support for the proposed Main Road National
19 Historic District.

20 Chuck Davis, Contest Director for AMA
21 District II Fly-In, Letter of Thanks to
22 Sanitation Supervisor, John Reeve, for his
23 support for their recent event to benefit the
24 Wounded Warriors Project.

25 And I will make mention that I have, first

1 of all, a letter from Councilwoman Jodi Giglio
2 with regard to the Draft Supplemental Generic
3 Environmental Impact Statement. And I have 24
4 letters here regarding the same issue, EPCAL
5 DGEIS, and I probably got about 30 more on my
6 email that I didn't print out, just to make
7 mention of all that. I'll continue.

8 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Do all of those have to
9 get to --

10 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yeah, they have to be
11 circulated, right --

12 SUPERVISOR WALTER: -- Joe. Well, not Joe,
13 to EHP? Get them to Ann Marie Prudenti.

14 MS. WILHELM: Okay. Sure, absolutely.

15 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Get them the Ann Marie
16 Prudenti, she'll give them to EHP.

17 MS. WILHELM: Sure, not a problem.

18 SUPERVISOR WALTER: And the Board, as
19 usual.

20 MS. WILHELM: Okay, yeah. I think you all
21 were CC'd on the email.

22 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yeah.

23 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yeah.

24 MS. WILHELM: Okay. There were five pieces
25 of correspondence for the Conservation Advisory

1 Council. I don't know if you want me to go into
2 that or just let it be.

3 SUPERVISOR WALTER: No, let it be.

4 MS. WILHELM: Okay. Under Reports, Town
5 Clerk Monthly Report for August, \$12,058.66.
6 Building Department Monthly Report for August,
7 \$64,278. And the Police Department submitted
8 their Monthly Report for July. And that is it.

9 SUPERVISOR WALTER: All right. Thank you.
10 Any other Town Board reports?

11 (No response.)

12 SUPERVISOR WALTER: No? All right. Then
13 we're going to get started.

14 What -- we're going to open both public
15 hearings at the same time. It is now 7:07. And
16 this is somewhat of a historic moment here; 7:07
17 we're going to open up the two public hearings,
18 both scheduled for 7:05.

19 The first one, a public hearing on the
20 Draft Supplemental Generic Environmental Impact
21 Statement for a Comprehensive Development Plan
22 for EPCAL, the EPCAL Reuse and Revitalization
23 Plan, amendment to the Town of Riverhead's
24 Comprehensive Master Plan, amendment to the
25 Zoning Map and Code, amendment to the Calverton

1 Urban Renewal Plan, and subdivision of the
2 Enterprise Park at Calverton, EPCAL Property. We
3 open that public hearing.

4 And simultaneously, we're going to open the
5 other 7:05 public hearing, and that is a public
6 hearing on the EPCAL Reuse and Revitalization
7 Plan, an updated and amended Urban Renewal Plan
8 for the redevelopment of a portion of the
9 property identified as -- identified and
10 designated as an Urban Renewal Area under the
11 original Urban Renewal Plan, "Calverton
12 Enterprise Park Urban Renewal Plan".

13 So the public hearings are open. This
14 is -- I'm going to turn this over to Terry
15 Elkowitz in a moment, but it's somewhat different
16 than probably the normal public hearings you
17 hear, because the Impact Statement is so
18 comprehensive with 1,000 pages, I think
19 conservatively speaking, of information. The
20 Board is going to take all your information, and
21 Ms. Elkowitz and her team are going to be
22 transcribing. We have a Transcriber here as
23 well. If we get to about an hour-and-a-half, two
24 hours, we will break, because we need a break,
25 the Transcriber needs a break, and -- but we're

1 not -- the Town Board is not going to respond
2 directly to the comments, as we're going to take
3 all these in and respond to them in the final
4 Environmental Impact Statement. So it's a little
5 bit different than we normally would do, but it's
6 better this way to let the experts handle it.

7 So, with that, Ms. Elkowitz.

8 MS. ELKOWITZ: Good evening, Mr. Supervisor
9 and Members of the Board. For the record, my
10 name is Terry Elkowitz. I'm a principal at VHB
11 at 100 Motor Parkway in Hauppauge, and we're here
12 again tonight with you. We were here in July of
13 2013, I think, at our last public meeting, when
14 this Town Board held a scoping meeting, so that
15 the Town Board could hear the comments of
16 involved agencies and the public as to what we
17 should study in the Draft Supplemental Generic
18 Environmental Impact Statement.

19 And as the Supervisor just said, the
20 purpose of tonight's hearing, of course, is to
21 take comments on this DSGEIS, as we call it, but
22 it's also for the Town Board to take comments on
23 various documents that are really Town documents.
24 You'll be -- you're considering adopting a Reuse
25 and Revitalization Plan for EPCAL, and you'll

1 amend your comprehensive plan ultimately based on
2 that. You're considering adopting a new Zoning
3 District and rezoning the EPCAL property to that,
4 so you'll be ultimately, once you decide on
5 exactly what your zoning should be, you'll be
6 amending your zoning code. You will be updating
7 your Urban Renewal Plan, your Calverton Urban
8 Renewal Plan, to be consistent with the decisions
9 that you make for this property. And you'll also
10 be -- ultimately, a subdivision will be approved
11 for this property that will allow the Town Board
12 to have some developable lots, and also
13 preservation, which I'll talk about in just a few
14 minutes.

15 And as the Supervisor said, the purpose of
16 this is for the Town Board to hear the comments
17 of the public, and then the Town Board will
18 decide what changes, if any, it may want to make
19 to these documents. And the Town Board, with our
20 assistance, I believe, will be preparing a final
21 Generic Environmental Impact Statement that
22 responds to all of the comments that the Town
23 Board gets.

24 So this Draft Supplemental Generic
25 Environmental Impact Statement covers a number of

1 topics, and those topics were determined by the
2 Town Board after you went through a formal
3 scoping process and heard the issues raised by
4 all the involved agencies and the public. As the
5 Supervisor said, I clearly can't go through both
6 of these documents that are before us, but I can
7 run down all of the topics that you had us
8 evaluate.

9 Land use and zoning, socioeconomics,
10 community facilities and services,
11 transportation, air quality, noise,
12 infrastructure, cultural resources, geology,
13 soils, topography, water quality and hydrology,
14 terrestrial and aquatic environment, petroleum
15 and hazardous materials, visual resources,
16 cumulative impacts, unavoidable adverse effects,
17 irretrievable and irreversible commitment of
18 resources, growth-introducing impacts, and the
19 use and conservation of energy.

20 Also, as required by the SEQRA regulations
21 and by this Town Board, the DSGEIS also evaluated
22 alternatives, and it has in there draft
23 conditions and criteria. I know if the Board, of
24 course, is aware of the State legislation that
25 was passed which basically says that upon

1 completion of this SEQRA process and adoption of
2 conditions and criteria that will ultimately
3 guide individual lot development on this site,
4 people who -- applicants who comply with those
5 conditions and criteria may be eligible for an
6 expedited review. So the Town Board would
7 actually be facilitating the economic development
8 objectives for this property.

9 So, without going into a lot of detail,
10 it's important for everyone to know that this
11 Town Board, over of the past several years, has
12 been working with involved agencies, including,
13 but not limited to, the State Department of
14 Environmental Conservation, State Department of
15 Transportation, to address issues that they were
16 interested in. They've also met with various
17 stakeholders, and they've taken a lot of
18 commentary on what people would like to see.

19 And there are some very major mitigation
20 measures and conditions that this Board has
21 already talked about and put in its documents.
22 Just a few examples:

23 You have committed to maintaining a full
24 1,000-foot radius around all tiger salamander
25 ponds. You have committed to the protection and

1 maintenance of grassland on this property. You
2 are preserving over 59% of the site as natural
3 area. You are -- you've committed to a 50-foot
4 buffer along Middle Country Road. And if there
5 would be any disturbance, for a temporary access,
6 before you do all the internal roadways, you
7 would require that those areas be replanted, and
8 that buffer be maintained. And you're also
9 requiring and you've committed to the
10 establishment of a 200-foot vegetative buffer
11 along Grumman Boulevard, except, of course, with
12 the access issues that we would talk about.

13 You have also worked among, of course,
14 yourselves directing us, and with input from the
15 DEC and others on the preparation of a
16 subdivision plan. And Kevin Walsh, my partner,
17 is here and he's just going to talk very briefly
18 about what that subdivision plan is.

19 MR. WALSH: Thanks, Terry. Good evening,
20 Members of the Board. Again, Kevin Walsh from
21 VHB Engineering, Surveying and Landscape
22 Architecture. And, as Terry mentioned, I will
23 just provide a very brief description of the
24 subdivision map, which, hopefully, you can see
25 tacked up on the wall.

1 As laid out in the documents that we've
2 prepared, the end result of this process, or one
3 of the end results of this process, is intended
4 to be the subdivision of the EPCAL property into
5 marketable lots that are suitable for any variety
6 of development based on the Development Code that
7 we're proposing as part of the document.

8 Without reciting the entire history of the
9 project, which, as Terry mentioned, is pretty
10 lengthy, I will note that the map currently
11 before the Board is the result of many, many
12 months of negotiation with many of the involved
13 agencies, in particular, the New York State DEC,
14 for the -- partially for the reason that the
15 preservation of the habitat that was described in
16 terms of the grassland became a very important
17 factor in arriving at a suitable layout for the
18 subdivision. And that's essentially why what you
19 see on the wall may look a little disjointed
20 compared to what you might expect to see, but
21 there was a lot of negotiation and planning that
22 went into that.

23 The proposed subdivision is configured in
24 such a way that we can retain as much of the
25 existing grassland as possible, as Terry

1 mentioned. It respects the significant
2 environmental constraints on the property,
3 including the Pine Barrens core area, existing
4 wetlands, the salamander ponds, as was mentioned.
5 And again, the endeavor was to provide as many
6 marketable lots of a variety of sizes as could be
7 accommodated within the areas we had to work
8 with.

9 Basically the subdivision consists of 50
10 lots, ranging in size from a minimum of five
11 acres to approximately 28.4 acres. The actual
12 development area encompasses about 820 acres. Of
13 that, about 560 acres are the lots themselves,
14 the rest being the roads, recharge basins, common
15 areas that are necessary to serve the subdivision
16 lots.

17 Forty-two of the 50 lots will be for sale.
18 The remainder of those 50 lots provide for things
19 like preservation of open space, the existing
20 Town park that's to the west side of the
21 property, the Town facilities that encompass the
22 community center at the south end of the
23 property, as well as McKay Lake. There's a lot
24 for expansion of the existing sewage treatment
25 plant to accommodate the development. And

1 there's a lot mandated by DEC for the discharge
2 of the expanded sewage treatment plant that comes
3 out of the SPDES permit that was issued by DEC.

4 The map provides for internal -- an
5 internal roadway network. Obviously, we've
6 discussed the need for some temporary access to
7 Route 25. But, at the end of the day, the intent
8 is for the entire subdivision to be served from
9 internal roadways. Access for the bulk of the
10 subdivision is provided at three places on
11 Route 25. There is an additional access on
12 Grumman Boulevard that provides access to a
13 remote part of the subdivision for five or six
14 lots down at the south end.

15 The lot -- the -- excuse me. The map
16 provides for public utilities. It is intended
17 that public water be extended by the Riverhead
18 Water District to serve the property, and, as was
19 mentioned, that the entire property be served by
20 the Riverhead Sewer District as well.

21 MS. ELKOWITZ: So I think that concludes
22 our formal presentation. And then,
23 Mr. Supervisor, I know you're going to open this
24 up to public comment, but it's also come to my
25 attention that the Town Board is considering

1 extending the public comment period, because
2 tonight we're going -- the Board is going to take
3 verbal comments, but at a point after this
4 meeting, the Town Board will also accept written
5 comments. The notice says September 15th, but
6 I've been told the Board intends to extend that
7 to September --

8 SUPERVISOR WALTER: We're going to have to
9 do it to September 30th, extend the public
10 comment period to September 30th. We're probably
11 going to have a resolution come off the floor for
12 that.

13 MS. ELKOWITZ: Okay. So then once all of
14 the comments are received by the Board, of course
15 the Board will read them, and then the Board will
16 direct us and tell us whether it wants to modify
17 anything in the subdivision, modify anything in
18 the documents, and will also direct us to help
19 you respond to all of the substantive comments
20 that are in a Final Environment Impact Statement.
21 So that concludes my presentation.

22 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Well, thank you

23 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Thank you.

24 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Then let's, I guess --
25 who's first. Mr. Amper first. Just --

1 MR. AMPER: You started me with the salute
2 to the flag.

3 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Pledge of Allegiance,
4 sir. If you'd just state your name and
5 affiliation or hamlet for the record clearly, so
6 that our Court Transcriber will get that, that
7 would be wonderful.

8 MR. AMPER: My name is Richard Amper. I'm
9 Executive Director of the Long Island Pine
10 Barrens Society. We're at 547 East Main Street
11 here in Riverhead.

12 Efforts to develop the former Navy Grumman
13 property in Calverton have languished from one
14 administration to another seemingly forever. I
15 suppose the people here will remember some, if
16 not all, of the proposals; a motion picture
17 studio, a jetport, a NASCAR track, the Wilpon
18 Deal, an indoor ski mountain. EPCAL has become
19 the place bad ideas come to die.

20 Administration after administration is
21 blamed, its incapacity to make EPCAL profitable;
22 salamanders, birds, or environmentalists in
23 general. It has become increasingly clear that
24 the reason EPCAL hasn't been developed is the
25 incompetency of Riverhead government itself.

1 A year ago in February, a group of
2 business, environmental and community leaders sat
3 down together in an effort to finally overcome
4 the obstacles preventing an economic and
5 environmental solution appropriate to land use at
6 EPCAL. We identified and agreed upon which areas
7 could be developed and which should be protected.
8 The current administration indicated support for
9 that plan. That left only the preparation of a
10 Draft Generic Environmental Impact Statement to
11 complete the process. That DGEIS would appear to
12 have doomed development at EPCAL once again.

13 In the EIS, the agreed-upon map has been
14 thrown out and replaced by one that does not meet
15 economic or environmental standards. What we
16 agreed about and what we were complimented on,
17 and what the press so wisely covered, was that
18 the environmental and economic communities,
19 business people, architects had come together
20 with this government to produce a plan that could
21 work. It's not in that Draft Environmental
22 Impact Statement.

23 Major economic, environmental and quality
24 of life issues are generalized, and specific
25 mitigation measures are not supplied in the EIS.

1 The EIS projects more than 25,000 permitted jobs
2 by 2035 in a town of fewer than 34,000 people.
3 Really?

4 Swan Lake Golf Course, Splish Splash,
5 Grumman Park and Calverton National Cemetery are
6 all termed open space. Not in real life and not
7 under State Law.

8 Sewage discharge will be north of the
9 groundwater divide, the EIS says, but how it will
10 be handled is not specifically provided for. Why
11 not?

12 The Riverhead Water District will need to
13 drill more wells. With what affect on surface
14 and groundwater? We don't know.

15 The much feared traffic impacts remain
16 unresolved. How will traffic reach the site?
17 The EIS talks about expanding Route 25 to five
18 lanes. When and how, and at what expense. Can
19 anyone forget the nightmare produced by the
20 infamous air show?

21 The EIS admits that there will be a loss of
22 forested habitat, but says it will be mitigated
23 by preservation of forest to elsewhere on the
24 property. Say what?

25 Instead of protecting existing grasslands,

1 as in the 2013 recommendations of the Coalition
2 for Open Space at EPCAL, the EIS plans to create
3 new grasslands by bearing a portion of one of the
4 runways, then planting grass on it. Curious.

5 The EIS calls for a 50-foot undeveloped
6 buffer along Route 25 to limit visual impact. We
7 all know too well Riverhead's handling of
8 buffers.

9 The EIS claims no socioeconomic impact from
10 the proposed development. Seriously? Taxes are
11 to be waived for EPCAL newcomers. New
12 infrastructure will cost millions, as will needed
13 government services. Increased Town debt will
14 likely result, along with higher taxes for
15 existing residents.

16 For years, all of the experts said EPCAL
17 could only be financially successful if used for
18 commercial and industrial purposes. Now
19 residential and retail have been thrown into the
20 mix. There's no justification for it. Why won't
21 Riverhead Town stop its addiction to more
22 residential and retail? Where has it ever
23 worked?

24 The biggest problem of all is that recent
25 State legislation, which created the Enterprise

1 Park at Calverton Reuse and Revitalization Area,
2 proposes that this DGEIS be substituted for the
3 project review process required under the State
4 Environmental Quality Review Act. While
5 Riverhead Town's capacity to supplant SEQRA
6 remains a legal question, it is inarguable that
7 this Draft Generic Environmental Impact Statement
8 must address all of these issues to include
9 specific mitigation measures, triggers for such
10 mitigation, realistic expectations that traffic
11 and economic obstacles can be realistically
12 overcome, and how the economic and environmental
13 claims of the EIS can actually be realized.

14 Riverhead leaders from the business and
15 environmental community, who have bent over
16 backwards to try at last to move forward the
17 reuse of EPCAL, have been ignored by the latest
18 government of Riverhead to promise that EPCAL's
19 time has finally come. Alas, the DGEIS is
20 legally, environmentally and economically
21 unsupportable, and will require wholesale
22 reworking if it is to serve as the blueprint for
23 the long-promised boon to the Town of Riverhead,
24 instead of just the latest boondoggle in the long
25 history of this sorry reuse process.

1 Riverhead.

2 We have reviewed the DGEIS and plan to
3 submit more detailed comments in line with the
4 allotted time frame, but tonight I want to focus
5 really on the top six issues of concern that
6 we've identified, and those issues of concern go
7 back to our reflection on a letter of July 11th,
8 2011 that we provided to the Town regarding our
9 principal concerns for the future development and
10 the GEIS for this project.

11 So, first and foremost, I think we're very
12 concerned about the pallet of uses which is
13 proposed in this document. As Mr. Amper pointed
14 out, the retail and the residential component, to
15 my knowledge, were never seen as a principal part
16 of this project. Residential development, more
17 residential development requires more service,
18 more expense to support those services. And the
19 retail component, I just want to remind the
20 Board, when you did the Wading River Study, you
21 found that while Wading River could accommodate
22 about 88,000 square feet of additional retail,
23 and that was described as considering future
24 development of this site, there was actually
25 200,000 square feet of space still available

1 there. The 800,000 square feet proposed as a
2 possibility here seems significantly out of
3 proportion with, one, what is currently
4 available, two, what's currently needed, and what
5 could ever be possibly be needed here in light of
6 the Route 58 corridor. So I'd like you to take a
7 look at that, and please have the consultants
8 address the basis for that.

9 The second item really is a comparative
10 assessment of the EPCAL design alternatives. As
11 you've heard, and as we were part of the
12 discussion regarding an alternative design, we
13 felt that we had a design that met the yield that
14 the Town was looking for, met the needs that the
15 Town was looking for, and also met the natural
16 resource and open space concerns, grassland
17 concerns that had been raised over some period of
18 time. That alternative is mentioned in the
19 DGEIS, but it is not provided at a level suitable
20 for comparative assessment, which is the rule
21 under the law. So we would like to see the map
22 that was provided by the folks concerned about
23 open space at EPCAL included in the DGEIS, and
24 not just an outline of where the open space is,
25 but where the specific lots are that we had lined

1 up, then take that and compare it to what's been
2 proposed, so you can have a fair and honest
3 discussion about whether or not one alternative
4 is better than the other, with everybody looking
5 at the same information. But beyond that, with
6 what's been proposed, there's roughly 11 lots.
7 And you'll get this in writing, but basically
8 Lots 10, 11, 15, 16, 23, 24, 27, 30, 31 and 33
9 are all out of line with the proposal that was
10 provided to you by the Coalition for Open Space
11 at EPCAL. We're talking in total of somewhere
12 between 130 and maybe 150 acres. And we are
13 again convinced that you could work to accomplish
14 that goal if you would go back in and look at
15 that original alternative submitted.

16 Item Number 3 is the grassland management
17 issue. The DGEIS calls for a long-term Grassland
18 Management Plan for the site, which is great, but
19 there aren't sufficient details to make that goal
20 operational. And so if a DGEIS is to set the
21 platform for what you're going to be doing in the
22 future, and we hear significant language about,
23 you know, expedited reviews based on State Law,
24 you really need to know how you're going to set
25 that up. There's a lot of ways to do it. We

1 certainly would advise convening a Grasslands
2 Working Group with regional experts,
3 environmental stakeholders. But you have to
4 figure out long term how is that going to
5 operate, how does it operate within the context
6 of staging for development, infrastructure
7 development, lot design, lot layout, who's going
8 to manage that, how's -- what's the Board's role
9 in overseeing that, if you want that grassland
10 management mitigation to work.

11 In addition, and on a similar note, the
12 traffic impact mitigation is also something of
13 significant concern to us. There is language in
14 the DGEIS that talks about specific triggers when
15 additional roadway infrastructure would have to
16 be constructed. Again, it's a good idea. How
17 does that become operational? Does every person
18 who buys a lot pay into a fund? Does the guy
19 who's there on the 5,000th vehicle trip pay all
20 of it? Does the Town get stuck paying for it?
21 How does it -- how is it made operational? If
22 you don't have that, I can assure you, and
23 anybody who's in land use here will tell you the
24 same thing, it's going to get debated on every
25 single application, and you're going to be

1 reworking this every single time you try to
2 figure out what may be one of the most important
3 mitigation features of the entire plan. You
4 really need to look at how that's going to get
5 paid for, who's going to do it, and how it's --
6 the cost is equitably distributed over time.

7 Item Number 5 is the issue of the required
8 permits and approvals. And one of the things I
9 would ask the Town to take a careful look at is
10 there is language, and reference, and inference
11 throughout the document that talks about the
12 expedited review process, and some language that
13 even says things like, "Once this is done, you
14 won't need to get any additional permits." We
15 don't read the EPCAL Law that way. What I see in
16 the EPCAL Law, and I'll give you a quote from it,
17 it says, "After the adoption of an EPCAL Reuse
18 and Revitalization Plan and the final GEIS, the
19 Town may make application to such State agencies
20 with jurisdiction to issue general permits for
21 the review of any actions to implement the EPCAL
22 Reuse and Revitalization Plan."

23 I just want the document to accurately
24 reflect what the reality is. There's not an
25 automatic carte blanche approval here for

1 anything, and that may not have been what's
2 intended, but as you read through the document,
3 you'll find in a variety of locations where that
4 appears to be what's being said. I think if you
5 put forward what exactly has to happen for that
6 expedited review, that would be fine, but it has
7 to be in this document for this document to have
8 meaning.

9 Finally, the issue of development, design,
10 and other aesthetic issues, you know, early on in
11 the planning process, we provided substantive
12 recommendations for site design that would
13 minimize the potential for sprawl, maximize
14 opportunities for land preservation, and follow
15 the best current planning principles for
16 development, design, energy efficiency, and a
17 campus style layout. As an example, we suggested
18 decreasing lot lines, shared parking, limited
19 infrastructure expansion, and increasing some
20 building heights to reduce energy expenditure,
21 and the overall development footprint of the
22 site. The Generic Environmental Impact Statement
23 recognizes that these issues have been
24 considered, talks about some ideas about energy
25 conservation, but when you really come down to

1 it, you have little more than a 1960s or 1970s
2 era industrial park development design. Those
3 consume more land, they use more energy, and they
4 create significant visual impacts that in a place
5 like this, I think you can probably do more to
6 avoid.

7 As with the amended subdivision design, it
8 remains our firm belief that the progressive
9 development design could readily accommodate the
10 economic goals sought by the Town without
11 exacerbating the obvious environmental and
12 aesthetic impacts of a traditional sprawling
13 industrial park.

14 I was part of the Gabreski Planned
15 Development District process, which is more of a
16 campus style process, and I think about the time
17 and energy that went into that 55-acre site that
18 may roughly be the size of only two lots here.
19 So there really is a fair amount of work to do to
20 get it right. And we certainly understand the
21 Town's desire to want to get going, but if you
22 don't set the right foundation, it's just going
23 to be more trouble down the line.

24 In addition to the overarching limitations
25 of the project's conceptual design, the GEIS

1 doesn't provide very much information about the
2 site requirements, building materials, visual
3 aesthetics, clearing and energy conservation.
4 Again, how does that all become operational when
5 an individual walks in and buys a lot and says,
6 "Where do I go from here?" Is it a site plan
7 process? How is it -- how does it work? I think
8 the DGEIS can set those rules, standards, give
9 the public a chance to look at them, and be clear
10 about exactly what the process is going to be
11 when those projects come in.

12 You know, in the end, EPCAL can be designed
13 to accomplish the goals that work for the region,
14 but it can't succeed in the absence of a
15 comprehensive vision and a deep commitment to
16 environmental planning's strict and binding
17 implementation strategy that will assure the
18 desired outcome is achieved. As the largest
19 single development parcel left on Long Island,
20 short of Gardiner's Island, which is actually
21 just about the same size I found out, our region
22 demands the most thoughtful and progressive
23 development plan and a management strategy for
24 the future.

25 We greatly appreciate your attention to

1 these concerns, and look forward to submitting
2 our detailed comments in the future, and
3 reviewing the results. Thank you very much.

4 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Thank you, Mr. DeLuca.

5 (Applause)

6 And the next speaker, please.

7 MR. BARBATO: Good evening, sir.

8 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Just state your name
9 and affiliation or hamlet for the record.

10 MR. BARBATO: My name is Phil Barbato. I'm
11 Vice President of the Riverhead Neighborhood
12 Preservation Coalition, and I'd like to make a
13 few remarks.

14 First of all, thank you to the Board
15 members for the opportunity to speak on this
16 proposal, and the work that's been done so far.
17 It's not insignificant, we realize that.
18 However, I believe this DGEIS could be summed up
19 this way:

20 So, you want to increase tax revenues and
21 economic revitalization? No problem. Just allow
22 any project proposed at EPCAL to be approved and
23 collect those taxes. Don't worry about the
24 impacts on public schools, water, sewage
25 infrastructure, waste disposal, noise and air

1 contamination. You can fix those problems as you
2 go along, even though you didn't estimate what
3 they're going to cost now. You'll have plenty of
4 money rolling in, right? And those pesky traffic
5 problems? No problem. Somebody will widen the
6 roads and put in new traffic signals, whatever it
7 costs. And what if your estimate of the ultimate
8 size of this economic engine ends up being too
9 low, and the impacts you guessed at turn out to
10 be worse than you imagined? No problem.
11 Somebody will come up with some new mitigation
12 measures to fix it all.

13 As you say, and this is a quote from the
14 report, no one can predict over a multi-year
15 period what specific uses would be developed and
16 at what levels. I don't think so. So why bother
17 predicting? Just take a guess and hope you're
18 not too far off.

19 Anyway, these uses that you're allowing,
20 they've worked so well for other towns to our
21 west, we should just not worry about their
22 impacts. Just look at how low the taxes are in
23 those other towns, right?

24 Aside from those general comments, I'd like
25 to make a few specific comments, and, hopefully,

1 be more on a suggestive point of view.

2 First of all, by evaluating, and this is a
3 quote also, theoretical mixed use development
4 program, the DGEIS sets up a moving target that
5 is impossible to hit. This is much too
6 imprecise, and it will be impossible to
7 realistically evaluate, as is proven by the
8 document.

9 The Town needs to set firm zoning and firm
10 rules for this site. These could be changed, if
11 ever necessary in the future, using the current
12 methods for doing so, not for expediting
13 something that's not going work. It will not be
14 advantageous for the long-term future of this
15 Town if the zoning is left so indefinite.

16 From the Executive Summary, Page VII,
17 quote, "No one can predict over a multi-year
18 period what specific uses would be developed and
19 at what levels." If you have more specific
20 zoning and more specific rules, you will be able
21 to make those predictions, and you will be able
22 to manage development of the site.

23 Accepting that statement as inevitable will
24 not lead to proper management of the site, and
25 it's not adequate or workable for us.

1 Second comment: Including residential and
2 retail uses in the proposed rezoning is just not
3 in line with the longstanding goals for EPCAL.
4 It is well-known that residential use is a net
5 loss to the Town's budget. And we already have
6 too much vacant retail space in the Town. Do we
7 really want to create more?

8 Third comment: The DGEIS claims that the
9 tax base will be increased, for example, on
10 Page IX of the Executive Summary. But what are
11 the associated costs that go with this
12 development? The net effect on the Town's budget
13 and the other districts serving this site have to
14 be estimated as well. Without the expenses,
15 we're looking at only one side of the equation
16 and missing the real impact. We need to know
17 what the net impact on the Town is going to be,
18 not what the income is going to be.

19 Fourth, for school district impacts, the
20 DGEIS uses a figure of 0.22 school age children
21 per unit. This seems, I'm sorry, ridiculously
22 low, and, in any event, leaves out the impact of
23 the children of the other employees at the site,
24 some of which we think will be moving to our
25 town. Yes? If the trips, in quotes, represent

1 the number of employees, the potential impact of
2 thousands of new students has been woefully
3 underestimated.

4 Fifth, for traffic mitigation, the DGEIS
5 recommends many road and signaling improvements
6 over a seven-and-a-half mile corridor on Route
7 25, but it leaves out any recommendation for whom
8 will pay for these improvements and the estimate
9 of how much they will cost. Will these costs be
10 borne by the new tenants at EPCAL, thereby
11 reducing the attractiveness of the lots, or, as
12 the usual case, will they be borne by existing
13 taxpayers of the Town? It's not answered in the
14 document.

15 Six, for the water supply and the sewage
16 disposal infrastructure costs, who will pay for
17 them, and what are the estimates of these costs?
18 Again, will these costs fall on existing
19 taxpayers, as has happened in so many other
20 places on Long Island, and our taxes are going to
21 be raised?

22 Seven, the DGEIS proposes setting limits or
23 triggers such as trips, in quotes, to monitor the
24 impact of future development at the site. Now we
25 suggest instead that the Town set a review

1 standard for all proposed development, and that
2 all proposals be required to prove, before
3 approval can be granted, that the proposed
4 development will be a net positive impact on the
5 Town's budget. That's the ultimate reason for
6 having redevelopment at this site, isn't it, to
7 be a net positive impact on the Town's budget?
8 So why don't we ask -- why don't we demand each
9 proposal to prove to us that there will be a net
10 positive impact on the Town's budget, instead of
11 measuring how many trips go by a signal on the
12 road, that's silly.

13 So what we were saying is the tax revenue
14 will be compared to the resulting expense to the
15 Town, and only those projects that can show a
16 positive result would be approved. Monitoring
17 data, such as trips, are after the fact, after
18 the damage is done, and will be fairly
19 meaningless in the future.

20 Finally, we urge you to take a more
21 realistic view of the potential of EPCAL. If we
22 truly want improved life for our present and
23 future residents, we need to be specific about
24 the land uses we want, and we need to perform a
25 careful analysis of the tax income compared to

1 the expenses to the Town and its districts;
2 school, water, sewage.

3 Rushing through this proposal and setting
4 up this subdivision so that some lots can be sold
5 off to improve the Town's short-term finances
6 will not ensure that our Town will be better off
7 in the future, but it will ensure that Riverhead
8 will eventually look and feel like everywhere
9 else on this Island.

10 Thank you for your time.

11 (Applause)

12 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Next speaker. Is there
13 another speaker? Yup, step up. You guys should
14 line up at the podium. We'd hate to close the
15 meeting because you're sitting in the audience.

16 MR. DIPIERRO: Good evening, Board Members.
17 I'm the fourth one to speak, and I don't have a
18 title, so I'm going to make one up.

19 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Give us your name and
20 hamlet.

21 MR. DIPIERRO: I'm the Vice President of
22 the DiPierro household in Wading River. My wife
23 is the President of the DiPierro household in
24 Wading River.

25 (Laughter)

1 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Former school bus
2 driver.

3 SUPERVISOR WALTER: What's your first and
4 last name?

5 MR. DIPIERRO: My name is Nicholas DiPierro
6 and I live in Wading River.

7 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Thank you.

8 MR. DIPIERRO: Back in January of 1994,
9 when Grumman was still here, I worked in the
10 Planning and Budgeting Department. And because
11 of that, I knew that Grumman was no longer going
12 to be here at the end of '94; they left in 1995.
13 They lost the Grumman -- they lost the F-14 and
14 the A-6 contract, so shortly after that, they
15 vacated the property.

16 At a Town Board meeting in February of
17 1994, I, along with the late Bob Tennenberg,
18 bless his soul, we all indicated that this Town
19 was given a gift that should not go to waste.
20 Well, for most part, what a waste this gift
21 turned out to be.

22 Many people had substantial proposals. For
23 instance, there was a NASCAR proposal, a small
24 commercial air park, we don't want to use the
25 word airport, a movie studio, polo. And I

1 suggested that this site would have been a good
2 place to consolidate most of the armories in
3 Suffolk County. The Supervisor at that time told
4 me that this was too political. Now, most of the
5 armories are consolidated at Bay Shore. That
6 move must have not been too political, so that
7 would have been a good proposal. But, anyway, it
8 didn't happen.

9 The naysayers seem to be the proverbial
10 squeaky wheel that prevents many good deeds and
11 ideas from being done here in Riverhead Town. A
12 NASCAR track would have made too much noise.
13 Noise? For two or three weekends a month? Not
14 to mention the spinoff of business that NASCAR
15 would have brought to the area.

16 Before ski mountain turned out to be such a
17 disaster, the proponents of NASCAR made Riverhead
18 an offer that they couldn't refuse. Riverhead
19 refused. That ended NASCAR in Calverton.

20 Another proposal for consideration was to
21 turn the former Grumman site into a
22 transportation hub. A rail spur could have been
23 expanded, and an airstrip was already in place.
24 What happened to the buses that would have filled
25 out that tri part, the three part? You had the

1 air, rail spur, and we needed buses. The Town
2 Board could have offered Hampton Jitney property
3 within the EPCAL site, but instead, they allowed
4 the bus depot on Edwards Avenue, and that's going
5 to turn into a very crowded area when that comes
6 to fruition. A transportation hub would have
7 relieved traffic on our consolidated roads.

8 Housing definitely does not belong at
9 EPCAL. Face reality. How many businesses want
10 to come out here to Suffolk County? Businesses
11 are thriving well, whatever businesses do thrive
12 well, in the western part of Suffolk County. And
13 a lot of businesses are leaving Suffolk County
14 because of the high cost of electricity and the
15 high cost of taxes here, not only on Long Island,
16 but in New York State as well. A transportation
17 hub and entertainment facilities, which I see
18 now, would be the only feasible thing to have out
19 in the Calverton site.

20 The eastern end of Suffolk County should be
21 known as the area where people want to come to to
22 be entertained on the North Fork and South Fork.
23 Do they go out there for business? No, they go
24 out there for all of the good sites that are out
25 there, places to see. Businesses out in

1 Calverton, what infrastructure is set up for
2 that?

3 That's all I have to say. Thank you.

4 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Thank you.

5 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Thank you, sir. Next
6 speaker. Good evening.

7 MS. BULLOCK: Good evening, everyone.

8 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Can I have your name
9 and hamlet or affiliation?

10 MS. BULLOCK: Yes, I will. To Supervisor
11 Walter and all the committee members, my name is
12 Sarah Bullock, and I'm a paid taxpayer in this
13 Town, and I'm the president of my house on
14 Northville Turnpike in Riverhead.

15 (Laughter)

16 What I know is that you have not because
17 you ask not, and you cannot -- we cannot sit at
18 our homes with our friends and with our families
19 and talk about what's going on this Town, what's
20 not going right, and what should be done, and
21 then you don't come out and talk, then you don't
22 say anything.

23 I want to know what is going on in EPCAL
24 down there, that fancy new EPCAL? And I'm
25 hearing every morning on the radio, WRIV, Bruce

1 Tria, committee members here, Mr. Dunleavy,
2 Mr. Wooten, Sean Walter, all of you, he
3 interviews them, and I sit and I listen to that
4 every single morning. I would like to know and
5 actually would like to see something in writing
6 of what is going on over there. There's some
7 white material over there. What's going on over
8 there? Grass all high, you can hardly see what's
9 going on. I would like say in writing what is
10 going on over there in words that I can
11 understand, and not with all these long pages in
12 this book. A, B, C and D, I would like to know.

13 This is my second time. I haven't been
14 here since 2010, and that's when we had that big
15 rain storm, and it was March 29th, 2010. I
16 always remember it because it was my grandson's
17 birthday, and it rained like 40 days and 40
18 nights, it seemed. And it was a big flood and I
19 had a -- I had one mess at my house. And let me
20 tell you, as being a taxpayer, paid taxpayer in
21 this Town, I came to this office I can't tell you
22 how many times, and how many times I called, I
23 got carpel tunnel finally, and I got no help at
24 all. The only thing I got was a \$25,000 bill for
25 the trouble that I got.

1 What is the things that I get for being a
2 taxpayer in this Town? What do I get? What? A
3 widow, nothing, not even a phone call back?
4 That's not right.

5 The thing of it is, what I'm hearing on the
6 radio, Bruce Tria in the morning, oh, yes, over
7 at EPCAL, what they want to do is this. Okay.
8 Some big-time guys are coming over there and
9 doing research and we want housing over there.
10 Oh, wait a minute. No, no, no, we don't need
11 housing for just anybody, we need it for the big
12 shots that are coming over there, where they
13 could stay when they're finished with their
14 thing. No, no, no. Let them get their own
15 places to stay.

16 When I was traveling up to work at 100
17 miles a day, when I got stuck on the road, or
18 whatever, I had to sleep in the car or find
19 somewhere to sleep. Nobody offered me anything
20 like that. No, get your own places to stay.

21 Then we talked with the big, fancy golf
22 course and all that, and the ski mountain,
23 whatever that man was saying, no, we don't need
24 that.

25 And we all know that this Town is stored

1 out of control up there on 58. That is a death
2 trap, and there is still no traffic control. Now
3 we live right here in the Town, so many people
4 say, "I'm not even going up on 58." That's a
5 shame. You live in Riverhead and we're not going
6 to go up there because of traffic? I almost like
7 killed myself up there, to be honest about it,
8 when they were working out there on that day and
9 they've got all the cones in the way, and I
10 thought that that was the way to go in, yet I'm
11 going into oncoming traffic. People in the back
12 of me won't let me get in and I thought I was a
13 goner. This is not right. This is not right.

14 Why in the world would -- a big pot of
15 worms here worrying about what's going on with
16 whatever you call it, EPCAL, or whatever it is,
17 talking about that firehouse down there on Main
18 Street, and want to give it away now for a penny?
19 No. Do your studies like you're supposed to do.
20 Stop rushing to judgment with all these things.
21 Plan out where it's going to be that's good for
22 everybody in the community, and that whatever is
23 done will reflect the authenticity of everybody
24 here.

25 And then almost one more thing. You know,

1 not everybody, that lawyers and councilmen, and
2 all like this, and the derelicts that are on the
3 street, a lot of them weren't always that way. A
4 lot of those derelicts on the street were
5 councilmen, and lawyers, and judges and all, and
6 they got down on their luck.

7 (Laughter)

8 Why don't somebody go out there, interview
9 them and say, "If you had a chance, tell me, what
10 would you do?" You'd be surprised at what you
11 would find out; you might even find your answer.
12 And then what's wrong with the old-fashioned box
13 where you put the things, the suggestion box?
14 What's wrong with that? "Oh, where are we going
15 to put it at?" Not over there because they're
16 going to rent here. Well, you can take it to my
17 house and I'll bring it down here and have them
18 look at it.

19 We have to start using more common sense,
20 and it's still all about money when you get right
21 down to it, it's all about money. And the only
22 thing you can hear from over there in Albany,
23 "Well, what are we going to do about this social
24 security," and all this, that, and blah, blah,
25 blah. They ain't talking about my social

1 security, because I can barely make it. If I
2 miss one of them, I'm in big trouble. But some
3 people do have it and it's out of control. And
4 they're talking on the TV, "Oh, this money, they
5 got a house in this place, that place." The poor
6 people need to be served. And in the end, all
7 that you have is not going to do you any good
8 anyway. Thank you.

9 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Thank you.

10 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Thank you.

11 (Applause)

12 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Next speaker. Go
13 ahead, Ray, you're there. State your name and
14 affiliation for the record.

15 MR. MAYNARD: My name is Ray Maynard. I'm
16 the owner of Skydive Long Island in Calverton.

17 Good evening, Town Board and Supervisor.
18 I'm also a property owner in EPCAL. I have been
19 a responsible business owner and an avid
20 supporter of this Town, the community, and this
21 Town Board for the last 14 years. I am happy to
22 finally see movement to its further development
23 in EPCAL. That said, however, after all these
24 years of being your supporter, I really can't
25 believe that this Board is planning a subdivision

1 that will compromise my business. Rather than
2 incorporating the assets already available within
3 EPCAL to create an intelligent business-oriented
4 economic development plan for EPCAL, you are
5 proposing housing in EPCAL, which is guaranteed
6 to be detrimental to my business.

7 There are numerous examples of when housing
8 is brought into or near areas that are deemed
9 noisy, of how these new communities untimely --
10 excuse me -- form a group to complain until they
11 get unwanted businesses shut down.

12 I'm sure many of you remember the
13 Bridgehampton Speedway. You are proposing mixed
14 use, but aviation is not included. You're
15 proposing bringing in a hotel and retail
16 businesses. I am sure that some of these future
17 businesses and hotel guests will find it
18 desirable and convenient to have a runway on
19 premise.

20 Aviation has been a longstanding history in
21 Calverton. Aviation is synonymous with EPCAL.
22 At this airport -- and this airport was once one
23 of the most impressive in the United States.
24 Previous Boards, with extreme shortsightedness,
25 closed the 7,000-foot runway, which could never

1 be used again for aviation, and that was a crime.
2 And now this Board is considering chopping off a
3 portion of the remaining runway. And to what
4 end? To have a retail establishment at the tail
5 end of an active runway? What business outside
6 of aviation would be happy with that? None.

7 This Town Board boasts the growth in this
8 Town and the local tourism, yet the Town Board
9 doesn't see the future opportunities this jewel
10 of a runway provides in the overall Business
11 Development Plan properly designed by EPCAL.

12 I implore you to reconsider your actions.
13 Develop a plan that makes sense for EPCAL. It's
14 a business area. We already have light
15 industrial business here. Create more job --
16 more business opportunities, which, in turn,
17 create more jobs. Your focus should be on jobs
18 and business, not housing.

19 This Town should develop a mixed-use,
20 business-oriented, business-focused plan which
21 incorporates the existing runway. Bring in
22 hotels and light industrial. Open up some
23 general aviation and maximize potential this
24 airport and the existing assets provides, rather
25 than, like previous Boards, plan for the further

1 demise by chopping off part of the runway and
2 creating housing.

3 I hope you recognize that there is a better
4 development opportunity in EPCAL and that you
5 restructure your plan accordingly. Thank you
6 very much.

7 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Thank you.

8 (Applause)

9 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

10 MS. JOHNSTON: Good evening,

11 Mr. Supervisor, Members of the Board. Thank you
12 for the opportunity to address you. My name is
13 Maryann Johnston. I'm president of the
14 Affiliated Brookhaven Civic Organizations. We've
15 been here before. You know, you can't get to
16 EPCAL unless you come through Brookhaven, you
17 just can't, even if they develop the runway.

18 But the reality is EPCAL is not suited for
19 housing, never was. You were given that
20 opportunity, that gift, to replace the jobs that
21 were lost when Grumman left, not to develop
22 another Levittown. It's ridiculous.

23 I've looked at the plan and the mitigation
24 measures that you're submitting. Such as they
25 are, they're so thinly veiled that I couldn't

1 tell you whether there'll be a grassland there in
2 five years, nonetheless eternity. This is not
3 how you do it. You plan with specificity.
4 That's why it's called planning. That's what we
5 need to do.

6 I'm very concerned that I recently saw
7 Caithness 3, LLC. We just stopped Caithness 2,
8 we're not going to have a 3. We don't need to
9 move in the direction as a Town to develop fossil
10 fuel plants at EPCAL, of all places, while you're
11 trying to preserve something. You can't do it.
12 It's really critical that you trust those traffic
13 impacts. They affect my residents, my
14 communities. You can't get there without going
15 through Brookhaven.

16 So what triggers are you going to set up?
17 Where's Brookhaven in this process? I don't see
18 a soul here from Brookhaven except ABCO. Why
19 not? They need to be involved in this process.
20 This was a regional, regional asset, not a carved
21 up Levittown.

22 This is inappropriate, and we will be
23 submitting written comments covering the many
24 deficiencies. I'm very distressed to see that
25 this plan developed by the Coalition was tossed.

1 We used to call Riverhead "The Home of
2 Every Stupid Idea." You know, I had no idea that
3 the best one would be you wanted it to be
4 Centereach. Holy crap. Thank you.

5 (Applause)

6 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes, sir, if you could
7 give us your name and affiliation or hamlet for
8 the record.

9 MR. HOWARD: Yeah, hi. My name is Gordon
10 Howard. I don't have any affiliation. I am a
11 member of the Sierra Club, and I go through EPCAL
12 a few times a week on my bicycle. There's a path
13 that the -- that was paved, the old security path
14 for Grumman. It's an absolutely beautiful place,
15 it's breathtaking, breathtakingly beautiful. And
16 I recommend, highly recommend that everybody here
17 go and look at the place before you people
18 destroy it, because people like you are
19 destroying the whole planet, and you guys are
20 right on board and keep going. You're doing a
21 great job, and we won't have any planet left when
22 you're done. Bye.

23 (Applause)

24 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Thank you. Yes. Can I
25 have your name and affiliation, sir?

1 MR. HOCHBRUECKNER: He's a little hard to
2 follow. I'm former Congressman George
3 Hochbrueckner. I do live half a mile east of the
4 Riverhead Town line in the Town of Southold, but
5 I do have an interest, as you know.

6 Certainly, others have spoken about the
7 DGEIS and the impact it has in general. I'm here
8 to talk about a specific issue that is important
9 to the people, the taxpayers of Riverhead.

10 As most of you know, I wrote the
11 legislation in the early '90s that gave, that
12 gifted the 2900 acres of the EPCAL to the Town of
13 Riverhead. When Grumman left, and I know a
14 little bit about that, because I worked on the
15 Grumman F-14 Tomcat for six years as an engineer
16 at the Calverton facility before I was elected to
17 the State Assembly, and then eventually to
18 Congress, so I know a little bit about the
19 property.

20 And when Grumman left, clearly, the goal of
21 the Federal Government was to just sell the
22 property and put the money into the U.S.
23 Treasury. At that point, the people of Riverhead
24 asked me, as their Congressman from the East End,
25 to do what I could to try and provide the taxes

1 that were being paid by Grumman on a voluntary
2 basis, with payments in lieu of taxes, to
3 compensate for that loss, because Riverhead
4 needed the money badly. And so I went to work as
5 the Congressman, and the net result is, after
6 going round and round with Senator John Glenn,
7 who just wanted to sell it, I was successful in
8 convincing them that they should give the
9 property to Riverhead, so it could be developed
10 for economic development purposes. That was the
11 commitment that I made to President Clinton and
12 to the Congress at that time, in 1993, the
13 property, all 2900 acres, would be used for
14 economic development.

15 Now, obviously, through the years, through
16 many administrations in the Town, both Democratic
17 and Republican, we are where we are today, which
18 is that basically 500 acres went to Jan Burman,
19 the buildings and other facilities that were
20 obvious for use at the Grumman site, and the net
21 result is 500 acres have been used, and that's
22 fine. The issue has been what's going on with
23 the other 2400 acres in terms of development?

24 And so, obviously, I was pleased last year
25 when the Republican Town Board, by a

1 five-to-nothing vote, hired me to help with the
2 program in terms of getting the DEC to finally
3 give some ground and allow some economic
4 development, because, as you know, with the
5 property sort of being ignored in many ways,
6 habitat developed, and the net result is folks
7 said, "Well, we've got to protect the critters
8 and the area," and for a variety of reasons, we
9 are where we are today.

10 So the question is where do we go from
11 here? I was pleased to be part of the program to
12 help get the State Law through the legislation --
13 through the State Legislature last year that
14 gives you the capability that you are currently
15 executing, and, certainly, that's great, along
16 with getting the DEC to at least agree to this
17 roughly 600 acres of economic development, as
18 you've presented it on the map. But I was still
19 not happy, because my commitment was all 2900
20 acres would go to economic development. But I'm
21 also a realist, and I realize that 20 years after
22 granting, gifting the land over, all the acres
23 are not going to be used for economic
24 development.

25 So what we have before us now is probably

1 the best program that you can come up with at the
2 time, with modifications, as you will probably
3 make as a function of inputs from people, and the
4 concurrence of the Department of Environmental
5 Conservation, because you own the land, but,
6 clearly, they have a big control over how you use
7 the land, and I understand that.

8 My concern is that, you know, when I wrote
9 that legislation, the intent was to help the
10 people of Riverhead to provide a tax base, to
11 provide money to compensate for the loss of the
12 Grumman money, and we never intended it to be a
13 burden, but let me tell you what will be a
14 burden. Right now, you have a 7,000-foot
15 abandoned runway, the western runway, because the
16 10,000-foot runway is being used, certainly sky
17 divers there, there'll be other uses. But the
18 7,000-foot runway on the west side is intended to
19 be covered with soil and grasses grown, which in
20 my view is a total waste of that facility.

21 And I think everyone George, I've talked to
22 him about this. You know, as a farmer, he knows
23 that if you put six inches of soil on there, put
24 on grasses, it will be dead in the summer. I
25 mean, there's no drainage. How are you going to

1 keep the grasses growing? So it makes sense to
2 use that runway for a different purpose.

3 And, of course, George Bartunek, former
4 Town Councilman, several months ago had an
5 article in the local News Review, saying, "Put
6 solar on there." That makes sense. Why waste
7 that beautiful base of the 7,000-foot runway and
8 the taxiways associated with it? And I agree
9 with that. And I was approached by a solar
10 company, a prominent solar company out of
11 Virginia, and they had put in a proposal to
12 install 20 megawatts of solar on that runway, and
13 to pay for the next 20 years as part of the Long
14 Island Power Authority Request For Proposals for
15 renewable energy. They will pay 20 years to
16 lease that property to put those solar panels.

17 The alternative is, if we don't use it for
18 solar purposes, and this may be the last and only
19 opportunity we have to come through with any
20 megawatt RFP that LIPA closed out on March 31st,
21 and is going to be making decisions on by the end
22 of the year, if we don't do that, then we're
23 going to have a situation where DEC will make you
24 cover that runway, they will make you plant
25 grasses, and it will cost you over a million

1 dollars.

2 It was never our intention that that
3 property should be a burden to the taxpayers of
4 Riverhead. You were supposed to make money with
5 it. So the best alternative right now, in my
6 view, and that's why I'm here, is to appeal to
7 the Board, to give site control to HelioSage,
8 which is the company that has put in a live
9 active proposal that is under consideration by
10 LIPA/PSE&G right now. They'll be making a
11 decision by the end of the year. But, clearly,
12 when they put in the proposal, it was on the
13 basis of having a plan to achieve site control,
14 and the plan was from March 31st until LIPA makes
15 the decision to get the Town Board to say yes, we
16 approve the site. And, therefore, it's available
17 for LIPA to consider putting in 20 megawatts of
18 solar. So that's why I'm here, and that is the
19 goal.

20 Now people will argue, "Well, there should
21 have been competition." The land was never
22 offered up, because -- and the reason it wasn't
23 was because we had this deal, which is before you
24 now, which supposedly DEC will approve, and I
25 assume that they will. And, obviously, the Town

1 Board did not want to disrupt that program,
2 because for 20 years we've had nothing, once
3 Burman bought the 500 acres. They finally have a
4 plan that makes a lot of sense. Now, you may
5 want to modify it, you may have ways to improve
6 it, and that's fine, nothing is ever perfect, but
7 there's a plan on the table, and I'm convinced
8 that DEC will approve it. And that's why the
9 Town Board has not been willing to provide site
10 control, because they do not want to blow up this
11 deal with DEC.

12 But let me tell you, I have offered my
13 services at no cost to the Town. You paid me
14 enough last year. I will offer my services this
15 year at no cost to the Town to work with DEC and
16 convince them that they should approve your plan,
17 and that, in addition, they should allow 20
18 megawatts of solar to be installed on that
19 runway. So, instead of wasting one million
20 dollars of your taxpayers' money, instead, you
21 will make probably five, six, who knows, eight
22 million dollars over the next 20 years by leasing
23 out that runway for the purpose of the solar.

24 And I think even on the environmentalist
25 side, this makes sense. I mean, grasses are nice

1 and all that, but producing solar energy,
2 offsetting our use of foreign oil, giving us a
3 better situation, both nationally and also
4 locally, that makes sense, and that's what we
5 should be doing.

6 Now, as I say, one might argue, "Well, we
7 didn't have a competition, and everything the
8 Town does has to be competing." So grant site
9 control. I'll get DEC to say yes, and if someone
10 jumps up from another solar company and says,
11 "Well, wait a minute, I should have had the right
12 to bid on that lease," fine, have a competition
13 after the fact. But remember also that nothing
14 is 100%. HelioSage only has a proposal before
15 LIPA; they have to select it. They are making
16 the final decision, just as in the 95 acres that
17 you set aside as an energy park in March. You
18 passed six resolutions that allowed a variety of
19 companies to put in solar, fuel cell, and even
20 the Caithness folks had a piece of that, and you
21 gave them a blanket approval to go ahead and
22 basically be approved for the site, and you let
23 LIPA make the decision as to who will be selected
24 from those people. I'm asking you the same
25 thing.

1 There may well be some other company that
2 either was smart enough or dumb enough to put in
3 a proposal to put solar on the 7,000-foot runway,
4 because there were inquiries. George and I
5 talked about that, people were interested in that
6 runway. Maybe someone else put in a proposal.

7 So give us a blanket approval. Let me work
8 with DEC and convince them that they should allow
9 20 megawatts of solar on that one runway, and at
10 least let's take another 100 acres of the EPCAL
11 and put it to economic development purposes,
12 because, right now, you know, as I say, you had
13 2900 acres, Burman got 500, this is about 600
14 that we're talking here, there's still a lot of
15 acreage that is not going to go to economic
16 development. Let's at least put this small piece
17 of it into solar energy production and let's make
18 money for the Town, instead of costing the Town,
19 potentially, because, mark my words, if you don't
20 allow this, DEC is going to be all over you for
21 many years until you finally put soil on that
22 ground and plant grasses, and you don't want to
23 do that. It's a million dollars to the taxpayers
24 to do that. It's a stupid waste of money. The
25 better use is 20 megawatts of solar.

1 power and use of the runway, all well and good,
2 but has anybody been reading Newsday lately on
3 the study about PSEG as saying that LIPA had
4 basically overbuilt or overcommitted billions and
5 billions and billions of dollars, and they have
6 these long-term commitments? Now are we going to
7 get involved with something else? We're paying
8 more and more money if we can't get out of some
9 of those other things. Then -- I mean, solar
10 power is all well and good, but then we'll have,
11 yet, additional stuff that we don't need.

12 And as far as the matter of grassland is
13 concerned and relocating it, I live in
14 Manorville, and if anybody knows Manorville, you
15 know we have sand. I always wanted to live on
16 the beach, but I live in Manorville and I have
17 sand. And when grass grows, I'm happy that it
18 grows. And you don't relocate grass in sand
19 that's been established and established and
20 established. So I think that is -- relocation of
21 the grassland is totally unfeasible, judging by
22 the environment.

23 What I really came to talk about is access
24 to the building site. I live on River Road,
25 which is just down from the Swan Lake Golf

1 Course, and it feeds into Grumman Boulevard, and
2 then River Road makes a right-hand turn and
3 continues on and backs onto the property. I
4 understood initially that there were going to be
5 three entrances added to the property off of
6 Route 25, and I thought, good, they're not going
7 to affect the southern portion where I live, but
8 now I understand that there is going to be one.

9 Now, when you had the Sandy car
10 situation -- I live on River Road, limit of
11 weight, eight tons. River Road is not a direct
12 north-south access. You basically have to do
13 some really circuitous doing. The next road down
14 is Line Road, also eight tons. That has access
15 off of Wading River-Manor Road. Next down is
16 Wading River Road itself, also an eight-ton road.
17 That is a direct north-south from the Expressway
18 up to 25. The only other direct is Edwards
19 Avenue, which is considerably east of the
20 property. Edwards Avenue is backlogged already
21 now. Sometimes it takes me two or more tries to
22 make the left-hand turn up there. Now you're
23 going to be adding the bus station. So the thing
24 is you have a problem with the east-west access,
25 but you have trouble even getting to 25.

1 through. And I just want everyone to understand
2 and appreciate the fact that you cannot sell a
3 lot until you've put in the roads, the sewer, the
4 water, all the infrastructure, and that all gets
5 in. Nobody's going to buy it, you can't buy a
6 lot. How long is that going to take? It's going
7 to take years and years.

8 When I bought my house up on Peninsula Path
9 in 1984, I was paying \$1700 in taxes; my taxes
10 are now over \$12,000 a year. Come on. If the
11 bridge loan fails, that doesn't get developed as
12 quickly as you want, and we got a disaster here.
13 Thank you.

14 (Applause)

15 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Thank you.

16 MR. FISCHER: Hi. I'm Greg Fischer, I'm a
17 resident of Calverton. And I have three degrees
18 in business, 30 years in strategic planning, and
19 in the interest of full disclosure, I'm also a
20 New York State Comptroller candidate right now.

21 The -- I'm here to speak really on two
22 vectors, one is feasibility, and the second one
23 is cash flow. And on feasibility, I'd like to
24 incorporate the gentleman that said that mixing
25 residential and light industrial is usually toxic

1 to these kinds of developments. If you look
2 around the country, you don't find really
3 successful industrial developments, tech centers,
4 etcetera, with mixed use, it just doesn't pan
5 out.

6 The other thing is we're down to a piece of
7 property, as Mr. Hochbrueckner pointed out, that
8 is really very small compared to a lot of
9 successful similar efforts around the country.
10 So, again, another reason not to put residential
11 development in there, to use what is left for
12 industrial, light industrial.

13 On a cash flow basis, again, very
14 concerned, because we don't have in hand letters
15 of intent or any kind of binder from anything
16 that would be an anchor for development here. We
17 don't really have a place to start. We've
18 somewhat developed arbitrary checkerboard of
19 lots, but we really don't know who wants to put
20 what where. So that is kind of like a
21 supply-side economic theory to say that, "If we
22 build it, they will come," and that is really not
23 wise. You don't know who's coming. There hasn't
24 been significant outreach to create who's coming.
25 I know there are some groups that are still

1 interested in developing, entertainment, racing,
2 etcetera, and maybe that is still under
3 consideration as a possibility, but you still
4 need to have some anchor or anchors, and you have
5 to have some people expressing a serious
6 interest. Otherwise, it's not really wise to
7 start to parcel out what could be key parcels and
8 unavailable to organizations that have a grander
9 plan.

10 There's always the possibility, too, of
11 proposing this, with the proper guidance, of
12 being a targeted development to say we were going
13 to do nothing but pharmaceutical, or nothing but
14 joint replacements, or some particular use, or
15 electronics or software, and targeting and
16 marketing it that way. I don't think the proper
17 studies have been done to say that we can't look
18 at it that way and have a very -- we are down to
19 a small piece of property, to focus on a
20 particular sector, a sector that might have
21 viability for good jobs over the next 20, 30, or
22 more, years, biotech being one of the better of
23 those. And we do have a lot of pharmaceutical
24 companies on Long Island. Long Island does seem
25 to attract pharmaceuticals and biotech, so that's

1 a possibility. But I think we're looking at it
2 backwards to say build the roads, build -- cut it
3 up and then try and sell it. Again, it is -- and
4 to incorporate what some other people said, we're
5 going to spend a lot of money, do a lot of
6 bonding. If we wind up holding this, it's very
7 expensive. It's another town dump problem for a
8 small town, another big debt service for a small
9 town.

10 And with apologies, I think the best way is
11 to -- is to find those anchor type ventures that
12 will draw other similar smaller ventures in, and
13 target and just preserve it for industrial, light
14 industrial research, but absolutely no housing.
15 It is toxic to this kind of development. Thank
16 you very much.

17 (Applause)

18 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Thank you.

19 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Thank you.

20 MR. PURCELL: Ed Purcell, East Main Street,
21 Riverhead.

22 Number one, I go along with most of the
23 other speakers, that housing, there's just no
24 reason for housing in there up at EPCAL.

25 Also, with the retail, that would be a cash

1 cow, but that would only move the retail from
2 where it is now further west, because, as anybody
3 who lives in Riverhead knows, the stores on the
4 eastern end and the -- have just closed up and
5 they keep moving west. So there's absolutely no
6 reason to put any large retail. A deli,
7 something that would be conducive with businesses
8 that are there as a special use, absolutely, but
9 not general retail. That just is a -- it's a
10 waste of money.

11 I had never heard of the solar, but I think
12 that would be a good deal to try to put that
13 solar on that runway. And if it's only a lease,
14 then should it fall flat, well, then it could be
15 torn down and something else could be put up.
16 That's why the solar would probably be an
17 excellent idea, especially if we can get
18 Mr. Hochbrueckner to work on it for nothing.

19 (Laughter)

20 So, in reality, it always sounds good to
21 have a mixed use, but in practicality, you got --
22 you're dealing with human beings, and human
23 beings just can't deal with that type of work
24 environment. It's no different that over in
25 Islip, where it used to be Islip Speedway. They

1 used to complain about the noise all the time,
2 and they put an industrial park in on that
3 property. Well, as far as I know, the only thing
4 that went in there was a cookie factory. And
5 guess what, the neighbors still complained,
6 because whether it's the noise of the stock cars
7 that they complained about, now they complained
8 about the trucks, because you're going to have
9 trucks that make noise. They're legal to ride on
10 the road, but you've got to deal with the trucks.
11 If it's not trucks, you're using the trains. If
12 you extend the rail, you have to deal -- you hear
13 the trains at night. I can hear them on East
14 Main Street when they go down the main line down
15 towards Greenport, and when they go past, I can
16 hear the trains.

17 So, in reality, that -- it sounds great,
18 but it doesn't work, and anybody with common
19 sense knows it doesn't. Thank you.

20 (Applause0

21 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Thank you.

22 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Thank you. Next.

23 MS. DOWNS: Good evening. Laurie Downs,
24 Pulaski Street, Riverhead. I'm now a charter
25 member of Sandra (sic) Bullock's fan club.

1 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Sarah.

2 (Laughter)

3 MS. DOWNS: I'm like -- I'm really angry at
4 a lot of the things that are going, and one of
5 the things, first off, housing over at EPCAL
6 is -- the original documentation that was given
7 to the Town states no housing. It is exactly
8 what Congressman Hochbrueckner had said. It was
9 to generate jobs and revenue for the people that
10 live here.

11 Housing, you know, we just had this
12 78 million dollar bond with the school district,
13 and the schools are still going to be -- some of
14 these elementary schools are still going to be
15 bussing. Riley Avenue, which would be at that
16 end, as -- I see in another five years, we're
17 going to be doing another bond to give them more,
18 and if you put houses in there, forget about it.

19 The other thing about it is infrastructure,
20 who's going to pay for this infrastructure? I
21 mean, I sure as heck aren't, and I don't think
22 the Town is. I mean, you're looking for a bridge
23 loan. A bridge loan is only going to extend
24 things to when we pay, and I don't believe that
25 we're going to be able to pay in two years.

1 And, you know, we've been talking about
2 this stuff since 2009, when you's all were
3 running. And, Mr. Supervisor, you, yourself, was
4 showing that all this was going to happen and
5 everything. Well, this is the third time around,
6 and now, when we're at the edge of the cliff, now
7 you're running to do something, and I think it's
8 pretty shabby. And I think all those years of
9 bickering and everything was uncalled for. Maybe
10 there should have been some governing and we
11 wouldn't be where we are today. Thank you.

12 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Thank you.

13 (Applause)

14 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Next speaker.

15 MR. HARARI: Good evening. I'm Ron Harari
16 from Aquebogue. You know, I call 'em like I see
17 'em, I want to just say that this Town Board is
18 privileged to have members of this community, the
19 environmental community, the business community,
20 and just same -- just the plain folks that have
21 come here tonight. And you know what, I have not
22 heard a single, single individual say anything
23 good about this plan. And I think the real
24 problem is that under your watch, Supervisor
25 Walter, you have taken this Town to the verge of

1 bankruptcy, and you've created an intolerable,
2 unconscionable situation where your desperation
3 to generate some revenue to avoid Riverhead's
4 fiscal cliff may blind you from acting rationally
5 and listening to your community.

6 So I'm going to urge the Board and the
7 consultant to take the time to step back, listen
8 to the thoughts that have been so persuasively
9 articulated here tonight and do not rush to
10 judgment. You need to consider the
11 infrastructure costs and what the net gain will
12 be to this Town before you move forward with
13 this.

14 So, please, hold your horses, step back,
15 and give this the thought that it really
16 deserves. Thank you.

17 (Applause)

18 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Thank you.

19 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Thank you.

20 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Next speaker. Feel
21 free to line up.

22 MR. GOODALE: Good evening. My name is Ed
23 Goodale, and I'm here to represent my family, who
24 owns and operates Riverhead Building Supply. We
25 have, as you know, made substantial investments

1 at EPCAL, and we continue to do so today.

2 We are not in favor of the proposed mixed
3 use in the industrial park, because of all of my
4 professional life, I have been chased from
5 residential communities because of my business.
6 And we have willingly moved because of the
7 pressures that have been put on us by residential
8 growing up around us, and we've moved from
9 Ostrander Avenue, to Pulaski Street, to Mill
10 Road, and now we are finally up at EPCAL. And I
11 am looking down a road and finding myself, I
12 might be right back where I started, and I'm not
13 particularly pleased about this particular zoning
14 plan.

15 You recently approved a rehab facility to
16 be built at Calverton. That will be challenging
17 enough when that is built there as the -- whoever
18 is running that. If I remember, the quote was,
19 "This will be a great, quiet, and peaceful
20 environment for our patients that are here," and
21 that just scares the hell out of me.

22 This is an industrial park, it should
23 remain an industrial park. I have told this
24 administration and the -- and to be fair,
25 administrations before this, that your luring of

1 industrial companies to come here have been
2 flawed from the beginning.

3 I have talked to numerous people who have
4 come to this Town to visualize moving their
5 businesses, to have them come here, and after
6 speaking with various Town Boards, various Town
7 people, cannot run away fast enough.

8 The Town is trying to constantly hit a home
9 run here at EPCAL. You need to hit singles. You
10 need to do this smartly. You need to create an
11 annuity that will prepare this Town to have a
12 constant flow of income for decades to come. I
13 shudder to think that if you sell all of these
14 lots, what will happen with those millions of
15 dollars that will suddenly come into the budget,
16 into the Town of Riverhead, what will happen with
17 that? And when it's all gone, where will you be,
18 and what will be left?

19 So I urge you, and, also, I -- if my peers
20 in the building industry were here to hear me say
21 that I am opposed to a housing development, they
22 might --

23 (Laughter and Applause)

24 Yeah, they might want to have me a little
25 bit committed. But industrial and residential do

1 not mix, they never have mixed. If you're
2 looking for places for people to live, there is
3 still plenty of places in this town, and there is
4 plenty of land in this town under the current
5 planning, under the major planning that you had
6 done years ago. And if you want to create more
7 workforce housing, there are many, many things
8 that you can do to entice people to come here,
9 that people can afford to do workforce housing.
10 And if you need to have those explanations, I'd
11 be more than happy to put you in touch with the
12 how-to people, how they can do this, how the Town
13 could help to be able to create workforce
14 housing. But if you need workforce housing, that
15 means you need to have jobs, and the only kind of
16 jobs you're going to get that are going to be
17 able to pay for someone to own a home is going to
18 be in an industrial park, not some recreational
19 uses and retail uses up at EPCAL. Thank you.

20 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Thank you.

21 (Applause)

22 MR. BAIL: Sid Bail, Wading River Civic
23 Association. I have two issues, I guess, from
24 the DEIS that I'd like to get, hopefully, later
25 on clarification on.

1 It's mentioned that in the DEIS that
2 regulations for mixed use development should
3 address the need for minimizing land use
4 conflicts between potentially conflicting uses,
5 such as residential proximity and industrial
6 uses. Like a lot of statements in the DEIS, they
7 don't seem to go anywhere. You know, there
8 should be regulations, you know, something should
9 be done, but there's not much in the way of
10 answers.

11 Is the Town going to make the regulations?
12 Is there going to be some sort of authority or
13 commission, like in Fort Deven, like, you know,
14 which has inspired, I guess, you know, people on
15 the Town Board. I think that's pretty important
16 to know. And I think it's important for us to
17 know this right from the get-go, because I think
18 the initial uses that go in there are going to do
19 a lot to set the tone for what is going to
20 follow. And so, you know, I'd like to get some
21 clarification on that.

22 And one other final point. The point that
23 Dick Amper mentioned early on about the 25,000
24 job employment level in 2035, I'm not an expert,
25 but, you know, just from Googling various points

1 of information, etcetera, I see in Fort Deven,
2 the employment level now is 4,000, 4,000 in 2014,
3 and they've been at it for quite a while. And
4 just from my perspective, it seems like 25,000
5 is a --

6 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: A leap.

7 MR. BAIL: -- not a realistic number. And
8 so I'd like to get some clarification on that.
9 But it's been a very interesting discussion
10 tonight. Thank you.

11

12 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Thank you.

13 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Thank you.

14 (Applause)

15 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Next. Does anybody
16 else want to be heard? Yes.

17 MR. VOLGREN: Am I correct, that there's
18 public comment tomorrow evening as well?

19 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Public comment is at
20 the Planning Board for the public hearing on the
21 subdivision application, preliminary subdivision
22 application, and a similar public hearing for
23 input on the Urban, amended Urban Renewal Plan.
24 But if there's not anybody else, what -- yeah,
25 folks, line up, because you're going to wind up

1 missing your opportunity and I'm going to close
2 the hearing.

3 MR. COATES: Good evening. I'm Anthony
4 Coates from Riverhead, New York.

5 I'm listening to Congressman Hochbrueckner,
6 and I think it's important to just recapture a
7 little bit of the history of this property. I
8 think we're going far afield in what the original
9 intent was. The Congressman said that we
10 originally had 2900 acres there and all of that
11 was to go to economic development, and over time,
12 that shrunk down to -- we sold off part of the
13 core and we now have about 600 acres under the
14 new plan to develop, so every acre counts here.

15 We've lost a tremendous amount of what was
16 supposed to be the impetus for this region and
17 for this Town, so every acre has to count that
18 much more. When you take the thousand-page
19 study, and you take all of the discussion, and
20 all of the experts, I would like to call it,
21 let's Columbo this idea, let's boil it down to
22 sheer, small logic. And the sheer, small logic
23 is that, as I see it, there are three ways to get
24 paid at EPCAL. One is to collect taxes, two is
25 the price we're going to get per acre for the

1 land, and three is to create high quality jobs
2 for our residents. We've already said, and the
3 study confirms, that we're going to have people
4 go there essentially tax-free. So one leg of the
5 stool is gone. Anyone who chooses to pioneer at
6 EPCAL will, in essence, pay no taxes.

7 What are we going to get paid for the land?
8 EPCAL, with all due respect, has all of the
9 amenities right now of Gilligan's Island.
10 There's not a motor car, there's not a single
11 luxury; there aren't roads, there isn't
12 infrastructure. And so someone negotiating with
13 us is going to have us in the disadvantageous
14 position.

15 You know, if you go up the street to
16 Mr. Schulman's golf course at Calverton Links,
17 formerly Calverton Links, land there in a turnkey
18 operation, where you just need to mow the grass
19 and you can go in and where there are buildings,
20 and amenities, and a golf course, and golf carts,
21 and a catering facility, and a name facility,
22 went for \$28,000 an acre. What is this Board
23 projecting in their business planning acres are
24 going to sell for at EPCAL?

25 If we put residences at that property, what

1 pressure is going to be on the people there who
2 want to develop a business? You heard a fine
3 member of our business community talk about what
4 pressure the 3 or 400 residents that come there
5 are going to bring to bear to ensure that we
6 don't properly build out this industrial park,
7 and it won't achieve what the original intent was
8 that Congressman Hochbrueckner talked about.

9 I'm also deeply troubled by the fact,
10 because I was on that Deven's trip, that the
11 words "ancillary housing" are being thrown
12 around. Ancillary housing is housing for feeder
13 employees of a business. So let's project to the
14 future. Let's assume a Pfizer, or a
15 pharmaceutical company comes here and they need
16 land for their 2 or 300 employees they're going
17 to tote with them, because that's what the study
18 seems to be calling for, how is that developing
19 jobs for the people here in Riverhead? How is
20 that developing the high-paying, white collar,
21 high-tech jobs that our kids are going to stay in
22 Suffolk County, how is that being developed if
23 someone comes here and imports their workforce?

24 So I'm very deeply troubled by where this
25 study has taken us. I'm very deeply troubled by

1 where the years have taken us. So I think it's
2 time to go back. I know we're on the verge of
3 enacting legislation to call for a bridge loan.
4 I'm troubled by the bridge loan. If we need four
5 million dollars to pay our staff as it exists
6 now, aren't we going to need that same four
7 million, plus the carrying costs of the property,
8 plus the increase in salaries next year, and the
9 year after, and the year after? And isn't the
10 only way that we can get out of paying the
11 minimum on our Visa card, isn't that going to be
12 by developing jobs and selling land? And how
13 quickly is this land going to sell?

14 I'm deeply troubled that a project of this
15 magnitude, a project where every acre counts is
16 not being thought out the way it should be. And
17 so I urge everyone, go back to the drawing board.
18 I am an optimist. I think that the land that
19 sent men to the moon can certainly be developed
20 in a fashion that can meet what it was originally
21 intended to do. Thanks very much.

22 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Thank you.

23 (Applause)

24 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Next speaker, please.

25 MR. VOLGREN: My name is Greg Volgren, from

1 River Road in Calverton. I apologize now, my
2 speech is not going to be as well put together as
3 that. I wasn't actually planning on speaking
4 tonight, but I have a few comments, basically, on
5 the EPCAL redevelopment.

6 Living on River Road, east of the facility
7 and west of Edwards Avenue, I'm probably one of
8 your closest residents to it, so I do have some
9 concerns about it.

10 We hear this term "redevelopment" of the
11 EPCAL property. I don't see any redevelopment
12 here, I see development. Redevelopment would
13 have been holding on to the initial core that was
14 sold when it was vacated, and redeveloping that,
15 and opening that back up for business. What
16 we're doing now is full-on development, and I'm
17 scared of the magnitude of it. Traffic is going
18 to be horrendous if we're looking at the
19 full-on -- 2035 I think was full build, if I read
20 it properly.

21 The road that I live on, it was said in the
22 DEIS, that can support a much larger volume of
23 traffic. I don't see it at all. As it is now,
24 the traffic is bad. The cars fly down the road.
25 My girlfriend's almost been hit by a Suffolk

1 County Sheriff flying down the road, had to dive
2 into the bushes. I've almost been hit by a
3 vehicle checking my mail. My neighbor had a
4 vehicle run up her mailbox onto a road, onto her
5 property, which is also a bus stop, missing a
6 child by probably about 45 seconds to 50 seconds.
7 Luckily, the child was picked up and taken from
8 there. And just last week, we had vehicle veer
9 off the road, take out a sign and end up in an
10 embankment along the railroad tracks there. This
11 was all within 50 feet east or west of my
12 property line.

13 I don't see how -- it was also said in the
14 DEIS that -- I believe, that a large -- you know,
15 a large scale full build, that we can't support
16 the traffic with the roads that we have now, and
17 I don't see room to expand the roads to do it.
18 River Road cannot handle much more traffic, let
19 alone significant traffic volume upgrades.
20 Twenty-five, if you want to create that into
21 another Long Island Expressway, go for it, but
22 you're ruining what Riverhead is.

23 I moved to Riverhead for what it is now.
24 You look at a picture like this, open space,
25 farmland, trees, that's what I came here from --

1 for from Islip. I work in Queens. I drive every
2 day, there's no -- you know, my job isn't
3 available here in Riverhead. I understand you
4 want to create jobs in Riverhead, create money,
5 everything like that, but I don't think flooding
6 the market is the way to do it, and giving away
7 land tax-free, it's ridiculous. Instead of
8 developing 600 acres and not taking tax money
9 from those people, why don't we look smaller
10 scale, do it a little bit smarter and take tax
11 money from those people? Everywhere else people
12 are doing it. Hampton Jitney, all these other
13 projects that are going on, people are paying
14 taxes, it's what goes on. Why are we giving it
15 for free? It's so complicated -- it's so much
16 more complicated to deal with 600 acres,
17 developing it, when you could be a little more
18 selective with the businesses that are going on
19 and take the tax money from them.

20 I hit on traffic. That's something that --
21 you know, also, the type of businesses that we
22 attract with whatever gets developed, there's
23 different types of traffic that are associated
24 with that. What we should try to avoid is the
25 Monday through Friday commuter traffic. In the

1 DEIS, that was specified as being the highest
2 volumes of traffic associated with office spaces,
3 medical facilities. That's something that we
4 should try avoid, and maybe gear the development
5 towards other businesses that will provide less
6 traffic, such as we've been -- solar is the hot
7 topic here right now, right? They said that that
8 creates little to no traffic. Why don't we work
9 a little bit more towards a project like that?
10 You already have a company operating in EPCAL
11 called PODS. It's a big -- they have a large
12 warehouse, they operate out of there. There's
13 also very little traffic associated with them.
14 The only traffic that they have is their few
15 employees, and the guys pulling the trucks in and
16 out with pods; that's great too.

17 In regards to solar, another comment on
18 that about trying to get solar on the runway, I
19 think it's a good idea. But in working with the
20 DEC, I think it's also a trade-off. You're not
21 just going to go -- there's been a certain amount
22 of acreage that's been agreed on to be developed.
23 You can't just go and ask for more and expect to
24 get it. It's going to come down to a good
25 old-fashioned negotiation. So, therefore, look

1 at your map of the subdivision and take a couple
2 of parcels that equal the acreage of the runway
3 and propose a trade-off to them. And to me,
4 the properties on the east side of the eastern
5 runway make sense to be the properties traded
6 off, because they're totally segregated from the
7 rest of the property. And if those are ever
8 developed, you're going to have more
9 environmental impact, they're going to be more
10 costly to develop, in all means, whether it's
11 running electricity, water, sewers everything
12 like that. It really doesn't make sense that
13 those properties are there. Propose a trade-off
14 for them and work on that. Just to go and ask
15 for it, I think you're going to get shut down.
16 You know, it's something that you can try, if
17 you're really interested in doing solar at the
18 project.

19 In regard to other recreations at EPCAL, I
20 also want to point out how recreation fits into
21 the East End. Like somebody else pointed out,
22 you don't come to Riverhead or even parts of
23 Brookhaven and other towns for business. When
24 you're driving eastbound on the Long Island
25 Expressway, what are you -- or let's start off,

1 if you're driving westbound on the Long Island
2 Expressway and you get out into the Islips, and
3 stuff like that, and there's one of those
4 attraction signs, it is blank. There's a
5 McDonald's sign on it, that's what's on there.
6 Okay? When you start heading out east and you
7 get east of William Floyd Parkway, those signs
8 start to spruce up. You get to Exit 71, it's
9 full. We have aquariums, we have the skydiving,
10 we have the animal farms, we have all this stuff.
11 That's what people are coming here for. I see it
12 in the summer, there's a lot more people in our
13 Town. And that's great, that's what we want.
14 That is bringing the Town money. So why don't we
15 work towards bringing more of those people in?

16 You already -- you know, with retail,
17 you've already done that. Route 58 is huge now.
18 You have every store out there. You're sucking
19 everybody in from the South Fork, from out east
20 on the North Fork. Why don't you do -- look to
21 do more of that with recreation? And as somebody
22 pointed out, not just selling -- selling the land
23 and walking away with the money, and then when
24 that money runs out, figuring out where more
25 money is going to come from. If the Town holds

1 onto some of this land and doesn't sell it, and
2 creates certain projects that are going to bring
3 long-term revenue, it might be something to look
4 into, recreation such as, you know, different
5 types of amusement parks, not with rides and
6 stuff like that, but maybe a Town-owned like
7 rock-climbing walls, you know, volleyball courts.
8 There's -- I had a list of them, but I kind of
9 can't find it right now. Zip lines, that's a big
10 thing that's going on right now, ropes course.
11 The Town takes a piece of that subdivision, holds
12 it for that, does that, and they charge fees for
13 it. Some of it can be free, or you buy a Town
14 sticker every year, you can use volleyball
15 courts, a pool, whatever you want to put there,
16 and then also do other things and charge money
17 for it each time that it's used, like a go-cart
18 track, or, you know, other businesses already do.

19 I hit on different types of development.
20 Retail, I don't see where we need more retail.
21 Route 58 has everything that we need. And I just
22 -- I can't imagine what other stores that we have
23 coming. We have virtually -- if you drive west
24 to the crowded towns, there's not a store that
25 they have that we don't have now. And just like

1 somebody else said, you're just going to move
2 retail around. You're not going to create -- you
3 know, you're not going to create \$200 out of
4 \$100. What you're going to do is you're going to
5 take \$100 and you're going to turn it into \$50
6 here and \$50 here, which I don't think is the
7 wise way to go, and you're going to create more
8 sprawl with it.

9 Route 58, although I was sad to see it
10 become as built up as it is, in hindsight, it is
11 convenient. You can make one trek in and one
12 trek out and get everything that you need. To
13 move -- to now open up more retail space and
14 possibly lose some of that and move it around, it
15 doesn't make sense. Keep the retail where the
16 retail is. We have enough, we have plenty of
17 retail, everything that we need.

18 Like I said, gearing development towards
19 things that are going to not increase traffic,
20 and also not affect environment as much.
21 Groundwater is huge. I read in the DEIS that the
22 Peconic River is the largest groundwater river in
23 New York State, so we need to be careful about
24 what's built there. Solar, solar recreation
25 things, polo fields, those are going to have a

1 minimal impact or no impact on groundwater.

2 I've also heard, because I live on a farm
3 that's actually for sale, I've also heard that
4 there's been interest in fish farms on some
5 properties, particularly the property by my
6 house. You know, that's another one that would
7 probably have very little impact on groundwater.

8 Noise: Don't repeat what's happening at
9 the recycling facility. It's on the website
10 every day. You know, let's not do that.

11 And also, as far as buffers and everything
12 like that go, obviously, we had a horrible
13 problem at the Costco site. Let's make sure that
14 the buffers are followed, at least what they are,
15 or even more generous than what they are.

16 Let's see if I can come up with anything
17 else here. Basically, I would just like the Town
18 to be very careful as to what they do there,
19 because once you put it there, it's not going
20 away. I feel as though trying to rush in and
21 just say we want to do this, we want to do this,
22 and we want the money right now, is not the right
23 way to do it. I think starting smaller with
24 specific uses on the properties is something
25 that's important, too. I'd just hate to -- you

1 know, like I said, I work in Queens every day, I
2 travel to Queens, and I leave my house at 6:10 in
3 the morning, I'm out there all day, and then I
4 come home in the evening, and it's almost like
5 coming home to a little mini vacation every day.
6 It keeps my sanity. That's the only thing
7 keeping me here on Long Island. I was very close
8 to moving before I got my job. And I just hate
9 to see this Town turn into the western towns. I
10 don't think Riverhead realizes what they have in
11 the way of the attraction to the open space.
12 People come out to the North Fork, to the
13 vineyards, to all that stuff, and they come
14 out -- I have friends who come out, and they say,
15 "Wow, oh, my God, all this open space," you know,
16 everything like that, or "You live in the
17 sticks." Now you want to change that and they're
18 going to be driving by industrial facilities on
19 their way to a vineyard or a farm. You know, it
20 just doesn't fit in with the local area.

21 You know, big-box development, I just
22 don't -- I don't see it. I hope that you're
23 careful in what you do with it. And just don't
24 make another Centereach or a Levittown, as it was
25 described. Those towns are absolutely horrible.

1 If anything like that happens here, I assure you,
2 I am leaving Riverhead, because it's not
3 convenient for me for how I work, and I will
4 be -- if this Town becomes a western town,
5 there's no reason for me to stay here anymore,
6 and you will -- I think there's other people that
7 feel like that, and I think you will be also
8 losing some of the residents, and you're going to
9 be losing the identity of what Riverhead is.
10 Don't overdevelop it, and do it wisely and take
11 your time. And I wish I had more to say, but I
12 kind of wasn't prepared for it.

13 (Applause)

14 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Thank you.

15 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Thank you.

16 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Just a minute. I have
17 to give the Court Reporter a break. How many
18 more people want to speak? Because this is --

19 MR. PRUSINOWSKI: I'm going to be real
20 brief.

21 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Hold on. How many more
22 people want to speak? Raise your hands, because
23 that's going to make a difference whether we take
24 a 15-minute break, or just wrap it up in 15
25 minutes. Raise your hands if you want to speak.

1 Okay. I'm taking you at your word, last speaker.
2 Go ahead.

3 MR. PRUSINOWSKI: Vic Prusinowski, 533,
4 Elton Street, Riverhead, New York. I'm also the
5 consultant for United Riverhead Terminals and
6 United Metro, who has a facility, as you know, in
7 the EPCAL, commonly known as the Burman Park.

8 I'm here tonight to speak out against the
9 inclusion of residential development on the
10 property. One of the aspects that I think most
11 of the people in the Town of Riverhead, Jodi
12 certainly knows, and I know the Board members do,
13 we have a very active industrial park there right
14 now. And I don't know Mr. Goodale's -- I was out
15 in the hall, I didn't hear him speak, but I would
16 hope that he would not be in favor of residential
17 development on the property. I think it's
18 totally incompatible with the type of uses there,
19 especially if a lot of the people that have
20 businesses on the property, and potential new
21 businesses coming in, because there are some big
22 buildings for sale. It would definitely be a
23 deterrent for future expansion of that site.

24 Also, little known and little discussed, is
25 that, and I think I'm right on this, Bob, the

1 code was changed about 10 years ago, and that
2 that 10,000-foot runway is available to be used
3 by any property owner or tenant on the property,
4 as long as they get a Runway Use Agreement from
5 the Town of Riverhead for the -- as an accessory
6 use to their business, and that's an important
7 step if you're going to try to bring in these
8 dream jobs. And, certainly, if the runway is
9 going to remain active, and right now the only
10 tenant we have on there is Skydive Long Island,
11 that residential development is totally
12 incompatible.

13 Years ago, when the Grumman Corporation,
14 when I was a kid, they were operating here, they
15 used to test those test engine -- those engines
16 24 hours a day, they'd run them all night. The
17 thing is that in the Timber Park communities,
18 most of the people that lived in the Timber Park
19 communities actually worked at the Grumman
20 facility, so they wouldn't complain or say, "Hey,
21 the noise is bothering me," that was part of
22 their existence. Today, a lot of -- there's a
23 lot of new people working there, and that would
24 be an incompatibility, where people would call up
25 and say, "Hey, why are they testing these engines

1 at three o'clock in the morning?" And believe
2 me, they tested those engines 24 hours a day,
3 because I lived in Downtown Riverhead and you
4 could hear faintly the engines, but nobody
5 complained, because they were employing 2000
6 people.

7 This is an industrial park, and the -- I'm
8 really not going to comment too much on the
9 proposal that's before us today, although it's
10 much of the same that we've had before, except
11 that I'm 100% for the solar on the 7,000-foot
12 runway.

13 Mr. Amper, sitting next to me, he remembers
14 a meeting we had in the Supervisor's office when
15 I voted for the greatest environmental law that
16 was ever passed in the State of New York, the
17 Pine Barrens Act, and we made an agreement that
18 the environmentalists were to kind of back off
19 the inside of these -- of this property, not
20 that everything would be subject to review, but
21 it would not be an excessive, where we would
22 handcuffed the future development of this
23 property, because we gave up the 450 acres to the
24 west as part of our arrangement for us to vote
25 for the Pine Barrens Act. And that's -- this is

1 one of the excessives that chickens have come
2 home to roost to put grass on the 7,000-foot
3 runway.

4 By the way, to take the runway off, from my
5 consulting job, when I represented the race
6 track, it's million and million of dollars to
7 take up the cement on the 7,000-foot runway. So
8 it's not an easy thing to say, "Well, let's just
9 rip up this runway," if you've got three or four
10 million dollars.

11 So I hope that the plan does not -- is not
12 going to include residential development. I
13 think that's a tragic mistake. I think you got
14 to remember, you have people that invested into
15 the Town of Riverhead, are employing people.
16 Riverhead Building Supply just built another,
17 what, 500,000, a million square foot building
18 there, and I think it would really -- it would
19 restrict the future development of the existing
20 industrial park, forget about what's being
21 proposed in the subdivision now.

22 So I just hope you take that into account
23 and you make that change. Thank you very much.

24 (Applause)

25 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Thank you.

1 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Thank you. All right.
2 I'm going to close the public comment portion of
3 the hearing, and leave it open for written
4 comment until September 30th. Thank you,
5 everybody, for coming, appreciate it.

6 And we'll take a five-minute break to let
7 everybody break down. Thank you, everybody, for
8 your comments.

9 (Whereupon there was a recess at 8:59 p.m.)

10 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Okay. All right.
11 Chris, I guess we're going to untable some CDA
12 resolutions, potentially.

13 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Do you want anybody
14 to --

15 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Is this the amended
16 one, an amended one?

17 MS. MC LAUGHLIN: Yeah, that's the one that
18 Anne Marie just prepared.

19 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Which one? The
20 first one we're going to vote on? We're going to
21 untable --

22 MS. MC LAUGHLIN: No. This is the one you
23 asked her to --

24 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Does anybody want to
25 talk about resolutions?

1 (No response.)

2 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Not seeing anybody, no.

3 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: I make a motion.

4 SUPERVISOR WALTER: What is that one?

5 MS. MC LAUGHLIN: That's the one extending
6 the comment period, Sean.

7 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Oh, okay.

8 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: I make a motion we
9 close the Town Board meeting and open the CDA
10 meeting. So moved.

11 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: And seconded.

12 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.
13 Vote, please.

14 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

15 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

16 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

17 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

18 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes

19 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

20 MS. WILHELM: The CDA meeting is now open.

21 (Whereupon, the Town Board Convened the CDA
22 meeting at 9:08 p.m. and resumed the Town
23 Board meeting at 9:21 p.m.)

24 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: I make a motion we
25 close the CDA meeting and reopen the Town Board

1 meeting. So moved.

2 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: I second it.

3 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

4 Vote, please.

5 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

6 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

7 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

8 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

9 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

10 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

11 MS. WILHELM: The Town Board meeting is now
12 reopened.

13 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Okay. There's no
14 reason to vote on 589. I don't expect that
15 outcome to be any different. So let's just
16 untable and withdraw 588 and 589. 588 was one
17 that is replaced with -- yeah, I guess that
18 was 622.

19 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: I make a motion that
20 we untable Resolution 588 and 589. So moved.

21 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: And I'll second.

22 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

23 Vote, please.

24 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

25 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

1 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: I'm going to vote
2 no, because I'd like one more shot at the apple,
3 589, one more bite at the apple.

4 SUPERVISOR WALTER: All right. So you want
5 to untable just 588?

6 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Well, you've got to
7 untable both.

8 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Well, untable both,
9 yeah. Go ahead. Vote to untable both.

10 MS. WILHELM: Okay. So George was a no.
11 (Roll call vote resumed by Ms. Wilhelm.)

12 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yeah, I want to untable
13 them, yes.

14 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

15 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Okay. Yes, we'll
16 untable them.

17 MS. WILHELM: Okay. So --

18 SUPERVISOR WALTER: So we'll withdraw 588;
19 is that agreed upon by the Board?

20 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Right. I think I'd
21 make a motion to withdraw 588.

22 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: I'll second.

23 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded as
24 to withdraw.

25 MS. WILHELM: Okay. So what are we

1 withdrawing, 588?

2 SUPERVISOR WALTER: 588.

3 MS. WILHELM: Okay

4 SUPERVISOR WALTER: 589 we're going to vote
5 on.

6 MS. WILHELM: You're moving too fast for
7 me.

8 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: 588 is the tax levy,
9 to raise the tax levy.

10 SUPERVISOR WALTER: That was replaced by --

11 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: It's back there
12 again.

13 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Got it. Okay.

14 MS. WILHELM: All right. Where are we?

15 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: So we withdrew --
16 withdrew 588 and 589.

17 MS. WILHELM: So 588 and 589 were untabled.
18 And now we're up to 588.

19 SUPERVISOR WALTER: To withdraw.

20 MS. WILHELM: To withdraw. Okay.

21 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Moved and seconded.

22 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: I make a motion we
23 withdraw Resolution 588.

24 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Okay. Do we have a
25 second on that?

1 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: I'll second.

2 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Because I think it was
3 moved and seconded.

4 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Second.

5 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded as
6 to withdraw 588.

7 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

8 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

9 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

10 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

11 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

12 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

13 MS. WILHELM: Resolution 588 is withdrawn.

14 SUPERVISOR WALTER: All right. Let's call 589.

15 MS. WILHELM: 589.

16 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Authorizes the Town
17 of Riverhead Community Development Agency to
18 incur indebtedness in the amount not to exceed
19 \$6,000,000.00 to be secured by a mortgage on
20 property known and described as EPCAL; the Town
21 of Riverhead to guarantee such loan incurred by
22 the Town of Riverhead Community Development
23 Agency and authorizes the Supervisor to execute a
24 loan agreement, note and mortgage, or similar
25 agreements in connection thereof on behalf of the

1 Riverhead Community Development Agency, and
2 execute a guaranty of the Town of Riverhead to
3 guarantee such loan and such other documents as
4 required in connection with such loan, mortgage
5 and guaranty for the benefit of the Town of
6 Riverhead Community Development Agency and the
7 Town of Riverhead. So moved.

8 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Seconded.

9 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

10 Vote, please.

11 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

12 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: No.

13 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: I'd like to say,
14 you know, it's easy to say no, vote it down, but
15 what's the solution? Is the Town Board willing
16 to have it -- break the tax cap? Are you willing
17 to --

18 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: No. I have a plan
19 that I shared with them and you.

20 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: All right.

21 SUPERVISOR WALTER: You never shared it
22 with me.

23 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: You never shared it with me
24 either.

25 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: I did.

1 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: You didn't share it
2 with me.

3 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: When you pulled me
4 out of the landmarks meeting last Monday and I
5 went into our office.

6 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: But what's the
7 solution? What's the solution here?

8 SUPERVISOR WALTER: I was not a part of it.

9 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: I'll just leave
10 this as an option. If we made cuts and we fell
11 \$500,000 short, that's what we had to take from
12 here, it gives you an option. You only could cut
13 so far. But what's the solution?

14 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: We cannot --

15 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Are you going to --
16 all right. Are you going to pass on a 10% tax
17 increase?

18 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: If we have to, we --

19 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: But this gives you
20 an option.

21 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: If that's the course
22 of action and we have to do it --

23 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Well, I never
24 would.

25 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: -- we have to do it.

1 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: So you'd rather
2 vote in favor of a 10%, or whatever, tax increase
3 that will never go away, as Sean pointed out?

4 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Well, we can't keep
5 kicking this down --

6 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: We're not, this is
7 an option.

8 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Next year, it will be
9 another \$4,000,000.00.

10 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: But I think we're
11 all working as a Board towards a solution.
12 Apparently, Councilman Giglio, I know Sean's been
13 working on it, I've been working on it, and we're
14 getting towards that goal. We may be a little
15 short, but at least we're working towards
16 something. When you break the tax cap and pass
17 off a 10% increase, what's up with that? It's
18 never going away. There is -- this is leaving us
19 the option. We could be half a million short,
20 but at least we have the option. If we get
21 within the half a million, we're going to lay off
22 what, 10 more people?

23 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: What are we going to
24 do next year?

25 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: No.

1 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Okay.

2 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: George, what are we
3 going to do next year?

4 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: What do you mean,
5 what are we going to do?

6 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Well, we're going to
7 have \$4,000,000.00 next year. What are we going
8 to do with it?

9 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: I believe, I really
10 truly believe we're going down the right path.
11 We've been going down this path for two or three
12 years and, all of a sudden, people are jumping
13 off board. I think even an energy park could be
14 a big thing.

15 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Well, nothing is
16 going on.

17 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Why is nothing
18 going on? Why did you vote for all these studies
19 and everything in the last three years, and
20 you're telling me everyone seems to be abandoning
21 hope?

22 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Well, yes, but
23 nothing's going to take -- nothing's going to
24 take hold in one or two years.

25 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Maybe. Maybe it will.

1 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Maybe. Maybe.

2 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Well, I believe --
3 I believe it will. I believe it will.

4 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Do I want to give the
5 taxpayers of the Town of Riverhead a maybe? No,
6 I don't.

7 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Well, I don't want
8 to give them a tax -- I don't want to give them a
9 tax increase either at 10%. That's worse than a
10 maybe.

11 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Well, I --

12 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Or maybe 10. No,
13 I'm giving you 10%.

14 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Well, that's the point,
15 John, is maybe it will happen, and this allows
16 that maybe to stay open. But if you close
17 that maybe --

18 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: You close the door.

19 SUPERVISOR WALTER: -- you're just giving
20 them the 10% increase, and that's okay. I mean,
21 I've said for a long time that's the easy --

22 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: I live in the Town of
23 Riverhead,

24 SUPERVISOR WALTER: -- easy way to --
25 easiest thing to do.

1 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: I mean, I'm included
2 in everything.

3 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: But we've been
4 working hard on the budget.

5 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: I'm included.

6 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: We've been working.

7 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: We have to work -- we
8 have to work hard.

9 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: And we've got
10 numbers. We're closing that 4,000,000.00 up.
11 We're not there yet, but we're getting there

12 SUPERVISOR WALTER: All right.

13 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: I just don't want
14 to be a little bit short.

15 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Let's continue the
16 vote.

17 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: All right. Okay.
18 We're just get back to the vote. All I'm saying
19 is -- she's a no.

20 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Okay. Let's continue.

21 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Okay.

22 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: I just want to
23 leave the option on there. We don't have to take
24 it. We have given you the right not to give it
25 to the Supervisor by changing the Code. The

1 resolution --

2 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: It's costing us --

3 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Right now, we
4 could -- he can come to you and say, "I want
5 2,000,000," and you could say, "No." You've got
6 the option. Let's leave the option open.

7 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: If you get this line
8 of credit, it's costing us more money.

9 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: No.

10 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes, it is.

11 SUPERVISOR WALTER: All right. Let's get
12 continue.

13 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: We have to pay the
14 bank.

15 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Let's continue the
16 vote.

17 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: All right. So my
18 comeback is this is just for the big-thinkers, so
19 I'm voting yes.

20 (Laughter)

21 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Wow, that was an
22 insult, George.

23 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: That's it.

24 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Well, I just got
25 insulted from him.

1 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: That's it.

2 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Okay. Let's continue
3 the vote.

4 MS. WILHELM: Wooten.

5 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: I didn't insult him.

6 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: You didn't direct
7 that towards me, did you?

8 (Laughter)

9 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Jim, be a big-thinker.

10 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Go ahead. I'll let
11 you talk.

12 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: You know, after more
13 consideration, George, that was quite an
14 empowered speech, but I -- if we're within the
15 500,000 and we borrow a half a million dollars,
16 take a loan out, which we'll pay back at a
17 certain rate, so 600,000 back, or whatever, and
18 we don't -- the energy park doesn't happen, and
19 EPCAL doesn't happen, and we don't sell property,
20 well, then, we're 11, 10, 12, whatever,
21 million-and-a-half next budget time. So I don't
22 see -- although I see it as a bridge and I see it
23 as a help now --

24 SUPERVISOR WALTER: It's a stand-by letter
25 of credit.

1 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: But that's still a --
2 that's still a liability on the Town records.
3 It's still there, it's still out there.

4 SUPERVISOR WALTER: It's a letter.

5 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: You know, it's going to
6 affect bond rating, it's going to affect
7 everything, and it's still a liability. Whether
8 you touch it or not, it's there.

9 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Jim, this is not going
10 to be helpful to the bond rating, I can assure
11 you, but we're not bonding any money because
12 we've been very frugal.

13 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yeah, with all these
14 cuts, we have been.

15 SUPERVISOR WALTER: We haven't bonded
16 anything in four years.

17 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: And I have complete
18 confidence --

19 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Well I shouldn't say
20 that, 11.

21 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: -- that we will get
22 over this hump. And, no, I don't want to pierce
23 the 2% cap, but if it has to be 4%, it is.

24 SUPERVISOR WALTER: You know, there's
25 something else to think about this, John.

1 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: James.

2 SUPERVISOR WALTER: James.

3 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Jim.

4 (Laughter)

5 SUPERVISOR WALTER: If we pierce the cap
6 this year, the Town residents will not get the
7 benefit, when we put together a plan for saving
8 money next year, they will not get the benefit of
9 the rebate from the State of New York.

10 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: I realize that.

11 SUPERVISOR WALTER: And that is a big deal.
12 Once we pierce the cap, the residents of this
13 Town do not get that rebate.

14 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Well, we're just
15 putting more debt. And I'm sorry --

16 SUPERVISOR WALTER: You're right, John,
17 you're right. This is a tough decision, you're
18 right.

19 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: It's a tough decision.
20 And making the landfill a separate line item,
21 where you can see that going down, bill to bill
22 to bill to bill, eventually, that will come off.
23 When it comes off, it comes off.

24 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes, but you won't
25 get -- the residents won't get the benefit of the

1 rebate check.

2 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: I know that.

3 SUPERVISOR WALTER: And you know the tax
4 increase is never going away. And this Town
5 should be proud.

6 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yeah, but you're
7 looking at a -- if you're looking at a 6%
8 increase, you're looking at \$100 a year, you
9 know, in addition to what you're paying now. For
10 \$100 a year to have a flat and level, and to live
11 here -- I mean, I don't want to go into what the
12 taxpayers get for their Town portion of their tax
13 bill, let's not look at the whole tax bill.

14 SUPERVISOR WALTER: I know, but somebody
15 asked that question, and it was like, woo.

16 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: For the benefits you
17 get from your Town portion of your tax bill, this
18 Town does a pretty damn good job.

19 SUPERVISOR WALTER: I agree.

20 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Their recreation
21 programs, their senior programs, their garbage
22 pickup, the beaches, you name it, you get a
23 pretty good bang for your buck for your Town --
24 for your Town taxes.

25 Yeah, I realize that nobody wants to pay

1 extra taxes, but, you know, when you see a
2 75 million dollar bond go through the schools,
3 and you see 124 million dollar budget for the
4 schools, and everybody comes here and squawks
5 about their taxes, I don't quite get it. Maybe
6 because we're more beatable, they beat us up
7 because we're paid, I guess, and not volunteer,
8 but the bottom line is I don't want to pierce the
9 tax cap either, but I don't want to go into debt
10 to pay down debt.

11 So I'm going to stick to my guns, I'm going
12 to say no. Sorry.

13 MS. WILHELM: Dunleavy.

14 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: I'm not going to give
15 another big speech. I've listened to all the
16 speeches.

17 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Thank you. The Court
18 Reporter is very thankful.

19 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: She's tired.

20 (Laughter)

21 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: I've listened to all
22 the speeches on the Town Board that's going to be
23 tonight, and I have to stick by what I said, no
24 kicking it down the road. I vote no.

25 MS. WILHELM: Walter.

1 SUPERVISOR WALTER: George, it was a
2 valiant effort.

3 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: You know, and I
4 appreciate that.

5 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Hey.

6 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: I really do appreciate
7 it.

8 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

9 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: I wish I had more
10 time to talk to you about it, but I don't.

11 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Okay. So let's --

12 MS. WILHELM: Resolution 589 is not
13 adopted.

14 SUPERVISOR WALTER: No, it's not. Let's
15 continue on with 610.

16 MS. WILHELM: RESOLUTION 610.

17 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Riverhead Sewer
18 Treatment Plant Upgrade Budget Adjustment. So
19 moved.

20 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: I'll second.

21 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.
22 Vote, please.

23 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

24 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

25 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

1 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

2 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

3 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

4 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

5 **RESOLUTION #611.**

6 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: **The General Fund Budget**

7 **Adjustment.** So moved.

8 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: And seconded.

9 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

10 Vote, please.

11 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

12 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

13 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

14 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

15 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

16 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

17 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

18 **RESOLUTION #612.**

19 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: **General Fund Police**

20 **Budget Adjustment.** So moved.

21 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Second.

22 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

23 Vote, please.

24 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

25 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

1 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

2 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

3 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

4 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

5 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

6 **RESOLUTION #613.**

7 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: **Riverhead Sewer Fund**

8 **Budget Adjustment.** So moved.

9 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: And seconded.

10 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

11 Vote, please.

12 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

13 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

14 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

15 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

16 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

17 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

18 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

19 **RESOLUTION #614.**

20 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: **Authorizes Attendance**

21 **of Assessor at Seminar.** So moved.

22 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: I'll second,

23 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

24 Vote, please.

25 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

1 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: This is money that
2 gets reimbursed to us after she takes this class.
3 Yes.

4 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: We're getting
5 reimbursed?

6 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yup.

7 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yeah.

8 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: So by the State of
9 New York. Okay. Yes.

10 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

11 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes. And it will be
12 reimbursed by the State of New York, so I vote
13 yes.

14 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

15 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

16 **RESOLUTION #615.**

17 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: This is **Authorization**
18 **Publication of Notice to Amend CDBG Program.** So
19 moved.

20 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: And seconded.

21 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.
22 Vote, please.

23 MS. WILHELM: Giglio.

24 SUPERVISOR WALTER: I don't remember
25 discussing this at work session.

1 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: We did discuss it.

2 MS. KEMPNER: This is to move the money to
3 street lighting.

4 MS. MC LAUGHLIN: I sent this to everybody
5 on Friday.

6 MS. KEMPNER: We actually discussed it like
7 a year ago for this particular year, and then it
8 never got noticed. And it just came up and we
9 realized that the --

10 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: But all we're doing
11 is taking it out of one fund and putting it into
12 another fund?

13 MS. KEMPNER: Right, for that particular
14 year.

15 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: For this particular
16 one, right?

17 MS. KEMPNER: And they were coming up to
18 the next year with --

19 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Okay.

20 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Okay.

21 MS. KEMPNER: With a new budget.

22 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

23 MS. MC LAUGHLIN: Do you remember the
24 budget resolution that came through from the
25 County last time?

1 SUPERVISOR WALTER: I know exactly where it
2 came from, yeah.

3 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yup.

4 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yup.

5 MS. MC LAUGHLIN: So that's where this
6 actually originated.

7 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

8 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: From home
9 improvement, we're moving into pedestrian safety
10 improvements.

11 MS. KEMPNER: For street lights.

12 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: That sounds -- that
13 sounds good.

14 SUPERVISOR WALTER: All right. Where are
15 we on this? Did we move and second it?

16 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: We moved and seconded.

17 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.
18 Vote, please.

19 MS. WILHELM: Okay.

20 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

21 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

22 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

23 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

24 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

25 SUPERVISOR WALTER: No.

1 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

2 **RESOLUTION #616.**

3 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: **Authorizes Nelson,**
4 **Pope & Voorhis, LLC, (NPV) to Move Forward with**
5 **Submission of WSRR Designation Amendment.** So
6 moved.

7 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: And seconded.

8 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.
9 Vote, please.

10 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

11 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: I've been moving
12 very -- working very closely with Nelson and Pope
13 and Chris Kempner to get this Wild, Scenic and
14 Recreational line amended. I think they're
15 perfect for it. This is covered by a New York
16 State grant, so it doesn't cost the taxpayers, or
17 the Riverhead taxpayers any money, it costs all
18 the taxpayers of the State of New York money, so
19 I'll vote yes.

20 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

21 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

22 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes. This will
23 benefit the Town of Riverhead, and the taxpayers,
24 and the ecology, so I vote yes.

25 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

1 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

2 **RESOLUTION #617.**

3 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: **Classifies Action,**
4 **Claims Lead Agency and Determines Significance of**
5 **Special Permit of Theodora Cohen and Calls Public**
6 **Hearing.** So moved.

7 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: And seconded.

8 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

9 Vote, please.

10 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

11 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: What does this mean,
12 significance? Is this going to be a Type I or
13 no?

14 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: No, it's unlisted.

15 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: It's an unlisted
16 action.

17 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: First RESOLVED.

18 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Okay. Yes.

19 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes, public
20 hearing.

21 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Oh, public hearing?
22 Yes.

23 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

24 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

25 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

1 **RESOLUTION #618.**

2 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: **Grants Special Use**
3 **Permit Petition of Chernoff Realty Medical**
4 **Building.** So moved.

5 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: I'll second.

6 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

7 Vote, please.

8 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

9 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

10 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

11 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

12 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

13 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

14 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

15 **RESOLUTION #619.**

16 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Accepts the Retirement
17 of a Principal Account Clerk (Janet Griffin). So
18 moved.

19 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: And seconded.

20 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

21 Vote, please.

22 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

23 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

24 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

25 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: I'd like to thank Janet

1 Griffin for all the years of service. Yes.

2 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yeah, I'm really
3 going to miss Janet. She's a really hard worker
4 and a diligent worker. I vote yes.

5 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

6 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

7 **RESOLUTION #620.**

8 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: **Adopts a Local Law**
9 **to Add a New Chapter 98A of the Town Code of the**
10 **Town of Riverhead Entitled "Drop-Off Bins".** So
11 moved.

12 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Seconded.

13 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

14 Vote, please.

15 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

16 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

17 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

18 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

19 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes. This will help
20 clean up the Town with these bins and the
21 clothing coming out of them, so I vote yes.

22 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

23 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

24 **RESOLUTION #621.**

25 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: **Adopts a Local Law to**

1 Amend Chapter 101 Entitled "Vehicles & Traffic"
2 of the Riverhead Town Code (§101-3. Stop and
3 Yield Intersections; Railroad Crossings; Parking
4 Fields. Griffing Avenue & Lincoln Street). So
5 moved.

6 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: And seconded.

7 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

8 Vote, please.

9 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

10 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

11 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

12 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes. I'd like to thank
13 the Traffic Safety for being so diligent looking
14 into this. And yes.

15 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes. I hope this
16 will cut down the accidents that we have at that
17 intersection, so I vote yes.

18 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

19 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

20 RESOLUTION #622.

21 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Authorizes the Town
22 Clerk to Publish and Post a Public Notice to
23 Consider Adopting a Local Law to Override the Tax
24 Cap Levy Limit Established by and Set Forth in
25 Article Two §3-c of the General Municipal Law.

1 So moved.

2 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Second.

3 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

4 Vote, please.

5 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

6 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: No.

7 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Looks to me like
8 some of the Board Members are looking -- this is
9 their solution. It's definitely not mine, so I'm
10 voting no.

11 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: It's not my solution,
12 but borrowing money to pay down debt is stupid.

13 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: This is even
14 stupider. Is that such a word?

15 MS. MC LAUGHLIN: Is that a word?

16 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: It's not even a word.

17 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: All right. Well,
18 it is now. We could add the stupidest, too, if
19 you want.

20 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: This is calling for a
21 public hearing?

22 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

23 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

24 MS. WILHELM: Dunleavy.

25 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: I vote yes for the

1 public hearing.

2 MS. WILHELM: Thank you.

3 SUPERVISOR WALTER: I'm not voting for
4 this, and so here's your problem, Town Board. I
5 guess you better start setting up some really
6 intensive meetings on Thursdays, because nobody,
7 nobody, except George, gave me any kind of a
8 written -- and George gets this to about
9 two-and-a-half million dollars. Jodi gave me a
10 revenue stream we didn't know about that was
11 really 160, not 320. I can't get there from
12 here. And you all didn't vote for that increase
13 of the stand-by letter of credit, but you didn't
14 have the guts to vote for the tax increase, and
15 I'm not going to be left holding the water for
16 the Town Board.

17 So I will deliver you on September 30th a
18 balanced budget. You are not going to like what
19 it looks like. You guys are going to have to, my
20 guess is, spend long hours trying to figure out
21 how to change it, because you just left me -- you
22 didn't follow through with what you started with,
23 and I'm not going to get left holding the bag.
24 So be prepared.

25 I would, I guess, block out like Wednesdays

1 every day, because you can't do it on Thursdays,
2 because we have regular work session, or pick a
3 day. Have the Town Council Coordinator give a
4 day that you can spend eight hours a day, or at
5 least as long as it takes, because you guys got a
6 lot on your plate to balance this budget. I
7 will -- I will give you a balanced budget,
8 though, that I promise. No.

9 MS. WILHELM: So you're voting no?

10 SUPERVISOR WALTER: I'm voting no.

11 MS. WILHELM: Resolution not adopted.

12 SUPERVISOR WALTER: It's not a game, and
13 some of the Board Members think this is a game.

14 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: No, it's not a game.

15 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: No, it's not a game.

16 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: I got a plan.

17 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: You know something,
18 you want to insult us. It's not a game.

19 SUPERVISOR WALTER: No, I'm not -- not you.

20 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: So you present us
21 with a balanced budget.

22 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Not, you. Not you.

23 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: And we will talk
24 about the budget when we get it.

25 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Not you.

1 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Okay?

2 SUPERVISOR WALTER: It's not you.

3 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: It's not a game.

4 MS. WILHELM: **RESOLUTION #623.**

5 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Did you say 623?

6 MS. WILHELM: I did say 623.

7 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Well, that says **adopts**
8 **a Local Law to -- Amending Chapter 47 Entitled**
9 **"Bays ad Creeks" of the Riverhead Town Code.** So
10 moved.

11 COUNCILMAN GABRIESEN: And seconded

12 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

13 Vote, please.

14 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

15 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

16 COUNCILMAN GABRIESEN: Yes.

17 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

18 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

19 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes

20 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

21 **RESOLUTION #624.**

22 COUNCILMAN GABRIESEN: **Adopts a Local Law**
23 **Amending Chapter 107 Entitled "Tidal and**
24 **Freshwater Wetlands" of the Riverhead Town Code.**
25 So moved.

1 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Seconded.

2 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

3 Vote, please.

4 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

5 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

6 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

7 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

8 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

9 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

10 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

11 **RESOLUTION #625.**

12 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: **Adopts a Local Law**

13 **Amending Chapter 12 Entitled "Coastal Erosion**

14 **Hazard Areas" of the Riverhead Town Code.** So

15 moved.

16 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: And seconded.

17 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

18 Vote, please.

19 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

20 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

21 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

22 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

23 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

24 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes. Sorry.

25 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

1 **RESOLUTION #626.**

2 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: **Authorizes the**
3 **Supervisor to Execute an Inter-municipal**
4 **Agreement with the Riverhead Central School**
5 **District to Provide Mutual Aid and Assistance**
6 **During Disasters Renewal for 2014, and that's for**
7 **the use of the school during a disaster.** So
8 moved.

9 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: I'll second.

10 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.
11 Vote, please.

12 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

13 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

14 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

15 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

16 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

17 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

18 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

19 **RESOLUTION #627.**

20 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: **Accepts a Donation of**
21 **Ladders from Costco for the Use by the Town Fire**
22 **Marshal's Office.** So moved.

23 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: And seconded.

24 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Our guys are good,
25 aren't they?

1 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: This is a 17-inch ladder,
2 as opposed to 17 feet?

3 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: These are little giant
4 mega-mouse, 17-inch ladders. 17-inch ladders.

5 SUPERVISOR WALTER: I think it's a 17-foot
6 ladder.

7 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Okay. 17-inch ladder,
8 you could probably wear platform shoes.

9 MS. WILHELM: Okay. So we need to correct
10 that. Okay.

11 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: I was just looking at it,
12 going, "Wait a minute."

13 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: 17-inch ladders.

14 MS. WILHELM: Good catch, Bob.

15 SUPERVISOR WALTER: I guess the Fire
16 Marshals were doing some shopping in Costco.

17 (Laughter)

18 Okay. Did we move and second it? I'm
19 sorry

20 MS. WILHELM: Yes.

21 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

22 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

23 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

24 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes, as amended.

25 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes. And I want to

1 thank Costco for donating --

2 SUPERVISOR WALTER: 17-inch ladders?

3 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: -- 17-foot ladders to
4 our Fire Marshal's Office.

5 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes. Thank you,
6 Costco.

7 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

8 **RESOLUTION #628.**

9 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: **Rescinds Revocation**
10 **and Conditionally Restores Taxicab Business**
11 **License, and that's Day and Night Taxi and Limo**
12 **Inc.** So moved.

13 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: And seconded.

14 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

15 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: Just one change on this.

16 Although I had hoped to have a stipulation
17 actually executed, I don't. I did circulate one
18 after the discussion on Thursday at the Town
19 Board, where the question came up with respect to
20 what would happen at the six-month review. And
21 that amendment was made to the proposed language,
22 so that it was understood that so long as the
23 applicant is in compliance with the
24 representations made at the hearing on the
25 appeal, it would be extended. There would be no

1 tack-on period of time, which is what you
2 discussed, and that in the event he fails to
3 adhere to terms and conditions, or there's
4 further problems, the Board is free to then take
5 whatever action is necessary. I will --

6 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Can we amend that to
7 say that?

8 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: So I'd like to amend it.
9 And I did speak to Counsel, who has agreed to the
10 sum and substance of it. He had no objection.
11 He's indicated to me he's going to have it signed
12 and back to me by the morning. I know his
13 client's here, and I know that he's been -- it's
14 been discussed with him. So it would be subject
15 to that agreement being executed, so.

16 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Now, but there were a
17 few owners of Day and Night Taxi; there are,
18 right?

19 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: Correct.

20 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: So this should say
21 that they purchased Day and Night on July 9th;
22 that they had no interest in Day and Night; that
23 this was their first venture, because if one of
24 the owners did own it prior to and we find out
25 that he owned it, then --

1 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: Well, it's a corporation
2 that owns it. The shareholders are on it, it's
3 the corporation --

4 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Okay. Then it should
5 say that the corporation --

6 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: Right.

7 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: -- that purchased Day
8 and Night.

9 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Well, let's talk
10 about the singular. "WHEREAS, Mohammad A. Gondal
11 testified as follows." He testified, and that
12 would be "he".

13 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: That's correct. I was
14 going through his testimony.

15 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: So that would be
16 correct, it's his testimony.

17 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: Right.

18 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: So it is correct.

19 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: No. But it says that
20 he had no interest in Day and Night, but he also
21 said that he had two partners.

22 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Well, his testimony
23 was, that's repeating it.

24 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: But it doesn't say
25 that the two partners didn't have an interest.

1 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Well, it would have
2 to be somewhere else, but he, you know --

3 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: There's one other
4 partner.

5 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Okay. Listen, are
6 you going to amend your resolution, George, just
7 as he stated? Will you amend your resolution as
8 to what he stated?

9 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: Okay. What I was
10 suggesting is that at the last WHEREAS --

11 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Well, she added
12 another change. Do you want to amend it? She
13 wants a plural in there.

14 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yeah, or just --

15 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: I don't think --

16 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: I don't think you
17 did, because he's talking about his testimony.
18 It would be "he," so it would be "he" after his
19 name, right? You wouldn't have to change that
20 today.

21 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: No.

22 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Right?

23 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: It was testified --

24 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: I don't think it
25 changes --

1 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: It says that he testified
2 in sum and substance.

3 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: He did.

4 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Right.

5 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: He did.

6 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: So the sum and substance
7 of his testimony is what it makes reference to in
8 that WHEREAS.

9 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: So It should be
10 corrected.

11 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: However, what should
12 change is that it says a stipulation has been
13 executed, it should say, "A proposed stipulation
14 has been exchanged between the Town of Riverhead
15 and Day and Night." And then, on the first
16 RESOLVED, "Therefore, be it resolved," and it
17 should at the very end of that paragraph,
18 "subject to and conditioned upon a stipulation
19 being executed between the parties."

20 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Okay.

21 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Okay.

22 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: And then on the next one,
23 it talks about the -- "ratifies the stipulation."
24 It wouldn't be ratifying, because it hasn't been
25 signed yet. It would say, "Hereby authorizes the

1 Supervisor to execute a stipulation" in
2 substantially the same form as exchanged by the
3 parties.

4 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Okay.

5 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: So if that's all
6 acceptable.

7 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yup.

8 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Okay. So I'll move
9 it as amended.

10 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: Okay.

11 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: I'll second it.

12 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded as
13 amended.

14 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

15 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: I'm going to vote no,
16 because I'm not comfortable with the language.

17 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

18 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

19 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes, as amended.

20 MS. WILHELM: Walter. Yes?

21 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes, I'm a yes.

22 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

23 **RESOLUTION #629.**

24 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: **Declares Certain**

25 **Benches to be Surplus Property and Authorizes the**

1 **Donation Thereof.** So moved.

2 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: And seconded.

3 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

4 Vote, please.

5 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

6 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: These are the
7 excess benches we had down at the EPCAL property,
8 yeah.

9 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

10 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yeah, they were
11 going to donate them to the Fire Department, so I
12 vote yes.

13 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

14 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yeah. The Manorville
15 Fire Department takes care of EPCAL, almost 80%
16 of it. We had some excess benches that were
17 donated to us by Tanger Outlet, and we're going
18 to donate three benches to the Manorville Fire
19 Department. I vote yes.

20 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

21 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

22 **RESOLUTION #630.**

23 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Oh, authorizes -- I'm
24 looking at it. **Authorizes Co-Sponsorship with**
25 **the Riverhead Business Improvement District**

1 Management Association, Inc. and Approval of the
2 Agreement with St. George Living History
3 Productions, Inc., Regarding an Event Entitled
4 "The Edgar Allen Poe Festival". So moved.

5 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: I'll second.

6 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

7 Vote, please.

8 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

9 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

10 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Did we get all the
11 numbers? I missed the last work session. Did we
12 get all the things we were looking for?

13 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yeah, we did

14 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Dan said there
15 were -- so you discussed it?

16 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yeah, yes.

17 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: It's good. Okay,
18 yes.

19 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

20 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: I think this is going
21 to be a great weekend in the Town of Riverhead,
22 so I vote yes.

23 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

24 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

25 RESOLUTION #631.

1 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: It Ratifies the
2 Appointment of an Interpreter for the Police
3 Department and Justice Court. So moved.

4 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: And seconded.

5 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

6 Vote, please.

7 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

8 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

9 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

10 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Si.

11 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

12 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

13 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

14 RESOLUTION #632.

15 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Grants Peconic
16 Management Group, LLC Exportation/Grading Permit
17 as Provided by Chapter 62 of the Riverhead Town
18 Code. So moved.

19 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Seconded.

20 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

21 Vote, please.

22 MS. WILHELM: Giglio.

23 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Was this discussed
24 also last week?

25 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: No, we didn't.

1 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Oh, okay, because I
2 don't know if I missed that one.

3 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: No, we didn't. But
4 they're removing 400 cubic yards of soil and
5 they're going to give us \$800.

6 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: But do we know
7 where it's coming from?

8 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Two dollars a yard,
9 so.

10 MS. MC LAUGHLIN: Yeah, because Jodi was
11 supposed to get back the answers, and then at
12 work session -- you guys, this was at work
13 session, and then Jodi said that she had gotten
14 back to whatever her questions were and she was
15 okay with it.

16 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yeah.

17 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: This is income.
18 We're going to lower the budget.

19 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Eight hundred dollars
20 worth.

21 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: That's it. Every
22 little bit helps.

23 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: So our engineering,
24 this has all been accounted for, and there won't
25 be no holes there or anything.

1 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Okay. So I seconded
2 it, right?

3 MS. WILHELM: Yes.

4 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.
5 Vote, please.

6 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

7 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

8 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: I think this needs
9 a little more discussion, so I'm going to
10 abstain.

11 MS. WILHELM: Abstain, okay.

12 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

13 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

14 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

15 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

16 **RESOLUTION #633.**

17 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: **Authorizing a Waiver**
18 **of 30-Day Notification Required by the New York**
19 **State Liquor Authority.** So moved.

20 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: And seconded.

21 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.
22 Vote, please.

23 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

24 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

25 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

1 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

2 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yeah. This is still
3 another empty store in the Hamlet of Wading
4 River.

5 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Woo-hoo.

6 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: And I vote yes.

7 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

8 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

9 RESOLUTION #634.

10 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Approves Extension of
11 Security Posted by Campo Brothers in Connection
12 with the Subdivision Entitled "Cedar Cove" (Road
13 and Drainage Improvements). So moved.

14 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: I'll second.

15 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

16 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: And I have a
17 question, though. Okay. Bank of Smithtown is no
18 longer, it is now the People's United Bank.
19 Shouldn't that be changed? It says here --

20 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Well, the Bank -- it is
21 the Bank of the Smithtown, their revokable letter
22 of credit, because that's what it was drawn on in
23 2009. Bank of Smithtown was still alive, I
24 believe.

25 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: At that time, but

1 we're extending it to the Bank of Smithtown.

2 SUPERVISOR WALTER: I don't know how Bank
3 of Smithtown -- yeah, you're right, it should be
4 People's Credit, shouldn't it?

5 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: It should be People's
6 United Bank. They bought the Bank of Smithtown.

7 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Well, they've been
8 waiting a long time to do it. I don't mind doing
9 it if we have to change it, and Bob come back and
10 amend it.

11 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yeah, can we amend
12 it? Let's approve it --

13 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Knowing we're going
14 to --

15 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: -- with the
16 possibility of amending it to the correct bank;
17 is that okay, Bob?

18 MR. KOZAKIEWICZ: That's fine.

19 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Okay. I move it,
20 with the possibility of correcting it to the
21 correct bank, when we do our research on the
22 change of name. So moved.

23 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Second.

24 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.
25 Vote, please.

1 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

2 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

3 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

4 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

5 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

6 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

7 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

8 **RESOLUTION #635.**

9 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Approves Chapter 90
10 Application of the Survival Race, LLC for a 5K
11 Obstacle Course and Mud Run September 27th and
12 September 28th, 2014. So moved.

13 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: And seconded.

14 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

15 Vote, please.

16 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

17 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

18 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

19 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: This is the 4-H?

20 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: 4-H.

21 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

22 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

23 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

24 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

25 **RESOLUTION #636.**

1 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Authorizes Town
2 Clerk to Publish and Post a Public Notice for a
3 Local Law to Consider Amendment to Chapter 106
4 Entitled "Waterways" of the Riverhead Town Code.

5 So moved.

6 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Seconded.

7 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

8 Vote, please.

9 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

10 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

11 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

12 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

13 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

14 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

15 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

16 RESOLUTION #637.

17 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Authorizes Town Clerk
18 to Publish and Post Public Notice to Consider a
19 Local Law to Amend Chapter 48 of the Riverhead
20 Town Code Entitled "Beaches and Recreation
21 Areas". So moved.

22 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: And seconded.

23 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

24 Vote, please.

25 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

1 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: This resolution is so
2 that everybody knows that you can't ride a
3 motorized vehicle on any of our recreational
4 trails throughout the Town, and if you are caught
5 doing so, you will get a \$500 fine. Yes.

6 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

7 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes to the public
8 hearing.

9 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

10 SUPERVISOR WALTER: I hope they don't catch
11 any of the Gabrielsen Family, yes, on there
12 during hunting season.

13 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

14 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: No, they have
15 lectured --

16 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: They shouldn't be on
17 there anyway.

18 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: They have lectured
19 the hunters, because we had one that was going in
20 there, and we told him, "You go on there, you're
21 getting kicked off the hunting," period, forget
22 that. Yeah, that's made clear with them.

23 MS. WILHELM: RESOLUTION #638.

24 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: If we catch them,
25 they're out.

1 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: 638 authorizes -- I'd
2 like to get a copy of this some time --
3 authorizes the Supervisor to Execute a
4 Stipulation with the Riverhead PBA. So moved.
5 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: And seconded.
6 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: And seconded.
7 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.
8 Vote, please.
9 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.
10 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.
11 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes. Can I get a copy
12 of that?
13 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.
14 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.
15 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.
16 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: This is coming off
17 the floor, right?
18 MS. WILHELM: RESOLUTION #639.
19 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Pay bills first. Yes.
20 Can I get a motion to pay bills?
21 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: I make a motion we
22 pay the bills.
23 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: And seconded.
24 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.
25 Vote, please.

1 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

2 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

3 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

4 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yeah, why not?

5 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

6 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

7 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

8 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Okay. We'll move
9 Resolution 640 off the floor.

10 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: I'd like to take
11 Resolution 640 off the floor. So moved.

12 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: I'll second.

13 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.
14 Vote, please.

15 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

16 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

17 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

18 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

19 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

20 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

21 MS. WILHELM: Resolution 640 is taken off
22 the floor. You have to call 640.

23 SUPERVISOR WALTER: John.

24 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: RESOLUTION 640,
25 extends the public comment period until September

1 30th, 2014 for the Draft Supplemental Generic
2 Environmental Impact Statement for a
3 Comprehensive Development Plan for EPCAL (EPCAL
4 Reuse & Revitalization Plan), amendment to the
5 Town of Riverhead Comprehensive Master Plan,
6 amendment to the Zoning Map and Code, amendment
7 to the Calverton Urban renewal Plan and
8 Subdivision of the Enterprise Park at Calverton
9 ("EPCAL"). So moved.

10 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: I'll second.

11 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Moved and seconded.

12 Vote, please.

13 (Roll call vote by Ms. Wilhelm.)

14 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Yes.

15 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Yes.

16 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Yes.

17 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Yes.

18 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Yes.

19 MS. WILHELM: Resolution adopted.

20 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Does anybody wish to be
21 heard tonight?

22 (No response.)

23 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Not seeing anybody, can
24 I get a motion to adjourn?

25 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: I make a motion we

1 adjourn the Town Board meeting. So moved.

2 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Seconded. All in
3 favor?

4 COUNCILWOMAN GIGLIO: Aye.

5 COUNCILMAN GABRIELSEN: Aye.

6 COUNCILMAN WOOTEN: Aye.

7 COUNCILMAN DUNLEAVY: Aye.

8 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Aye.

9 Opposed? Abstentions?

10 (No response.)

11 SUPERVISOR WALTER: Motion carries.

12 Meeting adjourned.

13 (Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at
14 9:55 p.m.)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

