

Minutes of the Town of Riverhead Board meeting held by the Town Board of the Town of Riverhead at Town Hall, Howell Avenue, Riverhead, New York on Tuesday, July 3, 2018 at 2:05 p.m.

PRESENT

Laura Jens Smith	Supervisor
James Wooten	Councilman
Jodi Giglio	Councilwoman
Timothy Hubbard	Councilman
Catherine Kent	Councilwoman

ALSO PRESENT:

Diane Wilhelm	Town Clerk
Robert Kozakiewicz	Town Attorney

The meeting was officially opened at 2:05 p.m. by Supervisor Jens Smith with the Pledge of Allegiance followed by an invocation given by Reverend David Cook from the Calvary Baptist Church.

Supervisor Jens Smith announced that the next Town Board meeting which is scheduled for July 17, 2018 will be at 6:00 p.m. not 2:00 p.m.

Alive on 25, coordinated by the BID, will start Thursday night July 5th at 5:00 p.m. The remaining Alive on 25 nights will be July 12th, July 26th and August 9th all beginning at 5:00 p.m. The Supervisor thanked the BID for all their hard work in coordinating this event.

July 4th fireworks will be in Grangebelle Park starting at 9:15 p.m.

On July 10th from 2:00-8:00 p.m. the New York Blood Center will be sponsoring a blood drive at the Senior Center which is located at 60 Shade Tree Lane. She urged as many as people as possible to attend the drive as there is an urgent need for blood.

To thank the First Responders for their service to the community Little Flower will be hosting a First Responders Day on Thursday, July 12th, 2018. All First Responders are invited to the Monsignor John T. Fagan Campus between the hours of 7:30 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. for either breakfast, lunch or dinner.

The North Fork Links, which is a free shuttle service, begins this weekend, July 7th through August 25th. Information regarding this service will be posted on the Riverhead Social Media site as well as on northforkmail.org or the app which is go north fork can be downloaded.

Long Island Railroad Train Station Cleanup will take place on July 28th at 9:00 a.m. Supervisor Jens Smith urged residents to join herself and the Board members in cleaning up and replanting at the Riverhead Station.

On behalf of the Board the Supervisor wished former town employee Hawk Woodson a very happy 82nd birthday. Hawk thanked everyone for their birthday wishes and their singing Happy Birthday to him.

Friday, July 6th starts the Friday Night Concert Series which will be held every Friday night at Polonaise Park from 7:00 – 8:30 p.m. Admission is free and everyone is urged to attend.

In honor of the 4th of July Harriet Haas entertained the Board with some patriotic songs.

REPORTS

- Tax Receiver – total taxes collected to date as of June 28, 2018 - \$154,482,706.82
- Building Department June Monthly Report - \$53,372.00
- Town Clerk June Monthly Report - \$13,060.89

CORRESPONDENCE

- 22 letters regarding the proposed Special Permit Application of URT
- 3 letters regarding the proposed legislation regulating bamboo
- 6 letters regarding the Q&E hearing (CAT)

PUBLIC HEARINGS

Supervisor Jens Smith: “At this time we have two public hearings. The first of the public hearing was supposed to begin at 2:05 and it is now 2:15 so I will open the public hearing for the Consideration of a Special Permit Petition of 84 Lumber Company for the Outdoor Storage of Lumber at 1751 West Main Street, Riverhead, NY (SCTM No. 0600-118-4-10). Mr. Cuddy did you want to come up?”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “As Mr. Cuddy approaches, this is a Special Permit hearing. The history of this parcel and it goes back to 1993 when the Town Board originally approved a lumberyard. In 2015 the Zoning Board granted it the right to (inaudible) variance to reopen it. In 2016 they filed Site Plan applications. The property is 1751 West Main Street. The Tax Map Number is 0600-118-4-10. The current zoning is Riverfront corridor. As indicated, the Town Board had previously in '83 approved it when it was industrial A which was by a special permit at that time. The property is listed as being two hundred forty-three thousand five hundred forty-one point five, six square foot in size and as the application indicates they're looking for permission to lift the prior condition which did not allow for outdoor storage of lumber. They're here pursuant to 301-222 to extend that pre-existing non-conforming use.”

Charles Cuddy: “Good afternoon, Charles Cuddy for the applicant and office at 445 Griffing Avenue, Riverhead, New York. I join you in wishing Hawk who I know is a special person, a happy birthday and the good news is I don't sing.

We're here on behalf of 84 Lumber and there's a contingent of their representatives here today and I'd just like to introduce them to you, Frank Cicero who is the COO of 84, Bethany Sievert who is the real estate manager, John Greenwood who is the store manager and also Jim Zanak who is their professional engineer and they're all here because they're interested in this proceeding.

84 seeks to expand its nonconforming use and what we're looking for is to get outside storage of lumber and the requirement of the code that Mr. Kozakiewicz alluded to, 301-222 says you must make a special permit application to do that.

Interestingly enough because we're asking for ten percent we normally could do that administratively, but we understand the need to have public input so we certainly respect having a public hearing.

As Mr. Kozakiewicz also indicated, this district originally was Industrial A which permitted thirty percent outside storage. It's now customary for virtually all lumberyards to store material outside and they do that because of the size of the material. They do it because they get large loads delivered and in this instance they also do it because the size of the existing building is relatively small so they need to store outside.

As you may know, this applicant has received DEC approval, ZBA approval and Site Plan approval to reopen and of course it reopened a while ago and is a viable operation right now. It needs to have outside storage to remain viable and as I said virtually all lumberyards here in Riverhead and elsewhere have outside storage.

Usually an applicant in a Special Permit application goes through the items that you have to consider but those items which I think are eighteen in number basically are for principle uses. I think one of them though may be applicable and that's probably the last one that says you must justify this particular application in light of the similar uses in the district. Well if you look at the district itself, and you look at that side of the road, what's really stored are cars. On the east of this site is an automobile repair shop that has cars all over its site and if you go west there are also other repair shops that have cars so the cars esthetically certainly are less pleasant than seeing small piles of lumber outside. So I think we meet that justification requirement.

I also know that the Town Board has the obligation to make a determination based on certain standards that are set forth in the code and there are four standards you usually refer to and the first one is the use will not appear reasonably, I'm sorry the reasonable and orderly development to the site. This site is an island unto itself. I mean it's railroad tracks on one side, Route 25 on the other side, vacant land on either other side of it. So, the impact here is minimal. It's certainly not going to hurt any of the neighbors that are next door or any of the neighbors that are nearby.

Another one of the standards is to whether it's a disadvantage to the neighborhood. I don't think there's anything about storing lumber outside that's going to make this neighborhood less, certainly this neighborhood has already seen what the alternative is and that is not to have 84 Lumber there and if 84 Lumber is not open you've all seen what it looked like when it was closed. So, I think it's not a disadvantage to the neighborhood.

Again, health and safety and welfare of the town is not adversely affected by it. The DEC has indicated this is an acceptable use and so has the Zoning Board of Appeals and so has the Planning Board. So, I believe under all the circumstances this meets the requirements of the code, that's it's in harmony with intent of the code and I would ask you to adopt a resolution approving this site for outside storage, thank you."

Supervisor Jens Smith: "I just have a couple of questions. The DEC has approved for the outside storage. I think I did see some correspondence that I didn't thing was very clear. Will you be going back to the DEC for a clearer, I guess you had asked them about storing outside I think."

Charles Cuddy: "What had happened is the DEC is taking a long time. The Planning Board had asked us to go to the DEC again to make sure that we had approval. I wrote a letter; the letter didn't get very far apparently from the Planning Board to the DEC. 84 Lumber hired Dr. Ron Abrams who is a DEC expert in the sense that's what he does for a living, he's done it all over Riverhead. He went there and got the statement that you saw which is simply saying that the DEC didn't have a problem with us storing lumber outside as long as we kept to their requirements which basically was to plant the trees that we planted."

Supervisor Jens Smith: “I think the DEC letter was a short email and I think it kind of was a bit vague. I’d like to see something written back from the DEC with a little bit clearer that you’re applying for a Special Permit for outside storage and that the DEC has no objection to it.”

Charles Cuddy: “We could do that and the reason we didn’t was because that requires a complete application to the DEC and Mr. Abrams thought knowing who he was dealing with that they would just indicated what they did to him and he thought and I think, some lawyers have thought that that was sufficient. I understand what you’re saying.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “It’s a little unclear. I think if you could get something that’s a little clearer as to what it is the applicant is wanting to do there and the DEC to say that was ok.”

Charles Cuddy: “We can do that and I’m sure they will.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Ok, and then one of the other questions I had, I know that there was a question, I think the application in ’83 did have three sides of trees, a buffer for three sides on the property. I think, are there three sides planted now or two sides planted now?”

Charles Cuddy: “All across the front is planted which is the DEC requirement.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Ok.”

Charles Cuddy: “On one side there are trees because it’s our property meaning the eastside. On the westside, yes because that’s a Long Island Lighting area I think that is owned by a lighting company and so there are trees there too.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Ok, they met the requirements that are stated in the, I think it’s the “83 covenant?”

Charles Cuddy: “As far as I know. I’d have to look at the covenant again to answer you.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Just take a look at that.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “I have a couple of questions. Is this property within the wild scenic recreational rivers?”

Charles Cuddy: “This property, yes is in and that’s why we had to go to the DEC to get our approval which we did.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Ok, but you’re asking for outdoor storage now which is a change to the original permit that was applied to the DEC to rehabilitate a building that had been caught on fire, correct?”

Charles Cuddy: “Yes, we went there originally to get approval to reopen the building, yes.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “I just think that any property that’s within the wild scenic recreational rivers is subject to that permit from the DEC so I will check on that and I’m sure that our Planning Director will be checking on that also. And then, as far as the, the screening was addressed. What is the square footage of the existing building?”

Charles Cuddy: “The total square footage is thirty-seven thousand square feet.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Thirty-seven thousand square feet and was there any consideration with, you know, two hundred and forty thousand square feet of lot area and building an addition onto this building so that you can accommodate some of this outdoor storage or?”

Charles Cuddy: “Most of the storage buildings that are built, and this is my indication from the 84 Lumber people, are not large enough to contain some of the type of things they store even if they built other buildings, but the answer was they weren’t planning on building right now other buildings, they wanted to store as other lumber yards do, outside.”

Councilwoman Giglio: Ok, and then as far as the, you know, the test that you went through (inaudible) B, that’s more specific to the Zoning Board of Appeals not necessarily the Town Board for a Special Permit application so I just need to consult with counsel to make sure that, it was always my intention that you had to meet all of the tests of a special permit criteria in order for a special permit to be granted. So if it were an expansion of a pre-existing nonconforming use that you were applying for then I believe that that would be separate, a different application other than a Special Permit sighting the criteria that’s necessary in order for us, that type of application to be approved but I could be mistaken but I would just like to consult with either yourself and get your thoughts on that or the town attorney.”

Charles Cuddy: “There’s a section known as 301-312 which is the items, there are eighteen different items. I mentioned the last one because I thought that particularly had some meaning to it. It starts off saying the site is particularly suitable for the location and use in the community, but this is a principle use that I think they’re talking to. I don’t think they’re talking to what I’d think is an accessory type of use so that’s why I didn’t go through each one of them.

One of the things is has adequate provisions been made for emergency conditions? This has very little to do with outside storage of lumber.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Unless there was a fire at the storage, but I think it would.”

Charles Cuddy: “So that’s why I didn’t touch each one of them because I thought that many of them were just not relevant to what we’re talking about. We certainly can do it but I just didn’t think it was necessary based on the type of application.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “It’s just been in my experience that you have to meet the criteria of the special permit application which are those eighteen standards which have to be met.”

Charles Cuddy: “We can, I can put in writing that we do. I didn’t want to take the Board’s time to go through eighteen numbers.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “I think that would be.”

Charles Cuddy: “Ok, I would be happy to do that.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Ok, so we’re going to?”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Ok, any other questions from the Board?”

Councilman Wooten: “I can tell you I appreciate the site work that has been done and the cleanup that has been done on the property. You’re right, lumber yard stores their lumber outside so it seems to be a pretty good idea.”

Charles Cuddy: “Thank you.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “So at this time I’m going to ask if there is anybody from the public if they have any comments or questions if they would come up now, just come up to the podium.”

Warren McKnight: “Warren McKnight.”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “Warren, do you swear the testimony you’re about to give is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth so help you God?”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “Thank you.”

Warren McKnight: “I do. Warren McKnight, Riverhead. As we all know and we all lived in Riverhead, we’ve seen other buildings that were closed that just went into demise. Judge (inaudible) Inn, the Suffolk Theater was a disgrace until Mr. Castaidi came. The restaurant across from the railroad was a disgrace but 84 Lumber maintained their property and they maintained it not perfectly, I used to see kids climbing over the fence from time to time because I drive around but I want to thank them and then thank them for coming back to Riverhead and providing the business again. Not many businesses would maintain the property if it was closed and I just thought, I wanted to thank them and people should realize that, thank you.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Thank you. Is there anybody else who would like to come up.? Seeing nobody I’m going to close the public hearing and leave it open for written comment until July 13th.”

**Public Hearing Closed at 2:29 p.m.
Left Open for Written Comment until July 13, 2018, 4:30 p.m.**

Supervisor Jens Smith: “And now we have our second public hearing tht was supposed to start at 2:10 and it is now 2:30 and this is a Consideration for a Proposed Local Law to Amend the Code of the Town of Riverhead to Add a Chapter 225 Entitled “Environmental Quality Review.”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “For those who follow the Town Board since January, you would know that there was a Tri-Board meeting earlier in the year and a lot of the discussion at the Tri-Board meeting focused on SEQRA and how SEQRA principles interacted when different agencies in the Town of Riverhead were dealing with applications, you know, the interaction between the Planning Board and Town Board, interaction between Town Board, Planning Board and Zoning Board. There was a lot of discussion that focused on that including how the SEQRA statute should come into play. As a result, there was a request to look into establishing a statute which is a new Chapter 225, Environmental Quality Review which for those of you who kind of watched this for a long time, it’s actually not a new Chapter. In 1977 under the old code there was a Chapter 61 which is also entitled Environmental Quality Review so we’re going full circle I guess in a way that statute was repealed and what the Board is looking to do is reestablish this statute. We looked across the river at Southampton. We know that they’ve had a statute that is similar to what’s being proposed today on the books for some time. It’s working. This would allow individuals who are coming to the Town of Riverhead to kind of understand the process that’s been undergone by the town and defacto so it’s now putting it into writing and it’s establishing Type I type actions, Type II unlisted which the state’s statute allows a municipality to do if they choose to so they can characterize certain actions differently and we’re looking to add this Chapter, 225 to the code and looking for your comments back.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “If there is anyone from the audience who would like, comments from the Town Board first? Ok, anyone from the audience who would like to come up and address the Board on this matter?”

Martin Sendlewski: “Good afternoon, hello. Martin Sendlewski, Riverhead. In reading this and from what I understand, this is actually, the SEQRA is already in effect and it is enforced by the town under state law.”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “That’s correct.”

Martin Sendlewski: “So, this is just really, it’s a town code that supplements and sort of dovetails in with SEQRA I presume? If that’s the case on 225.2 Purpose; I don’t think that paragraph is accurate because it says that it’s to implement for the Town of Riverhead the provisions of the State Quality Review Act which already are part of the law. I think it should say implement for the Town of Riverhead instead of the supplemental local provisions to the state environmental law because if you’re not, by doing this you’re not implementing the SEQRA, it already exists, you’re actually putting in a supplement and that’s reinforced by the very last sentence on the page that says that any agency must comply with SEQRA, Part 617 and this chapter. So, this is separate from SEQRA, it’s a supplement, a footnote.

But what I really am concerned about is under 225-5, the applicability. I think that the first, you only have one paragraph under there, you don’t have any subparagraphs. I think that paragraph should become Subitem A and I would really like the Town Board to consider a Subitem B that says that Chapter.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Ok, 225.5?”

Martin Sendlewski: “225-5, Applicability. You only have one statement which the first one is just a statement. It could be noted Item A but Item B refers to applicability which I think is important. Would the Board consider a provision that would make this chapter specifically, 225, applicable to applications that are submitted after the date of this adoption because I have a couple of applications pending?”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “I think that is addressed later on in here where it says when effective, the chapter shall take effect immediately upon filing in the office of the Secretary of State in accordance with 27, municipal home rule. We did address it.”

Martin Sendlewski: “Ok, so does that mean specifically that that then, it becomes effective but what happens if there’s an application pending, it’s effective before the application is approved? Does it change?”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “There are application steps in here for the process so if you passed that part of the process because I believe its when the application and determination of the lead agency and then after the termination of the lead agency I believe you have twenty days to then determine, I believe the environment significance has to be determined after twenty days so it does limit it already by the days so if determination is already made you’re not going to go back and change what you’ve already established.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Bob? That would be correct?”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Have there been a SEQRA determination on the application you’re concerned about?”

Martin Sendlewski: “No, I’m sort of going to get into that next because, since you brought it up I’ll jump ahead a little bit.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “But this is really determining it how it’s listed, whether it’s Type I, Type II or unlisted, so.”

Martin Sendlewski: “Understood, however one of things that I really, really urge the Board to do and the Town to do is to take this opportunity to dovetail this with site plan review and I’ll tell you why. Currently what happens with site plan review is the planning staff, there’s a site plan check list and they require you to submit everything in the check list to accept an application, everything; elevations, floor plans, the whole deal. That is so over the top in terms of what you need to get a determination as to the type of action that I would hope that the Board would consider a preliminary application like when we have our preliminary preapplication meeting that they make a determination as to the type of action then. The reason being that when you make that determination prior to the full application, you know, the formal application, you would only need like maybe six copies of the application because if it’s Type II it does not require referral to every agency on the globe. Right now every application, no matter what it is, I’ve got to submit fifteen copies. We don’t know when they’re referred to other agencies. I talk to a lot of other agencies, they don’t need to know why they’ve been referred to on a lot of this stuff. They say yeah we keep getting the same plan like six times over from the Town of Riverhead because every time they ask

somebody to resubmit something they send it out to everybody and that's not even the way SEQRA is supposed to work. They're supposed to get one bite of the apple. You do the referral, they have thirty days, you declare."

Supervisor Jens Smith: "Well that's just for the intention of lead agency."

Martin Sendlewski: "Right, it's the intent of lead agency plus they have thirty days comment period. That's when they have the time to comment. After that when the comments come back you're again ready for a public hearing and then final approval, so it would really, especially on Type II actions it would just make the process so much simpler and so much more streamlined, I think it would be a very good thing to do.

If they determine it's a Type I or unlisted action, then you would submit the full amount of copies for a full referral. You would also submit the, probably the long application opposed to the, you know the short Part 1. So, it would have a big effect on the actual application.

I'll give you an example. I have a project we submitted fifteen copies of everything. I mean I have a stack of paper this high. It's reviewed by the planning staff (inaudible) Type II issues or a couple of things grammatical that had to be changed. We had one name wrong on one application versus the other. We didn't have a lighting plan. Why we need a lighting plan to start just reviewing what type of action it is is beyond me but we had to get all the engineering. We give it to the town and they say well it's still incomplete you have more things to do. I said great, can I get this back and I can go through it and supplement it? No, once it's submitted we can't give it back to you so there's a whole pile of paper that's just wasted, gone, garbage, a lot of trees dead.

If there's a way to take this and look at your site plan application and review process. I like what you're doing because especially on a Part II, we're going to come down with an application Part II. Part II under SEQRA doesn't even require a referral to other involved agencies. The only time it would be for example, Suffolk County planning requests that I believe were referrals within five hundred feet of a road or something like that. There are a couple of other agencies that have requested referrals so if they request referrals fine but if it's a Type II it doesn't have to go to Suffolk County Health, Suffolk County Planning, DOT, DPW and all of these peoples, Suffolk County Water, they really don't need to see it and yet we keep having to submit all this paperwork and it's just very cumbersome. This give you an opportunity to look at that and say hey, let's make it easier (inaudible). Realistically at that point a Type II could be approved really within about three months which is what it should be."

Councilwoman Gigli: "So, how do you know whether type I, type II, unless you get a complete scoping of the application?"

Martin Sendlewski: "You don't need a complete scope. What you would do with a preliminary application would be a preliminary site plan per zoning. Ok, you've got to look at the all the zoning, make sure you meet all the zoning requirements and to use, ok, and then you offer the person in the town who is in charge of reviewing that and making that determination then goes by SEQRA and says ok, it's a Type I or it's an unlisted or it's a Type II and the criteria is in SEQRA so what the benchmark is for those classifications."

Councilwoman Giglio: "So then what if the application changes during the process and it's determined that your thirty thousand square foot building you're proposing for retail turns into

being a brewery where it would have an environmental impact because of the waste of the byproduct so how would we know exactly what the uses are being proposed whether or not special permits are necessary, variances are necessary, unless we saw a complete application but I think.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “We’re going to have Jeff, Jefferson Murphree, would you come up and address some of this?”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Because I think, you know, when an application is submitted, a complete application is submitted, a short environmental assessment form is submitted and then the application is looked at very thoroughly to determine whether or not it’s a Type I, Type II or unclassified and then, you know, EIS or an expanded, a full environmental assessment form may be necessary rather than the short environmental assessment so.”

Martin Sendlewski: “Yes but I would think that each environmental, the type of action is very readily, you can determine that very readily, very early on in the process.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “That’s why in here we have the Planning Department would be the clearing house for determining. I have Mr. Murphree coming up to.”

Martin Sendlewski: “With that said the item that I was concerned about, what would apply, I really have to look then down at the, on the page that has the classification of Type I actions, 225-11 Type I actions, you’re adding a lot of Type I actions here that I don’t understand why you’re adding them because they seem to be very, very, a little bit over the top.

For example, parking. You’re talking about somebody has parking for fifty cars or more it’s a Type I action. I mean that’s a hundred and fifty seat restaurant. Under SEQRA, under the SEQRA code it’s a thousand cars makes it a Type I action. You’re making it fifty. You’re making it twenty times more restrictive than SEQRA. There’s nothing in SEQRA with regard to hotel rooms and residential units that you have in here but in a town with under a hundred fifty people population, if you’re connected to sewer it’s two hundred and fifty residential units and if you’re not it’s fifty and you’re making it twenty. That’s really restrictive compared to what SEQRA has as far as their requirements.

Also, expansion of nonconforming uses. Under SEQRA they had talked about an expansion of a preexisting building that exceeds fifty percent of the threshold and in the Town of Riverhead’s population that threshold is a hundred thousand, so an expansion would be fifty thousand.

As I think before they were talking about under the current rule you can expand a nonconforming use up to ten percent or something like that, you’re taking that away. You’re saying if somebody wants to expand, they’ve had a business for a hundred years and they want to put a little twelve by twelve room outside for another piece of equipment they need, they’re a Type I action. That seems a little bit restrictive and then lastly, I don’t understand and why you have a provision in here people with regard to 225-10 which is critical areas. It seems like this is a real overreach by the town and the reason it’s a real overreach is it’s open ended. Number one, you say from time to time you can designate something as critical area and then you reserve the right to declare areas critical, but you reserve it. You haven’t declared (inaudible). You say yeah in the future we may do that. Well you know, critical areas in environmental review, that’s what SEQRA is so you’re actually stepping over and above that and it’s a moving target. I would like to think

that 225-10 just get eliminated from this revision altogether because it just seems like an overreach. It seems like it gives the town an opportunity to declare an area as a critical area so that you can then go back to the previous page and go to the area that talks about those environmental, oh under the types of actions. Any area that's in an environmental area becomes a Type I so you can say, you know what, this really doesn't matter what it is. If you want to you can say we're going to declare it in an environmental area so now it's a Type I so now we can pos dec it. Type II you cannot pos dec it. Type II is not a pos dec, Type II goes through and those applications should be simple and they should be subject to a pos dec. So, I just think there's a lot of overreach here, number one and number two, I really think the town should take an opportunity to look at the site plan application and approval process. Dovetail it with SEQRA, a lot better than it is now so it would be good for everybody, better for the town as well as the applicants."

Supervisor Jens Smith: "Thank you."

Councilwoman Giglio "I see what you're saying with these critical areas. It would be one thing if there was a map to refer to, to identify critical areas within the town but it can't just be arbitrary."

Martin Sendlewski: "Right, it's open-ended right now. We may from time to time say this is critical areas. Your talk about historic district (inaudible). Back in the day when the historic districts were being adopted Richard Wines was here and I got up and said this was a wolf in sheep's clothing and they said no, no, we're not looking to restrict anything. This all is, you know, we can get funds for historical buildings. Well guess what, now it could be a historical area, now it could be a Type I if it's considered to be environmental for whatever reason historical area would be environmental. So, the regulations just keeps building up and building up and building up, ok and I think when you adopt this, aren't you supposed to eliminate twenty regulations, isn't that the deal? Anyway, thank you."

Robert Kozakiewicz: "Just one response to the CEA, the question of critical environmental areas, we could delete it. It's really kind of a nonissue because the state statute allows the town from time to time to designate critical environmental areas so this is something we can do. The town would go to public hearing just as they would do here in order to hear from the public whether an area should be designated as CEA's. So whether we delete it or not address it, the underlined statute in state legislation allows the town to do this."

Martin Sendlewski: "But it seems like the way this is written and with the Type I actions list it seems to me like it's the backdoor way to take something and say it's in an environmental area and backdoor it and make it a Type I action relative to a specific application not to a specific environmental area. To me I'm concerned how it affects an application. You know you can designate an area environmental that's fine but when you designate it environmental then it has an impact on a pending application that can then be backdoored into a Type I and then be pos deced, I think that's unfair."

Robert Kozakiewicz: "I don't think that's going to happen. I think with the history of this town, it's not happening and in fact there have been challenges from time to time dealing with this issue and I think the town would have to do the study. Would have to analyze something to

determine CEA but I mean that's up to the Board whether you want to redact that from the code or not. I don't think it makes much of a difference in how ultimately the CEA would get designated by the town, it's just something they could add later and go to public hearing and designate particular areas as critical environmental areas. So it could be allowed by state law."

Martin Sendlewski: "It just seems open-ended because it ties into the Type I."

Robert Kozakiewicz: "I strongly disagree because I think you still have to establish the criteria to create the CEA but again that's the Board's decision."

Supervisor Jens Smith: "Jeff, you just want to come up and address about the site plan?"

Jefferson Murphree: "Good afternoon, Jefferson Murphree, Building and Planning Administrator. There's a lot of confusion being expressed between the site plan submission requirements and what's required for SEQRA.

This law has nothing to do with submission requirements for a site plan application. A lot of times we get applications that are incomplete and they're missing information. An application under SEQRA, the designation of the Type I, Type II or unlisted act, those are spelled out under SEQRA and the procedure and process of that is spelled out under SEQRA.

An application that's incomplete is incomplete. It's apples and oranges. To process for SEQRA classification and the submission requirements for site application are separate and distinct. Now if we were to submit a referral for a Type I unlisted action that requires a referral to an involved agency and we refer it out an incomplete application that exposes the town and we've seen that in other applications where we sent out adopted laws for example that did not get the proper referrals. Procedure is everything. If you do something procedurally incorrect, you're exposing the town so if we send out an application that is deficient of information and we get that information after SEQRA determination has been made, that is a procedural defect and we don't want to do that to the town, we cannot do that, that would be, not only exposes the town it exposes the applicant as well and it could further delay his application.

So, yes sometimes we get accused of being picky. Some people don't like ten-page letters, but I can tell you, it's not a question of whether or not it's right or wrong, is it accurate and is it correct and so we like, we are slow. Sometimes we'd like to be faster, but we try to be as accurate as we can to be fair to the applicant. That's what this law tries to do. It tries to make it more transparent as to what the process is for SEQRA between involved agencies.

A lot of times we see an application for a special permit, site plan and also a variance and this spells out that the coordination between the three Boards and all the other involved agencies as well.

If there is a question as to the nature of a site plan in terms of a check list, that goes through my office. I would be happy to speak with any applicant about it, but this law has nothing to do with submission requirements on a regular site plan."

Councilwoman Giglio: "Do you think there's any validity to the claim that an application is submitted and it's reviewed and it is determined that you know you want to see this part over here instead of over here so then you have to resubmit a new site plan with fourteen copies with the change of location of a parking spot and then that gets sent out again to all the involved agencies to (inaudible) do you think that makes sense to submit either a, so we're not killing trees all over

the place by submitting thirteen copies every time when there's a small change to a site plan? Do you, think that it would make sense to require less up front until the application is complete and then once the application is complete and everything's been addressed then send it out or do you think that would delay the process?"

Jefferson Murphree: "We try not to do a referral until we get a complete application. Some of the things are mandatory in terms of what is shown on our applications. Some of them are discretionary that we think circulation can be made improved what have you, that's discretionary but if it's something that's a mandatory check list and it's procedurally incorrect, we try not to do referrals until we get that information, so the information is accurate that we send out to the involved agencies."

Councilwoman Giglio: "So there's not a number, every submission doesn't get sent out to all the involved agencies?"

Jefferson Murphree: "One thing that we actually encourage is that when we send out one of our letters, you know ten-page letters, you know that says everything is included in an application what we frequently do is write the applicant to just submit one copy to us so we can go through that one copy and see if they've addressed everything so that. We don't need fifteen copies. If you've seen our office we're swimming in paperwork. We don't need more paperwork. We like trees so we invite applicants to just submit one copy to us and I do this all the time, Karin does all the time, Greg does all the time. Just submit one copy to us and we go through it and what we also do is we typically send out the letters as a word document to the applicants so they can use that as a cut and paste so we go through the check list, yes we addressed this to so and so, please see note on page three, so and so we know that they looked at it and they know they've looked at it and we try and we try to eliminate the amount of paperwork that's submitted to us."

Supervisor Jens Smith: "Thank you very much."

Councilman Wooten: "I've just got one question. The Type I actions for the purpose of the chapter Marty pointed to some of the inconsistencies to what the state requires, to what the town is asking I know you're part of drafting this I guess?"

Jefferson Murphree: "There's a whole committee."

Councilman Wooten: "Ok, what was the reason behind hotels, motels with more than twenty units, or parking fields? I mean it does seem a little paperwork issue."

Jefferson Murphree: "It is more (inaudible) applications and those were the ones we felt warranted mandatory review by coordinating review with the other agencies. Right now some of these are not a Type I action for example (inaudible) is probably an unlisted action that may or may not warrant a coordinated review. If we saw twenty years or more we probably would require coordinated or would recommend to the Board that require a coordinated review because it's going to generate a certain amount of traffic for example, more concern regarding esthetics. All this does is it makes it more clear as to what the application would be. The parking field that's associated with a certain number as Marty said."

(Inaudible)

Jefferson Murphree: “So that in itself could warrant, that would be a Type I that would require. Typically a restaurant (inaudible) fifty-eight (inaudible) downtown. I don’t see any place else that would seat a hundred and fifty seat restaurant. A use that’s going to take up fifty parking spaces near downtown, I think you’d want to coordinate that review and see what the impacts are going to be by that use. It’s not just the parking and traffic, it’s all the other uses and things that are going to go along with it. So that’s the one thing, it’s not just Type I in terms of parking that’s a concern, it’s all the other things that other agencies would have to review as well; sanitary, the garbage disposal, the waste disposal, the water usage, that’s sort of thing and if it’s in a downtown, it’s in a historic districts now it needs to get a landmark. So what that does, it just mandates that we coordinate with all these different agencies.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Which is why I think it’s important under 225-10 where it says critical areas, critical areas of environmental concern will be re-designated from time to time by resolution of the Town Board in accordance of provision subdivision 14G a part of 617 and then under B under that same chapter it says the following have been designated by the Town Board as critical areas of environmental concern and B1 says reserved. That’s why I think it’s important that we depict these critical areas ahead of time before this legislation is adopted to not be considered arbitrary and capricious.”

Jefferson Murphree: “I have a question for Bob. To be designated a critical area, does that require a public hearing before the Board?”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “It should probably have said local law. I mean again if the Board wants to, it ultimately is your decision as the policy makers if you want to delete that provision and then simply rely upon state legislation, that is a decision the Board can make and you can also do what Marty asked you to do which is to do a side by side comparison on what Type I actions are listed here and what the state statute says under 617.4 and see whether you feel comfortable with what was designated and came out of Code Committee. We have a public hearing, this can be revised.”

Jefferson Murphree: “Even though it seems like it’s open ended here that is something that requires further action by this Board and if it’s done by local law, and again I’m not a lawyer, I believe that would require a public hearing because you’re making a change to the code.”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “I think it should have said local law in the resolution but again that’s up to the Board whether they want to excise this at this point in time and rely upon the state statutes.”

Jefferson Murphree: “As Bob said it’s there anyway so for purpose of redundancy or reducing redundancy you can eliminate it, it’s still there though.”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “You always have the ability to designate a critical environmental area.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “It would probably be by a public hearing not by resolution, correct?”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “Well I think you’re going to have to substantiate the reason why you’re doing it anyway. You just can’t pull something out of thin air.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “And then this was also supposed to be, I now you were looking at Southampton’s supplemental SEQRA regulations so is everything that’s in here what was in Southampton and you pulled and edited?”

Jefferson Murphree: “No we amended it because they had certain critical, identified areas that we do not have. For example the areas that are under review, of the Shinnecock Indian Nation are listed in there, significant archeological areas that (inaudible) we don’t have so there is a difference between what they have and what we are proposing.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “But they do list their critical area?”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “They do.”

Jefferson Murphree: “They do.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Ok, thank you.”

Martin Sendlewski: “I just want to add one thing because the way I may have put it out there may be a little bit confusing. I agree actually with everything that was noted by Jeff with regard to. Their staff is really great, very helpful, sometimes one copy and all that. What I’m talking about is the very distinct difference in SEQRA as a Type I and an unlisted action at which point everything that he said is absolutely right on versus a Type II action. Under SEQRA the very first step under SEQRA is to determine if the action is subject to SEQRA and if it’s a Type II action you don’t have to do anything anymore. You can just review their site plan in house and approve it, period. I’m concerned with what the town is and if you’re going to do this take an opportunity and say, ok Type II actions, ok, first of all I don’t like the list being increased as much as it is but a Type II action, ok it’s good to do referrals to certain agencies that request it, Type II action doesn’t even require referral under SEQRA in the State of New York. It doesn’t require that. It doesn’t have to go to anybody. You don’t even have to have a public hearing. If you did Type II you could look at the site plan and say yeah, the site plan is good, approved. That’s what I’m talking about. I’m talking about the distinct and that’s one thing that the town has not done. Is the town basically, right now every application upon it’s, where you go through the site plan application the way it’s submitted, every application is submitted the same way. The type of action could be determined before, like with a preliminary application and that will affect the actual application, the copies and all of that after that determination is made and the Type II actions, site review and the referral can be and should be very different and very much more limited than a Type I and an unclassified. That’s what I’m referring to. I’m not talking about the process like Jeff said with the copies and the referral and all the help they give us, and it’s got to be right absolutely, procedure is paramount to the State when it comes to SEQRA review ok, and that’s all great, Type

I unlisted but Type II could we add a step? Could we have a preliminary review to declare type of action?"

Supervisor Jens Smith: "A determination, I'll have Jeff come up but a determination has to be made one way or the other. If it's not necessarily have to be a Type II. You're saying it's not a Type I and it's not an unlisted."

Martin Sendlewski: "Correct."

Supervisor Jens Smith: "So a determination, and that's what this is doing. It's making a determination on SEQRA, so the applicant knows up front what the determination is when the lead agency is chosen. I'll have Jeff come."

Martin Sendlewski: "That could be done without a quote, unquote full site plan application. In other words."

Supervisor Jens Smith: "I'm going to have Mr. Murphree come up and address that."

Councilwoman Giglio: "And my concern with that Marty, would be that the information given is such a small amount of information and that its determination can't be made and my concern would be that if a determination is made that it's a Type II or an unlisted action that, and then the full site plan comes in, how do we reverse that turning it into a Type I when what we're getting is completely different than what happened in the preliminary application? Jeff, that question was for you."

Jefferson Murphree: "Ok. You're absolutely correct in what you just said, absolutely. I agree with you one hundred percent. We do have differences in our site plan process and just to give you a couple of examples, our farm stand law. It's always a separate site plan process than all the other site plan applications and nine times out of ten it doesn't classify as a Type II action under SEQRA, it's on the list. Under site plan, even under the farm stand law, it still gets referred out to different agencies. It could be referred to the State Highway Department. It could be referred to the Farm Land Committee. I can tell you right now the Town Engineer reviews them. So, under that it doesn't get referred out in terms of SEQRA, but I gets referred out under out site plan process for farm stands."

Supervisor Jens Smith: "We also increased in this resolution, increased the Type II actions to make it clearer for people coming in."

Jefferson Murphree: "And another example of the difference in our site plan process is the administrative site plan process. If you're proposing a four thousand square foot or less addition to a building or less than four thousand and it doesn't meet any of the other thresholds we try to get those to the administrator rather than a full site plan in which case it may or may not require a public hearing. Under the code it does not require a public hearing, but it still gets referred out to all the involved agencies (inaudible) site plan not necessarily SEQRA but site plan. So, we are trying to (inaudible) the process (inaudible) small application. We just try and that's the best we can do. Every application is unique. Everyone's looked at as a case by case phase but what we're

trying to do with this is law is to provide some sense of continuity and transparency in terms of what we're looking for and what applicants can expect. If you have any questions on any of that, I'm at my desk."

Supervisor Jens Smith: "Thank you. Is there anybody else who would like to comment?"

(Inaudible)

Councilwoman Giglio: So, in a Type I action new residential apartment, condominiums or conversions to residential apartments, so if you have a residential apartment building and you want to turn it into a condominium then that would be considered a Type I action?"

Supervisor Jens Smith: "You want to address this to Jeff?"

Councilwoman Giglio: "Yes."

Supervisor Jens Smith: "Jeff, a couple of questions."

Councilwoman Giglio: "So in addition to the Type I actions that's required under the State Environmental Quality Review Act I see on her that you have conversions to residential apartments, condominiums, cooperatives of twenty units or more so if there was an apartment building that was a residential apartment and they wanted to convert that into a condominium or a coop you're saying that would be a Type I action?"

Jefferson Murphree: "Yes."

Councilwoman Giglio: "And would you justify that and how do you justify that when there's really no impact from changing it from a residential apartment to a condominium or a coop?"

Supervisor Jens Smith: "It could have been an office building."

Councilwoman Giglio: "It doesn't say that."

Supervisor Jens Smith: "It says conversion to residential apartment."

Councilwoman Giglio: "Ok so then it should say conversion from office space to residential apartment, condominium, or cooperatives."

Supervisor Jens Smith: "Well it could be anything. It could be a warehouse. It could be (inaudible) on 58. So, it could be a retail. "

Councilwoman Giglio: "I don't know. I just think it just need to be clearer on number eight because if you have a residential apartment building and you want to convert it to a condominium building I don't see why that would be classified as a Type I."

Supervisor Jens Smith: “No, no. It’s conversion residential apartment, condominiums or cooperatives, conversion to apartments, condominiums, co-operatives. You can say residential condominiums, residential cooperatives. It’s those three categories.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Understood but you’re converting it from a residential apartment to a condominium you’d be subject to a Type I with this law.”

Jefferson Murphy: “Ill get a clarification on that, ok?”

Councilman Hubbard: “Because anything can go to a conversion whether it’s an apartment house or an office building the conversion to create twenty unites or more would cause an action to take place.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “But you would already be approved because you’re not converting. If you’re in a residential apartment and you want to become a condominium or a coop, you’re not converting it, you’re not converting it to something else. A clarification would be fine.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “I agree. A lot of this is in rereading it and listening to us and what the public speakers have said, I think it is an over reach of government, a lot of it.”

Councilman Wooten: “Well I think it’s just a lot of coordinated views, I guess so I think it just needs to be cleaned up, that’s all.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Any other questions? Seeing none I will close the public hearing and leave it open for written comment until Friday July 13th.”

**Public Hearing Closed at 3:05 p.m.
Left Open for Written Comment until July 13, 2018, 4:30 p.m.**

Supervisor Jens Smith: “All right now I will move for a first and second to close the Town Board meeting and go into the CDA meeting.”

Councilwoman Kent: “I move we go into the CDA meeting.”

Councilman Wooten: “Second.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “First and second. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. The CDA meeting is now opened.”

Town Board Meeting is Closed

Town Board Meeting is Reopened

COMMENTS ON RESOLUTIONS

Supervisor Jens Smith: “At this point I would like to ask that anyone who would like to comment on resolution to please come up.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “Sal Mastropaolo, Calverton, Resolution 518. This begs a lot of questions. There’s a big difference between a call-in clerk and a part-time employee and it has to do with benefits and it has to do with when the clock starts because if this part-time employee becomes a fulltime employee I think the clock starts when she became a part-time employee and you need to look at vacations and you need to look at sick time and holidays.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Agreed but the reason for this is because a call-in employee was working more hours and needed to be over extended for the length of time. The call-in clerk is only, can only work so many weeks and we realized we needed somebody more than that because there was somebody that was transferred out of this department and this is to fulfill the needs of this one.

Sal Mastropaolo: “Ok but just so you understand that there’s a big difference between the two. I mean the way this thing is written oh it’s just a name change, no it’s not just a name change. It’s a change in future benefits and future expenses.”

Supervisor Jens Smith “But we also need to meet the need of the office itself.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “Ok, 523. Other towns have car washes that recycle the water. Is there any thought given to requiring this particular individual to recycle water rather than send it to the sewer system?”

Supervisor Jens Smith “We can add that as part of SEQRA as it goes through.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “That will be reviewed under SEQRA as it how they’re going to dispose the water.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “Ok, 524. We’re filing a second publish and post notice. Are we going to bill the applicant for the cost of the second publish and post notice since he failed to post notices at the first meeting?”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Can we do that Bob?”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “We haven’t.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “We haven’t done that?”

Sal Mastropaolo: “Could we?”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Can we?”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “It’s not in our code currently.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “It’s currently in our code but we can take a look at that.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “Ok, 526. There were no attached pages. Just make sure that.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “I actually do have, just recently got a copy so if you would like a copy I.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “531, there was no picture”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Do we have, John were you able to get that picture?”

(Inaudible)

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Ok, thank you.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “533. The fifth *whereas* and the sixth *whereas* say to sell or lease. I would think we should strike out the sell in both of them and just leave it as a lease.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Is the Board ok with?”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “That’s what the statute says, it talks about both. I think it’s just what their language is so it’s just following language which is set forth in Town Board 64.2.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “Ok, we’re not going to try and sell portions of our land in those sites?”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “No, no. I think that reference is not to what the action is here, it’s just talking about the general statutory vote under 64.2”

Sal Mastropaolo: “Ok, 534. Are we going to charge a license fee for usage of the ambulances and the personnel that are going to be sitting there all day? There’s no mention in here.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Is that under a separate contract?”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “I think they’re going to have their own private personnel. As I understand it they’re not using our services.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “The way I read it it almost sounded like the town was going to provide the two ambulances.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Have we ever done it, no?”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “We have from time to time provided ambulances for these events but my understanding for this event they’re not looking to utilize our ambulance corp., they’re looking to utilize their own private.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “We’ll double check that application.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “535. There is no mention of food or health department approval. Is that by design? They don’t plan on having any food at the family day?”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “This one, there are a couple of questions about this one. We’re actually going to table one when we get to it but your question was about food and health department approval.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Yeah, every application where they’re serving food or alcohol they have to have the proper insurances and they also have to have the offsite permit from the health department and (inaudible) from the town attorney’s office who manages these 255’s collects that information before the event can go on.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “Ok, 536. This is my favorite subject, 255’s. They waived the fee but yet you approved five departments to pay overtime to cleanup the place for security and safety, etc. ok? I mean it’s ridiculous.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “As I’ve said I don’t disagree that there are a lot of things that can be reviewed for the Chapter 255’s and the plan is to take them up all in September. Laura has been working on, who does these applications has been working, has already started to gather a package for review.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “Ok, 547. The salaries are missing or maybe because it’s a ratification versus a points.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “547?”

Councilman Wooten: “That’s all the seasonal stuff, recreation.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “It’s the only one that says ratifies.”

Councilman Hubbard: “We have them on ours.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Yeah, they’re on here. You know what, there’s a second page to that.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “I have the second page but it just has the name, the title, the start and the end date.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “It must have gotten cut off. So the first two it’s \$11.50, and then for the recreation leader it’s \$14.00.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “Ok, and last but not least. This refers to 542 through 556. There were fourteen resolutions, one ratification, thirteen appointments. We could have had all of that on one

resolution with an attached page with name, job title, start date, end date and hourly rate and we would have saved paper and your time voting on fourteen resolutions.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “We’re actually going to approve them in groups but yes we agree with you. Diane, they come in in different times and Diane is working, we just approved a month or so ago new software that will make it much easier and able to do changing so that is in the works so they can be bunched together then they can be approved together.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “I mean we’ve done it within the past. We’ve had list but it just seems strange that there were fourteen single resolutions with one name on each.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “And I can agree with you too. When we get an email from the Town Clerk saying can we please lump these together when we vote on them? That’s a rare occasion so she agrees with you too.”

Sal Mastropaolo: “Thank you.”

Harriet Haas: “Harriet Haas, I just want to ask one question. I just wonder what this Resolution 517, appoints a part-time homemaker to the Seniors Program. What does a part-time homemaker do?”

Councilman Hubbard: “They go to homes and they help out generally with elderly or disabled type people. They help with some chores and different things around the house. I believe we get money from the county to subsidize this program and they’re assigned out through the Senior Citizen Department with Judy Doll. They go to people’s houses and help out with chores and do different things that help people.”

Harriet Haas: “So it’s not just this one person?”

Councilman Hubbard: “No jus this one we’re replacing.”

Harriet Haas: “Well how do you get one?”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “You can go through the Senior Center. We also have the Senior Resource Guide that I believe has all that information on it.”

Councilman Hubbard: “Yes.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “So before you leave you just check my office. They have copies of the Senior Resource Guide and it has, there are other services available.”

Harriet Haas: “Oh, your office?”

Councilman Hubbard: “There’s one also right in the front lobby here.”

Harriet Haas: “Oh, I’d like to see that. Thank you very much.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Thank you for helping us advertise our Senior Resource Guide and the whole resource guide is also on the website. Alright, anyone else want to comment? Not seeing anyone else we’ll move on to resolutions.”

RESOLUTIONS

Resolution #514

Councilwoman Kent: Street Lighting Department Budget Adjustment Purchase of Truck, so moved.”

Councilman Wooten: “I’ll second.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution adopted.”

Resolution #515

Councilman Hubbard: “11 West Main Street Water Capital Project Budget Adjustment, so moved.”

Councilwoman Kent: “Second.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution adopted.”

Resolution #516

Councilwoman Giglio: “Amends Resolution #3 (sets salaries of general town employees for the year 2018), so moved.”

Councilman Wooten: “I’ll second.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution adopted.”

Resolution #517

Councilman Wooten: “Appoints a Part-time Homemaker to the Seniors Programs (Nancy Mulanax), so moved.”

Councilwoman Kent: “Seconded”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution adopted.”

Resolution #518

Councilwoman Kent: “Changes the Status of an Employee (Riane Smith), so moved.”

Councilman Hubbard: “Seconded.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio yes; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution adopted.”

Resolution #519

Councilman Hubbard: “Ratifies the Appointment of a Seasonal Traffic Control Officer (Timothy Schmidt), so moved.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Second.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution adopted.”

Resolution #520

Councilwoman Giglio: “Appoints a Water Treatment Plant Operator IIB (David Ferrante), so moved.”

Councilman Wooten: “I’ll second.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution adopted.”

Resolution #521

Councilman Wooten: “Appoints a Water Treatment Plant Operator IIB (Nicholas May), so moved.”

Councilwoman Kent: “Seconded.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution adopted.”

Resolution #522

Councilwoman Kent: “Appoints a Clerk Typist (Indira Abrahamsen), so moved.”

Councilman Hubbard: “Seconded.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent.”

Councilwoman Kent: “And I welcome Indira on board and I vote yes.”

Vote Continued: “Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten.”

Councilman Wooten: I’m really having a hard time with this. I’m going to vote yes because she’s on the list. I just think creating this lower position (inaudible). I vote yes.”

Vote Continued: “Jens Smith, yes. Resolution is adopted.”

Resolution #523

Councilman Hubbard: “Assume Lead Agency Status Pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act, (SEQRA) for a Special Permit and Site Plan Application Known as 1535 Route 58, LLC, Located at 1535 Old Country Road (SCTM No. 600-101-2-12.6) Riverhead, NY. I just have a question with this. When we talked about it last time they had removed the restaurant part and some of the office space out of this so this is coming back to us again showing something very different than the original.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “They haven’t actually changed the application but.”

Councilman Hubbard: “Right, this has to be revised I don’t think.”

Jefferson Murphree: “Good afternoon again. We have a letter from Charles Cuddy, the attorney for the applicant saying they are withdrawing the restaurant portion of the application and also the retail component of the application leaving just the car wash. We have yet to see a site plan though that shows that. So in this resolution, all this does is that this has nothing to do with the site application. It has to do with SEQRA that you’re assuming lead agency for the rest of the environmental review of the application. That’s all it does. So, all of the questions that you have.”

Councilman Hubbard: “Shouldn’t it be corrected? If I’m going to vote on a resolution I’d rather vote on the correct resolution of what it properly should be.”

(Inaudible)

Councilman Hubbard: “I understand. I’m assuming lead agency on something that is not necessarily true. I have an issue with that. I would like to see it corrected.”

Jefferson Murphree: “That’s fine.”

Councilman Hubbard: “Ok. I would like to make a motion to table this.”

Jefferson Murphree: “Or we can, is the concern just with?”

Councilwoman Giglio: “I’ll second the motion to table. Until we get a formal application other than just a letter from the attorney saying he is withdrawing the other uses on the site. We really need to make sure that we’re moving forward with SEQRA on the application and I see this resolution as being moot, so I would even. Yeah, table it or withdraw it until it’s determine actually what it is they’re trying to build there.”

Jefferson Murphree: “Ok, we can do that.”

Councilman Wooten: “My question is by tabling this is there any urgency with us grabbing lead agency at this point in the process? It’s not going to stall their application?”

Jefferson Murphree: “It really doesn’t. The balls in their court to come back to us with the revisions as they indicated in their letter.”

Councilman Wooten: “There’s nothing timely or anything?”

Jefferson Murphree: “No.”

Councilman Hubbard: “Motion to table it.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Can I have a second?”

Councilman Wooten: “I’ll second it.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “A first and second to table Resolution #523.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution 523 is tabled.”

Resolution #524

Councilwoman Giglio: “Authorizes the Town Clerk to Publish and Post Notice for a Public Hearing on a Special Permit Application for Jamesport Vineyard Bistro Addition, so move”

Councilman Wooten: “I’ll second.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard.”

Council Hubbard: “Even though it’s not on the code right now I would like to see them pay for having it be reposted and republished. I think that would be a fair thing for the applicant to do since it would was on them to post it and they didn’t do it and the town should not have to be furthered with the expense but I will vote yes for the resolution.”

Vote Continued: “Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution adopted.”

Resolution #525

Councilman Wooten: “Authorizes the Release of a Site Plan Security of New Riverhead Realty Holdings (1044 Parkway Street), so moved.”

Councilwoman Kent: “Seconded.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution adopted.”

Resolution #526

Councilwoman Kent: “Awards Bid for Janitorial Supplies, so moved.”

Councilman Hubbard: “Seconded.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution adopted.”

Resolution #527

Councilman Hubbard: “First Responder Vehicle for Use by the Ambulance District, so moved.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Second.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution adopted.”

Resolution #528

Councilwoman Giglio: “Authorization to Publish Advertisement for Traffic Signal Repair and Maintenance for the Town of Riverhead, so moved.”

Councilman Wooten: “I’ll second.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution adopted.”

Resolution #529

Councilman Hubbard: “Authorizes the Supervisor to Execute a Stipulation of Agreement with the Riverhead Town Superior Officers Association, Inc., so moved.”

Councilwoman Kent: “Seconded.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “I’m happy that this is finally getting done and the terms of the contract are something that we had discussed several of them last year when the new Supervisor won the election and we’ve had several meetings since so I congratulate the Board and the SOA on reaching an agreement on the contract, yes.”

Vote Continued: “Wooten, yes; Jens Smith.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “I have to say the Superior Officers Association has worked very hard on this. Tim Hubbard has worked hard on this. I’m very happy that we’ve come to an agreement and I’m looking forward to not having to do it for a couple of years, yes.”

Councilman Hubbard: “And a very fair agreement I might add for both sides.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Yes a very fair agreement for both sides absolutely.”

Diane Wilhelm: “Resolution adopted.”

Resolution #530

Supervisor Jens Smith: “For Resolution 530 I want to make a motion to withdraw Resolution 530.”

Councilman Wooten: “I’ll second.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution is withdrawn.”

Resolution #531

Councilman Hubbard: “Authorizes Placement of a Commemorative Plaque Nunc Pro Tunc Honoring a Resident for Exemplary Contributions and Commitment to the Community, so moved.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Second.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent.”

Councilwoman Kent: “Once again happy birthday to Helen Duryea and kudos to her for all of her community work. I vote yes.”

Vote Continued: “Hubbard:”

Councilman Hubbard: “Ditto to Katherine, yes.”

Vote Continued: “Giglio:”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Unfortunately I wasn’t there for the plaque placement, but I have seen it since. I was busy with family duties that morning, but I want to thank her for all that she does for the Jamesport Community and the area, and painting the gazebo and now she’s talking about her next project which would be painting the top of the gazebo gold I think it was. So, she’s been talking about that years so anyway she’s a great community member and we appreciate everything she does, yes.”

Vote Continued: “Wooten, yes; Jens Smith.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “And thank you to Ms. Duryea, so yes.”

Diane Wilhelm: “Resolution adopted.”

Resolution #532

Councilwoman Giglio: “Authorizes Sewer District Superintendent to Attend 2018 Water Environment Federation Conference, so moved.”

Councilman Wooten: “I’ll second.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith yes. Resolution adopted.”

Resolution #533

Councilman Wooten: “Declares a Portion of Town Property Surplus and Authorizes Town Clerk to Publish and Post a Notice for Request for Proposals for Placement of Communication/Cellular Towers on Town Property; Sewer District, Yard Waste Facility, Osborne Avenue Highway Yard and Wading River Highway Yard, so moved.”

Councilwoman Kent: “Seconded.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Yes, we’ve done this in the past and we have awarded the proposal to an applicant and the towers were never built for whatever reasons so we’re putting it out again and I’ll vote yes.”

Vote Continued: “Wooten, no; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution adopted.”

Resolution #534

Councilwoman Kent: “Approves Chapter 255 Application of Rugged Races LLC (5K Obstacle Race Entitled “Rugged Maniac” – Saturday, July 21, 2018), so moved.”

Councilman Hubbard: “I will second.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard,.”

Councilman Hubbard: “Yes, they finally have received their final site plan approval, thank goodness and they seem to be cooperating now with the town in a better fashion so yes, I will support thist.”

Vote Continued: “Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution adopted.”

Resolution #535

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Resolution 535, this is approval for the Chapter 255 application of the Church of the Harvest Family Fund Day, Saturday, August 25, 2018. There are some questions about this because they’re locating in the back of the parking lot during the Saturday afternoon. I think Mr. Hubbard is going to check with the parking district, with the BID and the parking district about this first before we move forward with this. So I would ask for a first and second to table this until we’ve had our questions answered.”

Councilman Hubbard: “Motion to table.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “I’ll second.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “First and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes to table; Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten.”

Councilman Wooten: “I don’t mind agreeing to table it but this is something that has been going on for years. This is not the first application for this, they do it every year but yes to table.”

Vote Continued: “Jens Smith.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Yes and I did speak to the (inaudible) and they haven’t run it in a couple of years.”

Councilman Wooten: “It used to be on the East End Arts property.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Right and they haven’t had it in a couple years and we actually asked them if they might be interested in Grangebél Park or another location so as not to close off the parking. So that’s why we’ll table it until we get some full answers. We certainly don’t mind them having it but as I said just to have some questions answered about it first.”

Diane Wilhelm: “Resolution 535 is tabled.”

Resolution #536

Councilwoman Giglio: “Approves Chapter 255 Application of Jamesport Fire Department to Conduct a Fireman’s Carnival (July 17 through July 21, 2018), so moved.”

Councilman Wooten: “I’ll second.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Yes and they do a lot of work themselves when it comes to cleaning up and keeping the grounds clean and the street clean so, we appreciate Jamesport Fire Department and it’s a great carnival, yes.”

Vote Continued: “Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution adopted.”

Resolution #537

Councilman Wooten: “Authorizes the Town Supervisor to Execute an Amendment to Professional Services Agreement with Lawrence M. Levy, so moved.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Seconded.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution adopted.”

Resolution #538

Councilwoman Kent: “Authorizes the Supervisor to Issue a Letter to the Suffolk County Department of Health Services Allowing the Use of Pine Barrens Credits that Originated from Property Located in Riverhead (Rocky Point Fire Department), so moved.”

Councilman Hubbard: “I’ll second.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “This is a credit coming from the Boy Scout Camp, yes.”

Vote Continued: “Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution adopted.”

Resolution #539

Councilman Hubbard: “Approves Stipulation in the Legal Action by the Town of Riverhead Against Baiting Hollow Farms, LLC, et al. and Authorizes the Execution of the Stipulation by the Supervisor, so moved.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Second.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio, no; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution adopted.”

Resolution #540

Councilwoman Giglio: “Authorizes the Supervisor to execute a Renewal Agreement with Nextiraone, LLC, d/b/a Black Box Network Services Regarding Telephone Maintenance, so moved.”

Councilman Wooten: “I’ll second.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “And hopefully as soon as we get our phone system which Councilman Wooten has been working on so diligently this won’t be an added expense added to our budget every year to maintain this antiquated phone system that we have, so.”

Councilman Hubbard: “This contract is only for sixty days I believe.”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “It’s good for six months with a sixty day cancellation.”

Councilman Hubbard: “Oh six months with a sixty-day cancellation.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “So, I want to thank Councilman Wooten.”

Councilman Wooten: “Well I can tell you, let’s see; July, August, September, this is a three-month extension for ten thousand dollars.”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “Six-month extension.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “No, it’s July 1, 2018 to September 30th.”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “Excuse me it should be a six months, it says three but it should be six months.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “So what month do you want it till?”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “It’s December 31 but we have a sixty-day cancellation in it.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Ok, so then we have to revote this?”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “It says (inaudible).”

(Inaudible)

Councilwoman Giglio: “It’s July 1st to September.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “It says, the first *whereas*, the town has agreed upon a further agreement for a term from July 1, 2018 to September 30, 2018.”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “That’s a mistake. That should be December 31. The professional agreement has, I went back and forth with them. That whereas should be December 31st, I’m sorry.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Ok, I started the vote already so how? Do I have to ask to table the one we’re voting on? Table that and then we revote on this as amended? How do I o this?”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Just amend it.”

(Inaudible)

Robert Kozakiewicz: “Just amend it and then we’ll have a vote on the amended.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “What should we do on those called already on the other resolution?”

Councilwoman Giglio: “I wish to amend Resolution #540 that the roll call already started as to the votes because there’s an error in the text that this is supposed to be extended out for six months from July 1, 2018 until December 31, 2018 so that is the change.”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “And just so you, to further clarify the agreement that’s attached does state that so it’s clearly an error and I don’t see a problem making a motion to amend.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “To make a motion to amend the resolution so it’s in accordance with the agreement that is attached hereto.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Bob, we already have three votes for the unamended.”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “All you have to do, I know you’re in the middle of a vote.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “That’s what I’m saying. How do we get rid of that vote we already have? We already have three votes for this unamended resolution.”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “Take up a new vote and the prior vote is now considered a nullity and you’re going to supersede that by the amendment.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “All right, do we have to vote to null the previous vote?”

Robert Kozakiewicz: “I don’t think you have to. The directive is going to reflect what happened.”

(Inaudible)

Supervisor Jens Smith: “So Jim do you want to second that as amended, resolution 540?”

Councilman Wooten: Yes.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes as amended; Giglio, yes as amended to meet the terms of six months; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution adopted.”

Resolution #541

Councilman Wooten: “Approves Participation in Peconic Land Trust Grant Application, so moved.”

Councilwoman Kent: “Seconded.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution adopted.”

Resolution 552

Supervisor Jens Smith: “We can take these out of order. Can we do 552 first to pay the bills and then go back and do the group together?” So if we can take them out of order to do Resolution 552 to pay bills?”

Councilwoman Giglio: “Motion to pay the bills, so moved.”

Councilman Wooten: “Second to pay bills.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please on resolution 552.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution 552 is adopted.”

Resolution #542 – 551 and 553 - 556

Supervisor Jens Smith: “This is for approval for Resolutions 542 through 551 and 553 through 556 which appoints recreation personnel.”

Councilman Wooten: “So moved on the (inaudible).”

Councilwoman Kent: “Seconded.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio, yes; Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolutions 542 through 551 and Resolutions 553 through 556 are adopted.”

Councilman Wooten: “I’d like to take Resolution 557 off the floor which Authorizes the Town Clerk to Publish and Post a Public Notice for Public Information and Comment Hearing Period Regarding Discontinuance and Abandonment of the Terminus (Approximately 2183’ +- Bisecting Land Owned by the County of Suffolk) Riverside Drive, Riverhead, NY. So I make a motion to take this off the floor.”

Councilwoman Kent: “Seconded to take it off the floor.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard.”

Councilman Hubbard: “Yes, this is something the County has tried to do in the past. It’s the end of Riverside Drive that most people don’t even know it as Riverside Drive because once you enter onto the property at the Suffolk County Golf Course and just before you would turn into, go where the club house would be if you went straight there’s a broken, paved road that is actually a town part of Riverside Drive. So in order to keep people from going down there last at night, there’s really no need for that because it’s a dead end terminus. Yeah the Chief would like this so so that people can’t go down there and hang out the county is going to rip up the blacktop, plant it over with seed and grass and it’s also a safety issue for the golfers then so I definitely vote yes for this.”

Councilman Wooten: “This is just to take it off the floor so.”

Vote Continued: “Giglio.”

Councilwoman Giglio: “I’m going to abstain because my husband has an interest.”

Vote Continued: “Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes to take it off the floor. Resolution 557 is taken off the floor.”

Resolution #557

Councilman Wooten: “Now we’ll just move it. Authorizes Town Clerk to Publish and Post a Public Notice for Public Information and Comment Hearing Regarding Discontinuance and Abandonment of the Terminus (Approximately 2183’ +- Bisecting Land Owned by the County of Suffolk) Riverside Drive, Riverhead, NY, so moved.”

Councilwoman Kent: “Seconded.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Moved and seconded. Vote please.”

The Vote: “Kent, yes; Hubbard, yes; Giglio.”

Councilwoman Giglio: I’m going to reclude because my husband has an interest.”

Vote Continued: “Wooten, yes; Jens Smith, yes. Resolution adopted.”

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Supervisor Jens Smith: “At this time I ask anybody from the public who would like to come up and address the Board on any items to please come forward.”

Warren McKnight from Riverhead came forward to address the Board about the Riverhead train station. He said he noticed the benches at the station were removed adding that one was moved over to the side out of the sun which he was happy about, but he wanted to know what happened to the other bench?

Supervisor Jens Smith said the property belonged to the MTA and they were the ones who moved the bench. She continued by saying the town is working with the Suffolk County to move the bus station further down from the train station and then place several shelters over there so there will be additional seating for the buses.

Councilman Hubbard added that the town is looking to put the seats behind a plexiglass shelter.

Mr. McKnight said that was a good idea. He then continued by saying he heard a fence was going to be put up to keep people from walking onto the railroad tracks however Supervisor Jens Smith informed Mr. McKnight that at this time there were no plans to add any further fencing by the tracks but did said that the MTA has put out an RFP for anyone who might be interested in renting or utilizing the train station for a place of business and until that time there are no plans to put up a fence.

Mr. McKnight then suggested that bilingual signs, Spanish, Polish and English, be put up regarding littering in the area.

Supervisor Jens Smith: “Is there anyone else who would like to comment? Seeing no one can I have a first and second to close today’s Town Board meeting?”

Councilman Wooten: “So moved.”

Councilwoman Kent: “Second.”

Supervisor Jens Smith: “All in favor?”

Collective ayes.

Supervisor Jens Smith wished everyone a happy and save 4th of July.

Meeting Adjourned at 3:57 p.m.